1		
2		JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL
3	SPECIAL	INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER
4		
5		
6		INTERVIEW OF
7		
8		KEVIN E. HYDE
9		
10	DATE TAKEN:	Friday, August 21, 2020
11	TIME:	9:56 a.m. to 4:32 p.m.
12	LOCATION:	Smith Hulsey & Busey One Independent Drive
13		Suite 3300 Jacksonville, Florida 32202
14	Exami	nation of the witness taken before:
15	Terrie L. (	Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, and a Notary Public
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		Hedquist and Associates
22		345 East Forsyth Street Jacksonville, Florida 32202
23	(	904)354-4111 FAX (904)791-9103
24		
25		

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	On behalf of Special Investigatory Committee
3	Stephen D. Busey, Esquire E. Lanny Russell, Esquire
4	Kevin Blodgett, Esquire Smith, Hulsey & Busey
5	One Independent Drive Suite 3300
6	Jacksonville, Florida 32202
7	On behalf of the Witness
8	Lisa M. Noller, Esquire, via Zoom Foley & Lardner LLP 321 North Clark Street
10	Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60654-4762
11	On behalf of JEA
12 13 14	Lee D. Wedekind, III, Esquire Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP 50 N. Laura Street 41st Floor Jacksonville, FL 32202
15	On behalf of General Counsel's Office
16	Sean B. Granat, Esquire
17	Office of General Counsel 117 W. Duval Street
18	Suite 480 Jacksonville, Florida 32202
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	
3	EXAMINATION
4	
5	WITNESS Page
6	KEVIN E. HYDE
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BUSEY 7
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	EXHIBITS		
2	FOR IDENTIFICATION	Page	
3	Hyde's Exhibit 2	11	
4	Hyde's Exhibit 3	29	
5	Hyde's Exhibit 4	30	
6	Hyde's Exhibit 5	30	
7	Hyde's Exhibits 6 and 7	31	
8	Hyde's Exhibit 9	36	
9	Hyde's Exhibit 10	42	
10	Hyde's Exhibit 11	47	
11	Hyde's Exhibit 12	48	
12	Hyde's Exhibit 13	49	
13	Hyde's Exhibit 14A	50	
14	Hyde's Exhibit 14B	56	
15	Hyde's Exhibit 14C	57	
16	Hyde's Exhibit 14D	62	
17	Hyde's Exhibit 15	63	
18	Hyde's Exhibit 16	81	
19	Hyde's Exhibit 17A	86	
20	Hyde's Exhibit 17B	88	
21	Hyde's Exhibit 17C	90	
22	Hyde's Exhibit 17D	98	
23	Hyde's Exhibit 20	102	
24	Hyde's Exhibit 21	104	
25	Hyde's Exhibit 22	107	

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1	Hyde's Exhibit	25	114
2	Hyde's Exhibit	26	118
3	Hyde's Exhibit	28A	121
4	Hyde's Exhibit	28B	127
5	Hyde's Exhibit	28C	130
6	Hyde's Exhibit	29	133
7	Hyde's Exhibit	30	133
8	Hyde's Exhibit	31A	135
9	Hyde's Exhibit	31B	148
10	Hyde's Exhibit	31C	151
11	Hyde's Exhibit	32	154
12	Hyde's Exhibit	34	156
13	Hyde's Exhibit	36	159
14	Hyde's Exhibit	37	161
15	Hyde's Exhibit	38	164
16	Hyde's Exhibit	39	165
17	Hyde's Exhibit	40	167
18	Hyde's Exhibit	41	175
19	Hyde's Exhibit	42	178
20	Hyde's Exhibit	43B	181
21	Hyde's Exhibit	44	190
22	Hyde's Exhibit	45	190
23	Hyde's Exhibit	46A	191
24	Hyde's Exhibit	46B	194
25	Hyde's Exhibit	47	207

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.

1	Hyde's Exhibit 68	209
2	Hyde's Exhibit 67	216
3	Hyde's Exhibit 48	218
4	Hyde's Exhibit 49	220
5	Hyde's Exhibit 51	221
6	Hyde's Exhibit 52	224
7	Hyde's Exhibit 53	227
8	Hyde's Exhibit 54	228
9	Hyde's Exhibit 55	230
10	Hyde's Exhibit 59	233
11	Hyde's Exhibit 60	234
12	Hyde's Exhibit 61	239
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

## <u>S T I P U L A T I O N</u>

It was stipulated and agreed by and between counsel for the respective parties, and the witness, that the reading and signing of the interview by the witness was not waived.

## - - -

KEVIN E. HYDE,

acknowledged having been duly sworn to tell the truth and testified upon his oath as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BUSEY:

Q We're about to take a sworn statement of Kevin Hyde and he has agreed to appear voluntarily without a subpoena in the investigation by the Jacksonville City Council Special Investigatory Committee and looking into matters regarding JEA.

Kevin, I appreciate your coming this morning --

A Sure.

Q -- preparing.

MR. BUSEY: Lisa, I know that you are acting pursuant to counsel for Foley & Lardner, Kevin's law firm, and you're in Chicago watching and listening to these proceedings. Is there anything you want to say, Lisa, before we get started?

```
1
             MS. NOLLER: Yes. Thank you, Steve. Just two
 2
        things.
                 I can't hear you very well now, if you
        could maybe move one of the microphones closer to
 3
              I can hear Kevin just fine.
 4
             MR. BUSEY:
 5
                         Okay.
                          That's better. Thank you.
 6
             MS. NOLLER:
 7
             We are agreeing to proceed voluntarily, having
 8
        received a waiver of the privilege from JEA's
9
        general counsel. And not just the privilege, but
        also the process. So it's our understanding from
10
11
        JEA that we can proceed with this process and in
12
        this manner.
13
             So thank you, gentlemen, for your accommodation
14
        in that regard.
             MR. BUSEY: Good.
15
16
             Stop just for a minute.
17
             (Recess taken.)
    BY MR. BUSEY:
18
19
        Q
             Kevin, thank you for coming this morning.
20
        Α
             Sure.
21
             You're the managing partner of Foley &
        Q
    Lardner's Jacksonville office?
22
23
        Α
             Correct.
24
        Q
             And you have been so since?
25
        Α
                    February 1, 2009, I think.
             2009.
```

1 Wow, that's quite a tenure. Q 2 Α Yeah. It's been fun, though. 3 () You recall meeting in Foley & Lardner's office sometime in 2017 or so with Sam Mousa and Herschel and 4 5 representatives of an energy company called Exelon? 6 I'm going to turn to Lisa on that. 7 THE WITNESS: Lisa, they were asking about Exelon. 8 9 MS. NOLLER: Oh, yeah, I was on mute. Yeah. 10 Steve, we're not -- we have not received a privilege 11 waiver from Exelon so I'm going to instruct Kevin 12 not to answer questions, other than to confirm that Exelon is a client of Foley & Lardner. 13 14 MR. BUSEY: Okay. Well, I'm going to take -- I 15 believe I'm asking questions that are not intended to elicit privileged information, but let's take it 16 17 one question at a time. 18 MS. NOLLER: You're not? 19 MR. BUSEY: I respect what you're saying, but 20 let's see how far we can get. 21 BY MR. BUSEY: 22 Q Do you remember my question? 23 Α Yes. I remember the question. 24 Q Okay. Did you have such a meeting? 25 Turn to Lisa. Α

1 MS. NOLLER: Yeah. I'm going to instruct Mr. Hyde not to answer because the -- that would 2 3 involve work product that was performed for a client of Folev & Lardner. 4 MR. BUSEY: You mean whether or not he had a 5 6 meeting is work product? 7 MS. NOLLER: That's correct. 8 MR. BUSEY: How does -- my question -- Sam 9 Mousa was in that meeting, is he a part of the 10 privilege? 11 I don't know who that is. MS. NOLLER: 12 THE WITNESS: Sam Mousa is the chief administrative officer of the City of Jacksonville. 13 14 MR. BUSEY: At the time. 15 THE WITNESS: At the time he was, yes. 16 Steve, I think the best way to MS. NOLLER: proceed on this is just to continue with questions 17 18 on other topics and at a break, I think I'm going to 19 consult with Mr. Hyde. 20 MR. BUSEY: All right. For the time being, 21 you're claiming privilege with regard to a meeting 22 that Mr. Hyde was in with Sam Mousa in 2017? 23 MS. NOLLER: I am. And we can revisit it at 24 some other point during this interview after I've

had an opportunity to talk to Mr. Hyde.

## BY MR. BUSEY: 1 I'm going to show you what we've marked as 2 3 Exhibit 2, which is an engagement -- oh, which is an engagement letter for Foley & Lardner with the Office of 4 5 General Counsel of the City of Jacksonville, dated July 22, 2019. 6 7 Have you seen that letter before? 8 Α Yes. 9 (Hyde's Exhibit 2 was marked for 10 identification.) 11 Q Can you generally describe what the engagement was for? 12 Foley was engaged to provide particular advice 13 Α 14 with regard to some collective bargaining issues, 15 employee issues for the -- for JEA, but the retention 16 came through the Office of General Counsel. You'll also 17 see a reference to regulatory matters, securities and 18 general corporate and transactional matters. 19 And when was your first contact with JEA or the 20 Office of General Counsel regarding the engagement which 21 is reflected in Exhibit 2? 22 It was in late June of 2019, I believe around Α 23 June 26 or so. 24 Q And what was that contact? Who contacted you? 25 Α Herschel Vinyard.

Q And tell us who Herschel Vinyard is.

- A At the time I believe his title was chief administrative officer of JEA.
  - Q Had he been a member of your firm?
  - A Yes, he had been of counsel to Foley & Lardner beginning in 2015 through April 1 of 2019.
    - Q And when he was with your firm during that period, what did his practice and job responsibilities involve?
  - A He had two -- two main practice areas -- pardon me -- two main practice areas. First was in environmental law. And then, secondly, general government affairs. As you recall, Mr. Vinyard was -- had been, until the end of 2014, the secretary to the Department of Environmental Protection for the State.

So subsequent practice area of environmental law, but then worked government affairs, particularly with the governor's office and Department of Environmental Protection, after his ban ended in the Department of Environmental Protection.

- Q And when did he leave your firm?
- A I think the day was April 1, 2019.
- Q And do you recall when Herschel came -- I assume came to you and told you that he wanted to leave Foley and join the -- the JEA?

1 A Yes.

- 2 Q When was that conversation?
  - A Sometime in mid March because I -- and I don't remember the exact date, but I recall we had approximately a two-week notice period that he worked through and so that would put him in March.
  - Q Tell me what you recall about the conversation. Where were you when you had that conversation with Herschel?
  - A Yeah, I remember very well.
- 11 Q I suspect you do.
  - A Herschel's office is catty-corner to mine on the 15th floor and the precise reason I went into Herschel's office that day was to tell him what his compensation was going to be for -- we -- we have a retroactive system -- for the year having just ended and so --
- 18 Q What period?
- 19 A For the fiscal year just prior.
- 20 Q But what is the fiscal year?
  - A We go February 1 through January 31. So in mid March of 2019, I was going to Mr. Vineyard's office to tell him what his compensation was going to be for the year just ended. And he said, I need to tell you something as well, told me he had accepted a job at JEA,

- 1 was going to soon be leaving. And that was the first I2 heard of it.
  - Q And he gave you two weeks' notice?

- A Which is -- yeah -- customary. Yeah. He -- he would have -- he said whatever you need, but I said, you know, when -- when do you need to be at JEA and how long's it take you to fulfill client matters? And he thought two weeks would be sufficient and that's what he did.
- Q Did he -- his compensation at JEA was public information, his -- you knew what his compensation was at Foley & Lardner, was he taking a pay cut to go to JEA?
  - A Yes, my understanding. I -- I didn't know his -- he did not tell me what his compensation was at the time of our conversation, but as you indicated, compensation for public officials is -- is -- can be known.
- Q Did he -- did you and he talk about why he was going to JEA?
- A Not at that time. Not really. I mean,
  candidly I was so shocked by it, I had to -- I left and
  had to go think about it for a minute. I wasn't angry,
  I was just shocked and surprised.
  - Q But in those circumstances, given Herschel's

```
stature and your stature, wouldn't you think -- I mean,
I would think -- I just want you to explain to me that
Herschel would give you some explanation why he's
leaving such a prominent position with your law firm to
be an administrative officer with JEA. He must have
offered you some explanation for that.

A The only thing -- and I'm separating
conversation -- pardon me. Turn this thing off.
```

The only thing I'm separating, Steve, is conversation that day from conversations made before he left. He -- he did indicate to me that he really enjoyed, quote, running things as he had done as a secretary with the Department of Environmental Protection. And that rather than being the advice giver, he looked forward to being back running an operation, which I understood, as chief administrative officer, is something he would be doing.

Q Did he tell you about any conversations he had had with Aaron Zahn that would -- regarding why he should join JEA?

A No.

Q Do you know if he had any conversations with Aaron Zahn?

A No.

Q And between the time he told you -- in March of

```
1
    2018, was it?
        Α
             '19.
 2
        O
             '19.
 3
        Α
             Yes, sir.
 4
             Between the time he told you in March of 2019
 5
        Q
    that he was leaving and July -- and June 26, when you
 6
    said it was the first talk about this engagement, which
    led to Exhibit 2, did you have any conversation with
 8
9
    Herschel about doing work -- about Foley & Lardner doing
10
    work for JEA --
11
             Not before he called, no.
12
             -- and about the last week in June?
                   Correct. We had -- we had no
13
        Α
             Yes.
    conversations till he called me at -- in or around the
14
    last week of June.
15
             And what did he say in that conversation?
16
        Q
             He said that -- that they were looking to
17
        Α
18
    engage counsel and he specifically mentioned that
19
    New York counsel had been engaged. I can't remember if
20
    at that time he mentioned Pillsbury particularly, but
    they were looking for, my term, local counsel to assist
21
22
    to work with New York counsel and then particularly on
23
    Florida-related matters.
24
        Q
             Did he say what matters?
25
             I can't remember exactly what he said, but,
        Α
```

- obviously, it was -- there had been discussions about
  the state of JEA and that there was discussion about
  looking at various -- I think the term was scenarios
  that were going to be used. And so it was not specific
  to a sale, but I understood that that was one of the
  things that had been mentioned as one of the scenarios,
  just from general conversation and newspaper reading.
  - Q Well, there were two issues going on with JEA at the time, one was the alternative scenarios and possible sale of JEA.
- 11 A Uh-huh.

- Q And the other was Aaron Zahn's desire to institute some form of long-term compensation plan that led to the PUP for JEA.
- Did he mention which -- which or both of those projects he wanted Foley's help with?
- A Did not mention anything about the PUP during that. It was more about the process in particular of -- of the, my term, the scenario, not traditional response, I think was the phrase that was used.
- Q So the process that -- of -- that ultimately led to the board's decision to sell JEA, that process is what you're talking about?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q And what was -- what did you understand was

going to be Foley & Lardner's role in that process?

A It was -- as Herschel talked to me individually

first, it was going to be -- my role was going to be in the labor relations area. We had collective bargaining agreements that were going to be coming up. I say we, JEA had collective bargaining agreements that were going to expire, I believe, at the end of September of that year and so there would be some assistance with that.

Just general employee matters as they arose.

And then he asked whether we had -- what my opinion was about whether we could serve as counsel related to procurement matters. And we have a partner, our Tallahassee office, Robert Hosay, who was the former acting secretary of DMS, Department of Management Services, and Robert has a very active procurement practice.

- Q How do you spell Hosay?
- 18 A H-o-s-a-y.

Q And when you say procurement, does that mean JEA buying stuff or JEA selling stuff?

A No, it's the state procurement statutes and practices, whether -- and so it can be on either side of the transaction, the State buying things or private companies doing services on behalf of the State, anything that might fall under the general procurement

1 statutes. And so you told Herschel you could help in that 2 O 3 regard too? 4 Α Yes, I thought we had capabilities in that 5 regard. Any other part of the conversation you recall? 6 Q 7 There was mention of Chris Kise, who is another 8 partner in Tallahassee that Herschel had worked with 9 before, that Chris has a lot of experience working with 10 state agencies and things like that and there might be 11 an occasion where that was necessary. Like, public 12 service commission, for instance. And he mentioned 13 Chris as a possibility. Of course, Herschel knew these people. 14 15 Q Government affairs practice? 16 Α Well, it is -- it is government affairs in part, but it is also a legal component as well, as 17 18 opposed to someone who may perform purely governmental 19 affairs with or without a law license. 20 Q Chris Kise is a partner in your -- in Foley & 21 Lardner's Tallahassee office? 22 Α Yes. 23 And has been since then when? Q 24 Α I don't know when Chris actually came, but many 25 years.

```
1
        Q
             Before you?
             I don't think so.
 2
        Α
 3
        ()
             But you -- before you were managing partner of
    Jacksonville?
 4
             I -- I don't know when Chris came up to
 5
    Tallahassee. Chris was the former Solicitor General of
 6
    the State of Florida and he came after that stint.
 8
        Q
             He?
             He, Chris Kise, after he left state government
10
    as solicitor general.
11
        Q
             Chris and Herschel knew each other, I
12
    assume --
13
        Α
             Yes.
14
             -- when Herschel was the secretary?
15
             Yes.
        Α
16
             Did Chris Kise have any role, to your
        Q
    knowledge, in Herschel getting the job at JEA?
17
18
             I know -- Chris has told me he talked with
19
    Herschel about the job at JEA. I don't know if Chris
20
    had any role in him getting the job, if that distinction
21
    makes sense.
22
        Q
             Chris told you that he had talked --
             Talked to Herschel --
23
        Α
24
        Q
             -- about --
25
             -- about going to JEA, but I don't know if
        Α
```

Chris had any role in helping him get that job.

Q What understanding do you have, if any, as to

JEA?

A Chris and Herschel are friends so I'm guessing they talked on a friend basis about that.

why Chris would be talking to Herschel about going to

To give you an example, when Herschel was the secretary of DEP, he did not permanently relocate from Jacksonville. He lived in a -- the house of Chris's father, kind of bunked with Chris's father, so they were close friends.

Q To your knowledge, did Chris Kise at that time, in '19, have a relationship or friendship with Aaron Zahn?

A I don't know.

Q Do you have any knowledge whether Chris Kise talked to Aaron Zahn about Herschel?

A I have no knowledge of that.

Q Has Chris Kise ever, within -- within the family of Foley & Lardner, ever claimed credit for placing Herschel with JEA?

A He -- he's told me that he, quote, got the job for Herschel, but I don't know what he means in -- if you know Chris, Chris -- Chris can -- I don't want to say exaggerate, but sometimes does.

- Q You mean he may have overstated his role in getting Herschel the job?
  - A That may be a fair characterization.
  - Q Did that issue ever come up in the context of -- within Foley & Lardner of partners of Foley & Lardner getting credit for the generation of JEA business in 2019?
  - A Yes.

- Q How did it come up?
- A The -- it came up and I -- in conversation with me, it -- it came up on a couple of occasions where when any matter is originated, we have a person who's designated as the principal billing partner, but that does not mean they get 100 percent of the origination credit. There's often sharing of credits. So Chris had discussions about sharing of that credit for the JEA relationship.
- Q And the partners involved reached an agreement as to how it should be shared?
- 20 A Yes. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q That's the idea?
  - A Yeah, that's the idea. Partners work it out among themselves. And if they can't come to an agreement, you may talk to our -- we have department chairs, we have three department chairs at the firm;

1 litigation, business law and intellectual property. 2 if you can't come to an agreement, you would ultimately 3 go to one of those department chairs to resolve the allocation. 4 Did you and Chris have to go to a department 5 Q 6 chair to resolve the issue or did you reach an agreement 7 among yourselves? 8 Α I made a proposal that there would be three 9 people who would share what we call a principal billing 10 credit. And two of us agreed, Chris did not and, 11 ultimately, we -- I asked our department chair to look 12 into the issue. 13 Did he -- did the department chair resolve it? Q 14 Yes. Uh-huh. 15 And what was the resolution? Q 16 I think it was essentially a one-third, Α one-third, one-third split. 17 18 Q And who was the third partner? 19 Α Robert Hosay. 20 Q And the reason -- Chris Kise -- did Chris Kise 21 do any work on this engagement, which is Exhibit 2? 22 Α Yeah, he did. Cup- -- what I know Chris did

23

24

1 on the PUP. I believe Chris was also involved looking over 2 3 outstanding litigation issues the JEA had and I'm not 4 sure all of which ones he looked at. Chris is a 5 litigator. 6 Q And Exhibit 2, the -- on page 2, it says, The 7 engagement is limited to a not to exceed an initial amount of \$250,000 --9 Α Uh-huh. -- and that the firm agrees to notify OGC when 10 11 \$200,000 of the budget has been expended. 12 Did you go through that exercise and notify OGC? 13 14 We did informally, telling them where we were 15 at. And then in, I think, November I sent another 16 letter saying here's where we were at and then the 17 budget was increased. 18 Q Do you know ultimately to what amount? 19 I think our -- our final bill -- our final 20 engagement, when it was fully paid -- or not fully, it 21 was paid in January of 2020, was approximately 1.2. 22 There was a substantial portion we wrote off as well. 23 Q Do you recall what that -- approximately how 24 much that was? 25 136,000, all related to the PUP. Α

```
Q
             You say all related to the PUP, are you saying
 1
 2
    that of the total billing of Foley & Lardner only
    136,000 related to the PUP?
 3
        Α
             Yes.
 4
             And the rest related to what?
 5
        Q
             Primarily the ITN process itself, as well as
 6
        Α
 7
    some corporate issues, but the ITN.
             On the -- next to last page of Exhibit 2, page
 8
        Q
9
    6 of Exhibit 2, it says, The effective date of this
10
    letter shall be retroactive --
11
        Α
             Uh-huh.
12
             -- to July 1, 2019. Why was the letter dated
    July 22 and made retroactive to July 1?
13
14
             What I understand occurred is that when, I
15
    think, about the time frame contacted toward late July
16
    and we were initially working primarily with Pillsbury.
    And Pillsbury was the one who was helping secure all of
17
18
    the lawyers that were going to be involved in working to
19
    get the engagement letter and they were -- they had the
    initial contact with OGC and then -- so we worked
20
21
    through that process.
22
             We ultimately -- I started working more
23
    directly with OGC on that. So I think the understanding
24
    was to go back to retroactive was because we had
25
    already -- so it was made retroactive to July 1 to
```

recognize the work that had previously been done.

Q Between July 1 and July 22?

A Yes.

Q Why did Foley & Lardner write off \$136,000 relating to the PUP for?

A Couple of reasons. One, at the time that we were submitting what was our final bill, it's no secret that the PUP had become incredibly unpopular, some of the reason we're here today. And I -- the term that I used in speaking to Lawsikia Hodges and Jon Phillips, were the two people who Jason Gabriel directed me to work with on our bills, was basically, my term, a busted deal, just like you may have a real estate transaction that doesn't get in.

And so I said, obviously, this is a busted deal. There needs to be a discount because it's not moving forward and the City -- JEA is not getting a value for this -- for this work which was done.

Second thing is we were approaching the end of our fiscal year. I had an interest in the firm getting our money and I very candidly said to Lawsikia, who if you recall or know Lawsikia Hodges used to be an associate of Foley, she knows how things work, I said, Lawsikia, I have an interest in getting our bills paid, to the extent that they can, by January 31, 2020, would

```
it assist if I just wrote off all of the PUP work?
 1
                                                         And
    she said, It will have a -- that'll assist a lot.
 2
 3
             And so working with she and Jon Phillips, we
    went -- flyspecked our bills to remove any billing entry
 4
    whatsoever related to the PUP. I went through -- I did
 5
 6
    an initial pass where I wrote the time off, then
 7
    resubmitted them. Worked with Lawsikia to answer
 8
    questions she or Jon Phillips may have about, you know,
9
    this meeting, did you talk about the PUP? What's this
    issue related to? So we were all comfortable that the
10
11
    PUP had been scrubbed from any of our bills.
12
        Q
             So it was at least your intent, purpose was to
    make sure that you did not bill JEA for any PUP work?
13
14
             To remove from any bill we had already issued,
15
    any -- any bill.
16
        Q
             Yeah.
             The intent was, Steve, at the end of the day,
17
        Α
18
    we could say that Foley & Lardner did not receive
19
    payment for any work related to the PUP.
             And to your knowledge, Mr. Powell and Lawsikia
20
        Q
21
    agreed with that?
22
        Α
             Mr. Phillips.
23
             Phillips, I mean.
        Q
24
        Α
             Yes, yes.
25
             The last page of Exhibit 2 is a -- a rate
        Q
```

```
1
    schedule.
        Α
 2
             Yes.
                    Uh-huh.
 3
        ()
             Is $765 your standard rate for Foley &
    Lardner?
 4
        Α
             That's the -- what we call a standard rate,
 5
 6
    yes.
 7
             Do you have a discount rate?
        Q
             We have what's called floor standard -- floor
 8
        Α
9
    standard or published rates and then capital market
10
    rates, if we did work in New York or something like
11
    that, which is higher than that.
12
        Q
             And is 765 an hour for you your floor or your
13
    standard rate?
14
             Standard.
        Α
15
             What's your floor rate?
        Q
16
        Α
             Currently 590.
             In the right-hand column here, it says, $650,
17
        Q
18
    was that your floor rate at the time?
19
        Α
             No.
20
        Q
             That's the standard rate at the time?
21
        Α
             That was -- the standard rate was 765, the
22
    rate charged to JEA was a discount from the standard
23
    rate.
24
        Q
             That was just a bargain for a discount?
25
        Α
             Yes.
```

```
1
        Q
             And why is Christopher Kise's standard rates
    $975 an hour?
 2
 3
             The rates vary between lawyers based on
    expertise or experience.
 4
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 3 --
 5
        Q
 6
        Α
             Thank you.
 7
             (Hyde's Exhibit 3 was marked for
 8
    identification.)
9
             -- which is -- has a date on the front of it,
10
    January 2018, but we know that to be a typographical
11
    error, it's January 2019. But my question to you is:
12
    Have you seen this document before?
13
             I don't recall seeing this document. I did not
        Α
14
    see it in January of 2019 either.
15
             So you have no recollection of seeing this at
        Q
16
    all?
17
             I -- I don't recall seeing it. I -- I've
        Α
18
    seen -- subsequent in all this document production, I've
19
    seen documents, but, no, I don't recall seeing this big
20
    document. And I know I did not see it in January of
21
    2019.
22
        Q
             Or at any other time in 2019?
23
             Not that I recall, Steve.
        Α
24
        Q
             Okay. Let me show you what we've marked as
25
    Exhibit 4.
```

```
1
        Α
             Okay.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 4 was marked for
 2
    identification.)
 3
        Q
             This is an e-mail from Angela Hiers to Herschel
 4
    on January 15th, 2019, offering employment to Herschel.
 5
 6
    Have you ever seen this before?
        Α
             Before yesterday, no.
 8
        Q
             That is before we delivered it to you?
             Yes. Correct. I've not seen this.
 9
10
        ()
             Did you know at any point in time that Herschel
11
    had -- and Angela of JEA had started talking about
12
    employment as early as January of 2019?
        Α
                  First I heard of him going to work for JEA
13
             No.
14
    was in March of 2019.
15
             And until you saw this yesterday, you didn't
        Q
16
    know it had been done several months before that?
17
        Α
             No.
18
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit 5.
19
    Have you ever seen this before?
20
        Α
             No.
21
             (Hyde's Exhibit 5 was marked for
22
    identification.)
             When I say this, I'm referring to an e-mail
23
        Q
24
    from Aaron Zahn to Herschel Vinyard, dated April 10,
25
    2019, forwarding an e-mail from Ryan Wannemacher with a
```

```
1
    spreadsheet attached to it.
 2
             Have you -- have you ever seen
 3
    Mr. Wannemacher's spreadsheet before?
             I don't recall seeing the spreadsheet attached
        Α
 4
    to Exhibit 5.
 5
             Ever?
 6
        Q
 7
        Α
             No.
 8
        Q
             Show you what we marked as Exhibits 6 and 7.
             Exhibit 6 is entitled Compensation Program
9
    Review, Discussion Document for Compensation Committee
10
11
    Meeting, Prepared for JEA by Willis Towers Watson, on
12
    April 22.
13
             Exhibit 7 is the same document with the date
    June 18, 2019.
14
15
             Have you seen either one of these documents
16
    before?
17
             I believe so. Exhibit 6, I don't specifically
        Α
18
    recall seeing. Exhibit 7, I do in preparation for the
19
    meeting we had in December of -- with Councilman Diamond
    and Councilman Salem.
20
21
             (Hyde's Exhibits 6 and 7 was marked for
22
    identification.)
23
             The meeting that you had with Councilman
        Q
    Diamond and Councilman Salem and the council on the
24
25
    afternoon of December 16, 2019?
```

1 Α Yes. Why had you seen this document in connection 2 3 with that meeting? 4 Α I think there had been a document request prepared and JEA put together a number of documents and 5 I believe this was included in that and I saw the final 6 7 documents produced to Councilman Diamond and Councilman Salem for that meeting. 9 Q You say a document request, request by 10 Councilman Salem and Councilman Diamond to JEA? 11 Correct. Α 12 Q And you saw what JEA produced and in that 13 context, you saw Exhibit 7? 14 Α Yes, I believe I remember seeing that at that 15 time. 16 Q But prior to December of 2019, you had not seen 17 it? 18 Α Not that I recall. 19 Well, in your work for JEA from the end of June 20 through the end of '19, 2019, did you see Willis Towers Watson's work product in connection with the PUP? 21 22 Α I don't recall specifically remember seeing it

because that -- my focus was on the compliance of
Florida Statutes, so I don't recall looking at a Willis
Towers Watson study as it related to the PUP until we

23

24

```
1
    got toward the end and were going to have that meeting.
             The only caveat I -- I would give is that if
 2
 3
    there were -- if it were included in a board
    presentation or something, I -- I may have seen it in
 4
    relation to that, but I -- I don't recall specifically
 5
 6
    sitting down and reading that.
 7
        Q
             On page 9 of Exhibit 7 and Exhibit 7 is an
 8
    excerpt of the entire document --
9
             MS. NOLLER: I -- Steve, my page 9 or my
10
        Exhibit 7 only goes to five pages.
11
             MR. BUSEY:
                         There's a numbered -- this is an
12
        excerpt and the second page of the exhibit is page
        9.
            It's numbered -- numbered at the bottom.
13
14
             MS. NOLLER:
                          Thank you.
15
             MR. BUSEY:
                         Do you see it?
16
             MS. NOLLER: I see it. I see it now.
17
    BY MR. BUSEY:
18
        Q
             On that page, there's a statement -- and this
19
    is the Willis Towers Watson work product, Given public
20
    power utilities do not typically provide long-term
    incentive plans, LTI, market data is not shown for the
21
22
    public power peers.
             Were you aware in 2019 that public power
23
24
    utilities typically did not provide long-term incentive
25
    plans?
```

```
1
             I remember it coming up in the context of what
        Α
    I would call the -- the Diamond/Salem meeting, on
 2
 3
    December 16th, there was a lot of discussion about that,
 4
    including the Willis Towers Watson study. And I recall
    hearing about it during that time.
 5
 6
             But prior to that time, you were unaware that
 7
    public power industries do not provide long-term
 8
    incentive?
9
             I had not looked at that issue and I had not
10
    had discussion about that issue.
11
        Q
             And, therefore, were unaware of it?
12
             Yeah, I never looked at it. I was unaware.
             Well, what was -- what was Foley & Lardner's
13
        Q
14
    involvement with the PUP plan in 2019 for which the
15
    $136,000 you wrote off? What did you do?
16
        Α
             We -- we provided advice regarding compliance
    with Florida Statutes was our principal role.
17
18
        Q
             Advice regarding whether or not the proposed
19
    PUP plan was compliant with Florida law?
20
        Α
             Correct.
21
             And you provided that advice in what time
    frame?
22
             We provided two memos. One was in September,
23
        Α
24
    following the September 25, thereabouts. And then we
```

were asked to review some additional questions related

to various aspects of Florida law, which resulted in a memo. I believe its final date was October 21 so we provided a very substantive memo regarding that.

We also assisted in drafting a letter to the Florida Attorney General's Office seeking an advisory opinion on application of Florida law to the PUP.

And we had a draft -- we worked on a draft of a letter to the Florida Commission on ethics regarding the PUP and that letter was never sent.

Q Can you tell us why?

A The -- the -- the idea was that we would ask the attorney general first for an opinion and, obviously, if the attorney general said it was not compliant, then there would be no reason to go further. So that -- that was sent on October 1.

And then I believe it was around the first of November, November 5, I recall a meeting where general counsel's office said they could not move forward with the PUP.

So the timing worked out that we hadn't received an opinion from the attorney general's office until the meeting on November 5 with General Counsel Gabriel and he said he could not agree with the PUP going forward. So at that point we considered it dead and there would be no reason to ask the Florida

```
1
    Commission on ethics at that point.
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit 9.
 2
    This is an e-mail from Elizabeth Columbo of Nixon
 3
    Peabody, dated May 20th, 2019, addressed to Ryan
 4
    Wannemacher and Herschel Vinyard.
 5
 6
             Have you seen this document before? And it has
 7
    a memorandum attached to it.
 8
        Α
             I believe I've seen the memo, but I have not
9
    seen -- I don't -- don't recall seeing the document.
10
             (Hyde's Exhibit 9 was marked for
11
    identification.)
             When you say "the document," you mean the
12
        Q
13
    trans- --
14
             Excuse me, the e-mail.
        Α
15
             The transmittal e-mail?
        Q
16
             Transmittal e-mail.
        Α
             But you've seen the memorandum that's attached
17
        Q
18
    to it?
19
        Α
             I saw the memo attached to it when I was asked
20
    to interview with Assistant U.S. Attorney Duva Tysen,
21
    that was the first time I saw the memo.
22
        Q
             When was that?
             July 8th of 2020. Excuse me, Tysen Duva.
23
                                                          Ι
24
    mispronounced his name.
25
             So I heard you, but I just want to make sure
        Q
```

```
I'm clear.
 1
             The first time you saw this memorandum, which
 2
 3
    is attached to Exhibit 9, this Nixon Peabody memorandum,
    dated May 20th, 2019, was when you met with Tysen Duva
 4
    in 2020?
 5
 6
        Α
             Correct.
 7
        Q
             Prior to that time, did you know of the
    existence of this memorandum?
9
             I had heard, after our engagement ended,
10
    something related to a Nixon Peabody memo, but that's
11
    all I heard.
12
             When you say you had heard after our engagement
        Q
    ended, what point in time was that?
13
14
             I'm guessing around March of this year, March
        Α
15
    or April of this year.
             Of --
16
        Q
             Let me -- at no time while I was working on the
17
        Α
18
    PUP was I informed there was a Nixon Peabody memo.
             That's a pretty clear answer to my question.
19
        Q
20
             MS. NOLLER: I'm sorry, Steve?
21
             MR. BUSEY:
                          I'm sorry, Lisa?
22
             MS. NOLLER: I -- you had cut out.
                          I -- it was a -- it was a footnote.
23
             MR. BUSEY:
24
        I said that was a clear answer to my question.
25
             MS. NOLLER:
                           Thank you.
```

## BY MR. BUSEY: 1 Have you ever talked to Herschel about the 2 3 existence of the May 20 Nixon Peabody memorandum? He has -- he told me -- how I learned of the Α 4 5 memo, he said, I'm paraphrasing here to the best of my 6 recollection, that he had sent the Nixon Peabody memo to 7 someone in OGC and so the OGC, Office of General Counsel, was aware of that. 9 Q When did Herschel tell you that? 10 Α I'm thinking March or April of this year, of 11 2020. 12 Q After your engagement had ended? Α Correct. 13 14 Why did -- why were you -- what was the 15 circumstances of the conversation with Herschel that 16 you're referring to? Don't recall how it came up, but he --17 Α 18 obviously there was a -- a lot of -- of noise in the 19 community about this. And I think he was just blowing 20 off some steam a little bit in the sense of, you know, 21 we -- we sent this to OGC and so they had knowledge of 22 it as well. If I'm being accused of somehow hiding it, 23 I had sent this on to someone. 24 Q He -- he didn't tell you to whom he had sent 25 it?

- A I don't recall him specifying to whom he sent it, no.

  Q Where did that conversation take place?
  - A I don't recall exactly. Herschel and I are in a Bible study every Friday morning along with other men and it may have been there, but I don't recall specifically.
  - Q Have you read the memoranda?
- 9 A No.

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 10 Q Do you know what it concludes?
- A Well, based on -- highlighted here, he says, We
  do not believe the program to be able to clear the legal
  hurdles under Florida law.
- 14 Q And the program was the PUP plan?
  - I've not read it. This was presented to me during my prior interview and they asked me had I seen anything about it. And I said I had not seen the memo. They asked me to look at -- I think this opening paragraph and then they said, Do you have any opinion about that? And I said, I have not seen this before. And we moved on.
  - Q When you say your prior interview, you're talking about with feds this year?
- 25 A Yes, the July 8th interview.

```
Q Was among the matters for which you were --
Foley & Lardner was engaged by JEA in 2019 to look at
whether or not JEA's proposed long-term incentive plan
complies with Florida law?

A Yes, that was part of our engagement.

Q Given the fact that was part of that engagement
```

Q Given the fact that was part of that engagement and given the fact that this memorandum of May 20th which was directed to Herschel addresses that issue negatively, wouldn't you expect Herschel to bring it to your attention when he engaged it?

- A It would have been helpful.
- 12 Q Is that a yes?
- 13 A Yes.

- 14 Q Did you ask Herschel why he didn't?
- 15 A No.
  - Q Were you disappointed to learn that Herschel had this memorandum in his back pocket when he engaged it and didn't tell you about it?

A I didn't know whether Herschel had the memo. He was telling me about it, but, yeah, I was disappointed to know that there -- to later learn, after we had concluded all of our work, that there had been research done, legwork done already and I would liked to have seen it. It would have been helpful.

Q Do you know how this Nixon Peabody memorandum

```
1
    came to light in this investigation?
        Α
             No.
 2
             Our law firm discovered it after we had seen
 3
        ()
    that Nixon Peabody had done some work and we had asked
 4
    to see Nixon Peabody bills and we asked for memorandum
 5
    in that context. That's how we discovered it.
 6
 7
             I'm just surprised, if you were engaged to look
 8
    at the proprietary of the PUP plan under Florida law,
9
    that JEA didn't share this memorandum with you as a
    predicate to that engagement, but I guess we've already
10
11
    talked about that, haven't we?
12
        Α
             That's correct.
13
        Q
             And you were disappointed as well?
14
        Α
             Yep.
15
             Have you ever talked with anybody at OGC
    regarding whether or not OGC had indeed received a
16
    copy of this May 20, 2019, Nixon Peabody memorandum
17
    from JEA?
18
19
        Α
             No.
20
        Q
             So you -- as a matter of fact, you don't know
21
    whether or not OGC has ever seen the memorandum?
22
        Α
             I don't know.
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
23
24
    10, which is a memorandum from Kort Parde, dated June
25
    4th, 2019, to Lynne Rhode.
```

1 Have you seen this before? Α 2 Yes. 3 (Hyde's Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.) 4 In what context? 5 Q It was -- I believe prior to --6 Α 7 Q Or, excuse me, let me be more precise. 8 I referred to a transmittal e-mail and it has 9 attached to it a memorandum of the OGC from Kort Parde to Lynne Rhode and I'm referring to both documents. 10 11 Okay. I -- I do remember seeing this 12 memorandum and it came in the context of prior to the July 23rd, 2019, board meeting. I believe this 13 14 memorandum -- the date of this predates that meeting. And after that -- after the July 23rd meeting is when we 15 began starting in earnest to look at specific questions 16 related to the PUP. 17 18 And then I had heard from Lynne, and I can't 19 remember -- Lynne Rhode, can't recall if it was before 20 or after the July 23rd meeting, about a memo that had 21 looked at some of these compensation plans. I said that 22 would -- I would like to see that, you know, to get me 23 started. 24 And so I don't remember exactly when I saw the

memo, Steve, but that's the context in which I saw it.

Q And what did you learn from looking at the memorandum at that time?

A Well, I think one of the things they were really looking at was the authority of JEA to enter into a long-term incentive plan more than -- more than the specifics on the -- on the particular PUP plan itself, but it was the authority of the board itself to enter into a compensation plan. And then I -- as the memo reflects, there was issues related to collective bargaining also.

Q And so I think what I heard you say is that you have seen this memorandum and you did see it after it was drafted on June 4th, but before the July 23 board meeting?

A I don't recall where it was in relation to the July 23rd meeting. What the -- the reason I was keying in on that meeting, after the board approved the -- a form of the PUP plan at the July 23rd meeting, that's when we began looking at specific issues that are reflected later in my memos of the September and October time frame.

 $\hbox{And then I learned somewhere in or around that} \\ \hbox{time of the existence of this memo.} \ \hbox{And I said, I'd} \\ \hbox{like to see that.}$ 

Q And you see -- you see in the -- the first

```
page, under the Answer, it says, Yes, JEA is authorized
 1
 2
    to adopt bonuses or incentive programs --
             Uh-huh.
 3
        Α
 4
        Q
             -- so long as the program complies with the
    requirement of Section 215.425, Florida Statute.
 5
 6
        Α
             Correct.
 7
        Q
             Did you agree with that?
 8
        Α
             Yes.
9
        Q
             So the program is legal as long as it is
    legal?
10
11
        Α
             Well, it -- 215.425 is the op- -- is the
12
    operative statute that you really begin your inquiry
13
           And so the way I interpreted this memo was the
    from.
14
    board itself had the authority to enter into a plan,
    provided the plan met the requirements of Florida law.
15
16
        Q
             That's pretty much what it says, huh?
             Yeah.
17
        Α
18
        Q
             And at the top of page 4 of the memorandum
19
    attached to Exhibit 10 is a paragraph that says, The
20
    only concern for JEA would be the issue with respect to
21
    how to individually measure each employee's work
22
    performance because the statutory language would not
23
    permit a bonus based upon JEA's overall financial
24
    improvement.
25
             Is that your understanding of the law?
```

A I believe under 215.425, there's -- that that's what it states.

- Q And this is the purpose of the PUP plan as designed by Aaron Zahn, to permit employees to -- the PUP program to share in the JEA's financial improvement?
- A I believe the purpose was to provide employee incentives and possibly compensation and in measure, that could be their financial improvement. And I know as we -- as we looked at that issue, the question -- a question came up as to was there anything else that was going to be used to determine how an employee would have met certain goals or things like that.
- Q But in your conversation with the JEA, wasn't the idea was that they wanted employees to be able to share in the increased value of JEA as a result of its performance?
  - A That was going to be the measuring stick, yes.
- Q And what I just read to you suggests that's not appropriate measuring.
- A Looking -- as you read it, as you read this statement, that would -- I don't want to say it's a -- it's an inappropriate measurement, if it's a question of what were all of the measurements that were going to be used, but, yes, financial improvement, standing alone

could -- could create an issue, as identified in thismemo.

- Q As -- as I understand your testimony so far this morning, Foley didn't begin to look at the legality of the proprietary of the PUP plan until after it was approved by the JEA board on July 23, 2019?
- A We began our research into the issues identified in the memo. I had seen the PUP plan very generally before July 23rd, but our in-depth analysis began after that meeting.
- Q When you said that you've seen the PUP plan before July 23rd, let's be more precise. I don't think there was a PUP plan, literally wasn't there simply a summary of what the PUP plan was intended to be?
- A What I was talking about, the materials that were presented at the board meeting for July 23rd, yes.
- Q That was a summary, wasn't it?
  - A I believe so. I don't recall all of it. But it was -- part of -- there was a resolution approving the form of a long-term compensation plan, yeah.
  - Q In my recollection -- we can see it. We'll get to it -- but it was -- the plan itself verbatim was not there, it was a summary of the plan?
- A I believe you're correct, Steve. We'll have to look when we get to that exhibit.

```
1
        Q
             And let me show you what we've marked as
    Exhibit 11, which is a memorandum from Lynne Rhode to
 2
    Kort Parde -- no, from Kort Parde and Sean Granat to
 3
    Lynne Rhode, dated June 17, regarding compensation
 4
 5
    plans.
             Have you seen Exhibit 11 before?
 6
 7
        Α
             I believe so, yes.
 8
             (Hyde's Exhibit 11 was marked for
9
    identification.)
10
             In what context?
11
             It -- it is in the same context, I think, of
12
    when we began our review. I wanted to see everything --
13
    all prior work which had been done, particularly by OGC,
14
    on this issue.
15
        Q
             And what was your takeaway from looking at this
16
    memorandum?
17
        Α
             Same thing --
18
        Q
             Go ahead.
19
             Well, the takeaway was we really had to grapple
20
    with 215.425, the statute, to make sure that we were
21
    complying with that.
22
        Q
             And --
23
             MS. NOLLER: And by "we," Kevin, you mean
        JEA?
24
25
             THE WITNESS: Yes, we -- we the client, JEA.
```

## BY MR. BUSEY: 1 And when you say we have to look at it to make 2 3 sure we're complying with the JEA, at what point in Was this before or after July 23? 4 time? It was after. 5 Α Why would JEA ask you to look at the 6 Q 7 proprietary of the plan it approved on July 23 after it 8 approved it rather than before they approved it? I don't know. 9 Α Don't you think it would have made more sense 10 11 to ask you before they approved it? 12 Α Well, the board hadn't yet acted upon it so I don't know the answer to that question. 13 14 Wasn't there action on July 23? Q 15 Yeah, there was action on July 23 to approve a 16 number of things, including what was the form of a PUP 17 plan. 18 Q Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 12. 19 Α Okay. I've got a copy. It was somehow 20 attached to that. Is this it? 21 (Hyde's Exhibit 12 was marked for 22 identification.) 23 Yeah, the exhibit. Q 24 Α Okay. 25 Which is the minutes of the JEA Compensation Q

```
1
    Committee Meeting, on June 18th, 2019.
 2
             Have you seen that before?
 3
             I believe in preparation of those documents to
    be submitted for the December city council meeting to
 4
    Councilman Diamond and Salem, I saw it in that context.
 5
             But not before then?
 6
        ()
 7
        Α
             I don't recall seeing it before then.
 8
        Q
             And so from your prior testimony this morning,
9
    may I assume that as of June 18th, 2019, you had not
10
    been engaged by JEA to assist with the compensation
11
    issues that are addressed in these notes?
12
        Α
             That's correct.
13
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
14
    13, which is a document with a JEA logo on it, a Morgan
15
    Stanley logo on it, it says, Discussion Materials, JEA,
16
    June 20th, 2019.
17
             Have you seen this document before?
18
        Α
             I do not recall this document.
19
             (Hyde's Exhibit 13 was marked for
20
    identification.)
21
             This was two days after the compensation
        Q
22
    committee meeting on June 18th, 2019. And you see on
23
    page -- what's numbered page 46, the last page of this
24
    excerpt, which is Exhibit 13.
25
        Α
             Yes.
```

```
1
        Q
             It says -- this is Morgan Stanley, Four Pillars
    for Successful Privatization.
 2
 3
             Were you -- you weren't -- at this point in
    time of June 20th, you were not engaged by JEA --
 4
        Α
             No.
 5
             -- to address privatization issues?
 6
        Q
 7
             No, sir.
        Α
 8
        Q
             And you had nothing to do with this document?
             No.
9
        Α
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
10
11
    14A and it's a series of e-mails. The one on the bottom
12
    is from you --
13
        Α
             Uh-huh.
14
             (Hyde's Exhibit 14A was marked for
15
    identification.)
16
             -- dated July 3, to a number of folks in your
        Q
    organization, Foley organization.
17
18
        Α
             Right.
19
        Q
             The subject is bonus perform- -- performance --
20
        Α
             Uh-huh.
21
        Q
             -- performance bonus agreement.
22
             And you say to these folks, Does anyone have
23
    time to help with drafting a performance bonus agreement
24
    for employees? They want it tied to performance -- to
25
    specific performance measurements of the entities.
                                                          Let
```

1 me know. There's some urgency in the request. 2 And, yes, I know it's July 4th weekend. I'm not sure the client is aware of this. 3 4 Α Uh-huh. Who is "they" in the second sentence? 5 Q 6 They would be JEA. I believe the specific Α 7 request was coming from Herschel. 8 Q And the client, referring to the last sentence, 9 is --10 JEA. Yes. Uh-huh. Α 11 Q So tell me what caused you to send this 12 memorandum out on the afternoon of Wednesday, July 3. I believe I got a call from Herschel talking 13 Α 14 about this concept of a -- of a performance bonus plan, 15 a performance bonus agreement and did I have any 16 knowledge or experience with that. And as evidenced by 17 this request, I solicited information. I did not have 18 one specifically. 19 I'm aware of the private sector context, but 20 I -- I didn't have anything so that's why I said, Has 21 anybody done any work in this area? 22 Q But what did Herschel ask you to do? 23 I think to see if we had any examples of or 24 experience in, as I put it, their performance bonus 25 agreements.

```
1
        Q
             And what was your understanding, at the time of
 2
    that conversation with Herschel, what he meant by
 3
    performance bonus agreement?
 4
        Α
             Some -- some way of providing additional
    compensation, based on whether it be individual
 5
 6
    performance or the performance of JEA as a whole, but a
 7
    way of additional compensation outside of the normal
    we're establishing a base salary or an hourly rate or
 8
9
    something like that.
10
             Was his request to you that general?
11
        Α
             I believe so.
12
        Q
             Did he say when he needed it?
             I don't recall him saying specific to it, but,
13
        Α
14
    obviously, I wrote, There's some urgency. And one thing
15
    I learned pretty quickly throughout this engagement,
16
    most things were treated as urgent.
17
             But did he say when he wanted the work by?
        Q
18
        Α
             I don't recall.
19
        ()
             And that second sentence, They want it tied to
20
    specific performance measurements of the entities.
21
        Α
             Entities.
                         Uh-huh.
22
        Q
             What entities?
             I believe JEA, as a whole, and I don't recall
23
24
    whether there was discussion about various, my term,
```

operating units within JEA.

```
1
        Q
             Do you -- do you have now, or did you then, any
    knowledge about whether or not Pillsbury had been
 2
 3
    engaged to do the same thing?
        Α
             I didn't have any knowledge whether they had
 4
    been engaged at that point or on -- on this issue, no.
 5
 6
    I -- no, to answer your question.
 7
             Did you subsequently learn whether or not they
        Q
 8
    had been engaged?
9
        Α
             Yes.
10
        O
             When?
11
        Α
             Probably sometime the first or second week of
12
    July, because, ultimately it -- they -- you -- I'm not
13
    sure of the exact date. It had been in July because
14
    the -- the draft of the performance unit plan that
15
    ultimately came to be was drafted by Pillsbury.
16
    obviously, they had been engaged, I don't know when, to
17
    do that.
18
        Q
             You don't know if it was before or after July
19
    3?
20
        Α
             I don't know.
21
        Q
             So when did you become -- was there a point in
22
    time that you became aware that both you and Pillsbury
23
    had been engaged to do the same thing?
24
             Well, I never viewed -- I viewed us working
        Α
```

with Pillsbury. The way -- the way the engagement was

- originally explained to me, that our role was going to be Florida counsel, but that Pillsbury was going to be the lead counsel. And so our role was to step in where there are Florida-specific issues and advise on those, but that Pillsbury was going to be lead counsel.
  - Q But as I read your memorandum, your e-mail of July 3 to your folks, it looks like you have been given the responsibility to draft the plan from the beginning?
  - A Well, I was asked about it, but I was never asked to draft the performance unit plan. I was asked do we have experience in it, do I have any knowledge of it so that generated this e-mail, me seeking out that question.
  - Q Well, let me understand what you just said in light of the first sentence of your e-mail, Does anyone have time to help with drafting --
- 18 A Right.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 19 Q -- a performance bonus agreement?
- A Uh-huh.
- 21 Q That means writing it?
- 22 A That does.
- 23 Q That's what you're asking your people?
- A Does anyone have time to do it and I was asking
  was there experience in it as well as time. And we

1 ultimately never drafted anything. Q Why? 2 3 I never came up with the experience or an example of one to use. And then ultimately I learned 4 that Pillsbury had done one so it would have been 5 6 duplicative to do it. 7 Q When did you learn that Pillsbury had done one? 9 It was in or around that July 23rd meeting. can't remember on which side of the meeting it was. 10 11 Well, in response to your July 3 e-mail, which 12 was basically asking people if they had anything to do 13 over the weekend, Richard Guyer says to you, I have the 14 time, but no experience with this. That being said, I 15 think I can help, but I'd defer to the group. 16 So did Richard Guyer, who had no experience with it, help draft the plan? 17 18 No. Richard's a second year associate that's a 19 very good associate, always volunteers to be of 20 assistance. 21 Q But you didn't take him up on it? 22 Α No. And let me show you what we've marked as 23 24 Exhibit 14B, which is an e-mail chain. And at the 25 bottom, it's July 6, an e-mail from Jessica Lutrin at

```
1
    Pillsbury.
             Hi, Kevin. Could you please confirm that you
 2
    received the Fed Ex documents?
 3
        Α
 4
             That's right.
 5
             (Hyde's Exhibit 14B was marked for
 6
    identification.)
 7
        Q
             Do you know what Fed Ex documents she's
 8
    referring to?
9
             It -- it could have been the PUP, Steve, as
    well as I think there was documents related to a
10
11
    proposed employment agreement, but it -- it could have
12
    been the PUP, the initial draft. Pillsbury, and
    particularly Jessica Lutrin, are the ones who drafted
13
14
    the original PUP.
15
        Q
             Would July 6th be the first time you knew
16
    that?
17
             It could well be, yes.
        Α
18
        Q
             Herschel hadn't told you that?
19
        Α
             Not that I recall.
20
        Q
             So if Herschel called you up on Wednesday
21
    before July 4th weekend and asked you if you could help
22
    draft the plan, he didn't tell you that Pillsbury had
23
    already been drafting it?
24
        Α
             I don't recall any discussion about telling me
25
    that I was -- would be receiving some documents from
```

```
1
    Pillsbury, no.
             Or telling you that Pills- -- he had already
 2
 3
    asked Pillsbury to draft the PUP plan?
             I don't recall being told that.
 4
        Α
        Q
             And then she asks you on the 8th, have you had
 5
 6
    a chance to review the documents?
                                        Had you?
 7
             I suspect I had. I can't -- I don't recall
 8
    specifically, but typically when I received a Fed Ex, I
9
    attach importance to it and I try to read it.
10
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 14C.
11
    And, again, this is an e-mail chain.
12
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 14C was marked for
13
14
    identification.)
15
        Q
             And I'll start at the bottom and we'll go
16
    forward. Attached at the end of it is a JEA performance
    unit plan -- excuse me, JEA performance unit agreement.
17
18
    And that's attached to an e-mail from Kevin, again, on
19
    Wednesday, July 3, at 1:00 p.m. to Belinda.
                                                  Who is
20
    Belinda Morgan?
21
        Α
             Belinda is one of my partners in our
22
    benefits -- employee benefits practice group.
23
        Q
             So this is the same afternoon that you wrote
24
    the --
25
             Right, wrote the e-mails asking for help.
        Α
```

Q And you wrote Belinda and said, A public agency client --

Is that JEA?

A Yes.

Q -- wants to create a bonus program for its employees. They are calling them performance units, essentially a draft -- a grant of options to exercise. Is this something you have drafted before or helped draft? I can handle the niceties of Florida public sector law, which allows employees to be paid bonuses, but I need a good starting place from which to work. If not you, let me know who you'd recommend. Of course, the client is in a rush over July 4th weekend. Early next week would be fine.

So you sent that to Belinda on Wednesday afternoon and she responded to you that afternoon. And said, Kevin, I'm happy to help and have worked on option plans and incentive unit plans before, but nothing similar for a public agency. To be honest, I'm not exactly sure how this would work, as I wouldn't think there would be any sort of stock/equity units to which the plan would apply. Is this really more of a bonus arrangement, but each individual gets a certain number of units which determines his or her place of a bonus pool? We could definitely do something like that.

I'm also not sure how the "exercise" component would apply since the arrangement would presumably be subject to 457(1). As a result, participants will be required to include the value of the deferred bonus and income as soon as they vested in it, which would take away the benefit of being able to determine when that -- to exercise the unit.

And then you responded to Belinda, on Wednesday, July 3rd, at 2:40. Belinda, I'm copying Richard Guyer, who has also agreed -- who has agreed to take the first stab, but we would love your review and input. We're trying to avoid the word "bonus," but the concept is the same. Please feel free to reach out to Richard in the Jacksonville office. Thanks to both of you for working on this quick turnaround.

Why are we trying to avoid the word "bonus"?

A Bonuses in the public sector tend to cause political controversy.

- Q So when you say "we are trying," is that JEA?
- 20 A Yes.

Q And Belinda acknowledges your e-mail at 4:00 o'clock, on Wednesday, July 3.

And then on Sunday Richard Guyer responds to both of you and says, Belinda and Kevin, please find a draft of a performance unit agreement for JEA.

1 This is the associate you were talking about 2 earlier? 3 Α Yeah. And that -- this refreshed my recollection on something. 4 Q Go ahead. 5 Okay. You see where he refers to a benefit 6 7 bond proposal in the second sentence? 8 Q Yes. Okay. One of the things that had been asked is 9 10 whether there could be the use of a bond, a municipal 11 bond, to create a -- a funding source for this type of 12 additional compensation going to employees. And so I 13 had asked Richard to do that, to look at that. And then 14 he came back with something, it was very general, almost 15 like a term sheet, and it -- it never went any further 16 than that because we ultimately concluded you couldn't 17 use a bond-type concept. 18 Q When you say he came back with something that 19 looked like a term sheet, is that the documents attached at the end of Exhibit 14C? 20 21 Α Yeah, I think this is it. 22 Q So what came of this effort?

- 23 A The -- on -- on the bond issue, nothing.
- Q Is your -- is your and Belinda and Richard's time over the 4th of July weekend as evidenced by these

1 memorandums among the time that was written off by Foley 2 & Lardner, \$136,000?

A I'd have to look at the time sheet. It should have been. That would be the intent.

Q Do you know how Richard's work product attached to Exhibit 14C differed in the work product ultimately produced by Pillsbury?

A Not as we sit here. I'd have to compare the two documents.

Q Let me show you what we've marked as 14D. And this is a -- an e-mail from Belinda to you commenting on Richard's draft, which we've just referred to.

Second paragraph says, Although the agreement is styled as a grant of, quote, "performance units," closed quote, it's essentially just a way to determine a cash bonus for employees. Some of the changes I've suggested are to address that. Removing language more usually seen with equity-related plans.

I also try to make it more clear where -- when payment will actually be made. The agreement is currently structured to be exempt from 409A as a short-term deferral plan, but if there's any concern that JEA might not be able to make payment as required, there are ways to address that.

So is this a -- I take it this is a part of the

```
1
    effort still that didn't go anyplace?
        Α
             Yes.
 2
 3
             (Hyde's Exhibit 14D was marked for
    identification.)
 4
        Q
             And she says, We are removing language more
 5
 6
    usually seen with equity-related plans.
 7
             Was this an equity related plan or not?
 8
        Α
             I -- I'm not sure. It's outside my normal
9
    scope of expertise. I think as they were looking at it
    originally, it was going back to the bond proposed, but
10
    I -- I'm not sure, Steve.
11
12
             Well, do you recall after July the 8th what
        Q
    was the next step or development in terms of coming up
13
14
    with a PUP plan for consideration by the board on July
    23?
15
16
             It was -- the Pillsbury draft became the
        Α
    vehicle.
17
18
        Q
             And what happened to the drafts that Foley had
19
    come up with?
             Nothing that I'm aware of.
20
        Α
21
        Q
             And in accordance with your prior testimony,
22
    you assumed that the time related to those drafts had
23
    been written on by Foley?
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
        Q
```

```
1
    15.
         This is a document that has J.P. Morgan, Morgan
 2
    Stanley internal notes at the top. And it says, Project
 3
    Freebird, Organizational Materials, July 2019.
 4
             Have you seen that document before?
        Α
             I believe so.
 5
 6
             (Hyde's Exhibit 15 was marked for
 7
    identification.)
 8
        Q
             Okay. Do you recall when and where you saw
    it?
 9
             I think it was during the meetings which were
10
        Α
    held at Club Continental. I see it references a time
11
12
    period of meeting agenda of July 10th through 12th.
                                                          Ι
13
    believe that's where those meetings were held.
14
        O
                     The meetings at Club Continental.
             It is.
15
        Α
             Yes.
16
        Q
             Did you go to those meetings?
             Yes.
17
        Α
18
        Q
             Who was there?
19
             There was 20 or 30 people so I don't remember
20
    all. Let me tell you the groupings of people that were
21
            My -- I was there on behalf of Foley. At some
22
    point, but not throughout the -- every day, Robert Hosay
    and Ben Grossman. My partner, Robert Hosay, Ben
23
24
    Grossman, who is a senior counsel at our firm, was at
25
    the meeting. You had representatives of J.P. Morgan.
```

```
Representatives of Morgan Stanley. A number of lawyers
 1
 2
    from Pillsbury. Representatives of JEA.
                                               I believe at
 3
    one point Michael Munz was there. And maybe Tim Baker
 4
    at one point.
             MR. BUSEY: Can we go off for just a moment?
 5
             THE WITNESS:
 6
                           Sure.
 7
             MR. BUSEY: Let's go off the record.
 8
             (Recess taken.)
9
             MR. BUSEY: Back on the record.
10
    BY MR. BUSEY:
11
             Exhibit 15 has on the front cover of it the
        Q
12
    term Project Freebird. Do you know why that's there?
             That was the -- as I understood the original
13
        Α
14
    name of this project that whatever -- what it was going
15
    to be.
16
        Q
             Well, let's be more precise. When you say
    this project, what is your understanding of what this
17
18
    project is?
19
             My understanding was that one of the scenarios
20
    that were being considered by the board was what I think
21
    ultimately came to be termed the nontraditional
22
    approach, which would be some form of sale, merger, some
23
    change in the corporate structure of JEA.
24
        Q
             And why was Foley at this meeting?
25
             Primarily, again, we were going to have a
        Α
```

Florida law component. One of the first things we did at that meeting was to give a primer on Florida public records law and Florida Sunshine Law.

Q Why?

A Because you had a group of folks, primarily those -- Pillsbury lawyers, various investment bankers were not familiar with Florida's very broad open meeting laws, open public record laws. But, ultimately, we had also -- as I mentioned Mr. Hosay would be dealing with some procurement issues and so if the project were to go forward, there would be procurement issues. So he was going to be advising on that as well.

Q So if I get it from your answer, the purpose of this meeting, to your understanding, was to deal with the restructuring of JEA?

A Yeah. Certainly that was a part of the discussion, yes.

Q Was it the PUP plan?

A I don't recall whether the PUP plan was specifically talked about. I talked -- I was -- I was part of a smaller group that broke out and talked about employee issues so I know that we talked about collective bargaining and things like that.

Q Have you seen Herschel Vinyard's transcripts of his interviews by OGC?

- A No, sir.

  Q Were you aware that he's had his deposition
  taken twice?

  A Yes.
- Q But you haven't seen the transcripts?

  A No.
- Q Did -- did -- were you aware, either -- from
  any source that Herschel said that he, at this meeting
  at Club Continental, told folks that he thought the PUP
  plan wasn't a good idea, it was bad?
- 11 A Am I aware that he said that?
- 12 Q Yes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 13 A At that meeting?
- 14 Q Yes. Did you witness him saying that?
- 15 A No. No. At that meeting, I did not hear 16 Herschel say that.
  - Q I'm reading from a transcript of his testimony and he was asked to describe with particularity every time that he advised Mr. Zahn -- Herschel advised Mr. Zahn that the PUP wasn't prudent and should not be pursued.
  - His answer was: So I may not be able to do it for every time, but I have been told that the very first time was at Orange Park when the PUPs were first kind of revealed or disclosed and so that would be the first

```
1
    time I said something. And I was informed that my
    response was firm or animated to Mr. Zahn.
 2
 3
             Did you witness anything like that?
 4
        Α
             No.
 5
        Q
             Do you know Tim Baker?
 6
        Α
             Yes.
 7
        Q
             How do you know Tim?
 8
        Α
             Just I know he's worked on a number of
9
    campaigns of candidates that I've supported, whether it
10
    be city council candidates or some state legislative
11
    candidates.
12
        Q
             When you say worked on campaigns, what does --
13
    what does he do for a living?
14
             In the context that I worked with him, he was
15
    the campaign consultant or campaign manager.
16
        Q
             For people running for office?
             For people running for office, yes.
17
        Α
18
        Q
             So what was he doing at this meeting?
19
             I don't know what his specific role was.
20
    I've -- at that meeting, as I came to understand it, he
21
    would have a role that if this were approved by the
22
    board and approved by the city council, ultimately it
23
    would have to go to a public referendum.
24
    understanding was he would work on the campaign for that
25
    public referendum.
```

1 Q At whose request? I don't know at whose request. I assume he --2 3 I -- I don't know specifically. Q Well, what was your understanding -- I mean, 4 5 you were there and he was there and you know who he is. 6 Α Yeah. 7 Q And you knew that he was going to work on the 8 campaign, you said? 9 Α Right. Who told you that? Who led you to believe 10 () 11 that? 12 That was my supposition because I knew the City Α charter required any sale or disposition of more than 10 13 14 percent of the assets by the JEA ultimately had to be 15 approved by the voters. 16 That came about in 2018, didn't it? Q I don't remember exactly when it came about. 17 Α 18 Q Do you remember there was a contro- -- public 19 controversy in the City of Jacksonville in 2018 about the sale of JEA? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Q And then led to the Crescimbini committee? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q And were you aware that the JEA board, in May 25 of 2018, told the senior leadership team of JEA to stand

1 down and do not pursue the privatization anymore? I'm not aware of that. 2 3 () And that Mr. Crescimbini was one of the ones who got the -- on the ballot about requiring the charter 4 5 change require public referendum in the event of a sale 6 of the material portion of the JEA access? 7 Α I aware that John -- Councilman Crescimbini had 8 pursued that, yes. Leading to the requirement that you just 9 Q referred, that there had to be a public referendum in 10 11 the event of a sale? 12 Α Yes. And your supposition why Tim Baker was at this 13 14 meeting, Project Freebird, at Club Continental, on July 15 10th, 2019, was that Tim Baker was going to handle the 16 campaign? Tim Baker handles campaigns. So when I 17 Α Yes. 18 see Tim Baker at a meeting, I assume there's a campaign 19 going to occur. But you don't know whose campaign in this 20 21 sentence? 22 Well, campaigns can also be referendum Α 23 campaigns as well. 24 Q So it leads to the question, who hired him? 25 Α I don't know.

1 Q And you still don't know? 2 Α No, I've not seen an engagement letter or 3 anything like that. 4 Q And you didn't ask him why he was there? Α No. 5 6 Q You didn't ask him who was paying him? 7 Α No. 8 Q Why was Michael Munz there? I believe Michael was working on communication 9 Α 10 aspects of if the -- if the board approved, on the July 11 23rd meeting, pursuing what has been termed the 12 nontraditional approach, I think Michael was going to 13 work on communication, how -- how that communication 14 would be rolled out, due to process --15 Q Communication -- I didn't mean to interrupt 16 you. 17 No. Go ahead. Α 18 Q Communication from whom to whom? 19 I'm not sure exactly. I think initially it was 20 going to be communication to the employees because, 21 obviously, pursuing the nontraditional approach would be 22 a very different form of the way JEA would be organized 23 and operated. 24 Q Did you know that Tim Baker was a paid

consultant to Florida Power & Light's parent, NextEra,

1 in connection with the ITN process? 2 Α No. Do you know that today? Did you ever learn 3 () that? 4 I think I heard that, like, in the news, but I 5 Α 6 don't recall someone in connection with this process specifically telling me that. 8 Q Do you think you learned it from news sources? 9 I think so, yeah. Would that seem unseemly to you, that FP&L's 10 11 lobbyists was at this meeting, at Club Continental, to 12 talk about the process? 13 Α It would raise questions to me, yes. 14 And you would have asked those questions at the 15 time, had you known about them? 16 Α Whether I would have directly confronted Tim with that, I -- I -- I don't know. We're not close in 17 18 any sense, but if I were asked the question of what do 19 you think about that, yeah, then I would have raised it 20 with him. 21 Q Do you recall Tim Baker addressing the attendees at the meeting? 22 23 As in speaking to the entire group? Α 24 Q Or some significant subset of them. 25 No, I don't recall that. Α

- 1 Q Look at page 5. 2 Α Numbered page 5? 3 () Numbered page 5, Exhibit 15. 4 Α Where are the page numbers, Steve? In the bottom, at the middle of the bottom, 5 Q 6 very faint. Α What's -- what's the -- my -- okay. There --8 all right. Where it says, Potential Process Timing and 9 Milestones, that page? 10 Yes. () 11 Α Yeah. Okav. 12 Q And down at the bottom it says, J.P. Morgan and 13 Morgan Stanley will work closely with the City and its 14 advisors to tailor a process/time line that meets the 15 objectives of the City. 16 Did you -- what was your understanding of the objectives of the City at this meeting at Club 17 18 Continental? 19 I'm not sure Foley understood what the objectives of the City were as specified. I put it all 20 21 in the context of if the ITN process were to go through 22 and be approved at the various steps along the way, 23 there would be a time line for what that approval 24 process would be and the timing.
  - And, ultimately, my understanding was leading

```
1
    to a referendum, which had it occurred would have either
 2
    been in August of this year, the primary that concluded
 3
    last week or perhaps the November general election of
 4
    this vear.
             Okay. Well, I was asking you specifically
 5
        Q
 6
    about the sentence that says as to why the City was
    there instead of JEA. Do you know whether or not --
 8
        Α
             I don't know why that word was used.
9
        Q
             The word City?
10
             Yeah, I don't know.
        Α
11
        Q
             And you spoke of a timeline. There on the
12
    right side of the same page, there's a timeline, isn't
    there?
13
14
             Yes, uh-huh.
15
             And it suggests that the -- that the purchase
16
    agreement or the tendered agreement would be signed by
17
    March of 2020?
18
        Α
             According to this, yes.
19
        Q
             Do you remember that discussion at the
20
    meeting?
21
        Α
             I don't recall a specific discussion on the
22
    timeline. Let me give you a little context to the
23
    meeting. We broke up into subgroups so I'm not saying
```

that it didn't occur. It could have occurred outside of

24

25

my subgroup.

- 1 Q And what was your subgroup? 2 Α Mainly employee issues. 3 () Who chaired the meeting? 4 Α Aaron was -- no one officially chaired it, but 5 Aaron clearly was in charge of the meeting. Aaron Zahn? 6 Q 7 Α Yes. 8 Q Did you know Aaron? 9 Α I had not met Aaron before this process began. 10 () Do I understand you to say you had not met 11 Aaron before the Club Continental meeting on July 10th, 12 2019? 13 I believe that's the first time I met him. Α 14 I -- I'm -- I don't recall a specific meeting with him 15 between June 26th and that meeting, no. 16 specifically recall him at the meeting and -- and 17 meeting him there. 18 Q Do you recall what he said about the purpose of 19 the meeting? 20 Α It was to talk about how to organize and 21 formulate a process that if the nontraditional approach 22 were approved, how that would be carried forward. 23 And when you say nontraditional approach, that
  - was the language that was used by the principals involved in this discussion, referring generally to

24

privatization, isn't it?

A Correct. Yes.

Q And privatization is a broad term to discuss the disposition of assets of JEA to some other ownership?

A That would be one form of it. There were other things looked at, like, a co-op agreement or there was talk about the possibility of outside investment, but it's -- it's taking it from its currently municipally-owned utility to some other format, yes.

Q You see on page 7 of Exhibit 15, there's another -- there's a chart of a timeline. Do you -- do you recall any discussion of why the timeline was designed to conclude in March of 2020?

A Because I think what it was -- understanding that once there was -- or if there were a definitive agreement reached, it also then had to be approved by the city council. And what the city council would also have to do is approve a referendum process.

And there's time -- it only takes a few -- up to six weeks to get a bill and then you have to get -- to approve that referendum, you have to give the supervisor of election a certain amount of time to put the referendum on the ballot. So if you were looking toward an August 2020 referendum, just to get the city

```
council approval processes done, you would have to almost go back to March.

Q Do you -- do you have any knowledge of whether or not that proposed deadline for completion of the
```

project of March 2020 ever changed?

A I don't know if it changed. What I can say,
Steve, is the discussion I heard about if a referendum
were to be held, it was geared toward the August
referendum, August 2020 referendum or -- or primary date
or the November primary date.

Q I'm not -- I'm not -- I don't really understand your answer to my question. There was a time subsequently, subsequent to July of 2019, which that March date was moved -- collapsed and moved forward?

A Oh, okay. I know what you're referring to now. I thought you were talking about the referendum. I'm sorry.

Yeah, I recall that there was an effort to -after the initial repliers responded to the ITN, an
effort to accelerate the -- the review of that and,
ultimately, the -- the negotiation with the successful
repliers.

- Q Do you know why?
- 24 A I don't know why specifically it was moved up.
- Q Do you know by whom, at whose instance?

```
Α
             I heard about it from Herschel, but I don't
 1
    know if it was a directive he was given by Aaron or any
 2
 3
    specific people.
 4
        Q
             You say you heard about it from Herschel --
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 5
             -- when and in what circumstance?
 6
        Q
 7
             He -- he and I talked a lot as this process
        Α
 8
    were going through, so he told me that it -- it was
9
    going to be moved up. And I can't remember if it was
10
    moving it to early January, I don't recall, but I think
11
    it was around January. And so he told me that that was
12
    the intent to happen.
13
             Did he tell you -- when did he tell you that?
        Q
14
             I don't recall specifically.
        Α
15
             Was it before January?
        Q
16
        Α
             Oh, yeah.
                        Yeah.
             Did he say why it was being moved?
17
        Q
18
        Α
             I don't recall him saying why he wanted it --
19
    why it was going to be moved up.
             Do you remember the mayor making announcements
20
        Q
    that he wanted to move it up?
21
22
        Α
             I think so, but I can't recall for sure.
                                                         You
    talking about, like, a public announcement?
23
24
        ()
             Yes.
25
             Yeah, I don't recall specifically that.
        Α
```

1 Q Do you recall a private announcement? Not that he made to me. 2 Α 3 () Look at page 13 of Exhibit 15, which is entitled Illustrative Data Room Index. 4 5 Do you know what a data room is? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q What is a data room? Data room is an electronic repository of 8 Α 9 information that would be used by the people involved in the process. And it -- and potentially even by the 10 11 people who would be replying to the ITN. 12 Q Bidders? Α 13 Yes. 14 You see this data room was -- index is 15 approximately six pages long? 16 You're looking at page 13? Α Q Yes. 17 18 Make sure we're on the same page. My numbers 19 are really faint, hard to read here. Okay. So here --20 so here. 21 Q It goes through page 18. 22 Α Yeah. Yeah. I see what it says here. 23 Q Do you know why this data room contains so much 24 data?

Not specifically as to this transaction, but

25

Α

```
various corporate transactions, depending on the size
 1
 2
    and complexity, you could have any number of -- any --
 3
    any amount of data. But as to why these particular
    items were chosen and why these are listed, no, I don't
 4
    know.
 5
             This -- this index of data room data that was
 6
        Q
 7
    prepared at least by the first week in July of 2019
 8
    appears pretty extensive. Do you -- is this work of
    J.P. Morgan and Morgan Stanley?
9
10
        Α
             As I understand it, yes.
11
        Q
             Do you know when they started?
12
        Α
             No.
             Did you have anything to do with this data
13
        Q
14
    room?
15
        Α
             No.
16
        Q
             Did you know a data room had been prepared by
    these same folks in 2018?
17
18
        Α
             No.
19
             Were you aware that this effort in 2019 was a
    continuation of the effort in 2018?
20
21
        Α
             No.
22
             Now, what was the takeaway of this meeting, the
    Club Continental meeting, in July of 2019?
23
24
        Α
             That there would be additional work preparing
    material for the board discuss- -- presentation and
25
```

1 board discussion would be to occur on July 23rd.

Q I can't remember if I asked you this earlier, but do you remember any discussion about the PUP at this Club Continental meeting?

A I believe I had discussions with Jessica Lutrin about it just generally.

Q At the meeting?

A Yes. It's part of our -- in my little working group of employee issues, I think Jessica sat in on some of those meetings.

Q But not in the larger group discussion?

A No. It -- the larger group discussion was really a kickoff and then it -- very quickly people went to their subject matter expertise.

Q A kickoff of what?

A You know, Aaron saying, let's all introduce ourselves. Here we are. He did talk about kind of the -- the end date of work would be July 23rd in terms of we're going to make a presentation on these various scenarios to the -- to the board on July 23rd. So there's a real short time period to get the various things done.

Q And you don't recall the PUP being part of that discussion by Aaron --

A No.

```
1
        Q
             -- about the time frame?
                  No, I don't.
 2
        Α
             No.
 3
        ()
             Do you recall Alan Howard being at the
    meeting?
 4
             I recall Alan being there, I think, one day for
 5
    a very short period of time, but I do remember seeing
 6
    Alan.
 8
             Do you know what his role was at the meeting?
 9
             No. I mean, I knew he was a board member, but
    I don't know what role -- I don't know why he was there
10
11
    and I recall, at least the time that I saw him, was very
12
    brief.
13
             Do you recall him speaking to the group at
        Q
    all?
14
15
        Α
             No.
16
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 16.
    Do you recognize that? It's -- it's an invoice.
17
18
             I know -- I don't recognize it, per se.
                                                        I know
19
    what it's related to.
20
             (Hyde's Exhibit 16 was marked for
    identification.)
21
             What is it related to?
22
        Q
23
             The -- we were -- Herschel asked us to
        Α
24
    procure --
25
             "Us" being Foley?
        Q
```

1 Α "Us" being Foley, yeah. -- us to procure the Club Continental for them, 2 3 you know, to hold the JEA meeting. And you'll see here this Foley & Lardner, LLP, Colleen Rodriguez, she's my 4 5 assistant. Her son happens to use work for this group 6 so she contacted him to see if they could help arrange 7 this meeting with Club Continental, the use of this 8 facility. 9 Q When you say "this group," you're talking about something that's called Cvent Kapow? 10 11 Yes. Α Uh-huh. 12 Q What is the address, 3545 Pine Street? That's my --13 Α 14 That's your home address? Q 15 That's my home address. Α Good to know. 16 Q 17 Α Yeah. Exactly. Now it's part of the record. 18 I tell people I live behind Biscotti's, they know 19 exactly where I live. 20 Q Why did Foley & Lardner pay for this event 21 rather than JEA directly? 22 Α Because the -- the request to hold the meeting 23 was very quick in securing that facility. And Herschel, 24 as I recall, said it would take too long to go through

the JEA accounting procedures, so asked if we could

```
procure it and then ultimately bill them back for it.
 1
 2
             Was -- the 11,000, what was it for? Was it --
 3
    was there overnight stays at the Club Continental?
        Α
             I think -- I think some people from out of town
 4
    may have stayed. I'm not sure. The reason I'm hedging
 5
 6
    on that, I know rooms were secured and -- but I'm not
 7
    sure all of the out-of-towners actually used those rooms
    because I heard about them staying in some other Orange
 8
    Park hotels.
9
10
             Do you remember discussing -- at the meeting,
11
    at the Club Continental meeting, employment agreements
12
    for the senior leadership team?
13
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
14
             What was the discussion?
15
             Just that there -- that there was going to be
16
    new employment agreements for -- the term was senior
    leadership team that would also be part of the
17
18
    consideration by the board.
19
             What do you mean part of the consideration by
    the board?
20
21
             Well, when they were going -- when I say --
22
    there was a number of things going to be considered at
23
    that meeting and there was a series of --
24
        Q
             July 23 meeting?
25
             The July 23rd meeting, yes. There was a number
        Α
```

```
1
    of resolutions which would have to be drafted for the
 2
    board to consider and ultimately approve or reject.
 3
    I recall part of that being that there was going to
    be -- I can't remember if it was the employment
 4
    agreement just for Aaron or if it was going to be for
 5
    the -- the whole senior leadership team.
 6
        Q
             And what was the discussion about that?
 8
        Α
             That there -- that that was going to be one the
9
    other items that would be -- need to be made ready for
10
    the board's consideration.
11
        Q
             Employment agreement?
12
             Employment agreements, yes.
        Α
             And you don't recall whether it was just Aaron
13
        Q
14
    or the senior -- or the senior leadership team?
15
        Α
             As I sit here, no, I don't.
16
        Q
             Do you remember that Herschel hired a firm in
17
    Tampa --
18
        Α
             Yes.
19
        Q
             -- to prepare those agreements?
20
        Α
             Uh-huh.
                      Yeah.
21
        Q
             Do you remember the name of the firm?
22
        Α
             Shane Munoz.
23
             Let me give you context on that.
                                                Herschel
    asked -- Herschel asked whether we could do it and I
24
```

said, no, I thought it would be a conflict. Even if the

```
parties were to waive the conflict, I thought it would
 1
    be a conflict.
 2
             I made a recommendation to Herschel that he
 3
    contact a lawyer I know, a Richard McCrea, at Greenberg,
 4
    very fine lawyer. Mr. McCrea declined on the basis of
 5
    Greenberg, I think, had done some work for JEA.
 6
 7
             Herschel asked me did I know anyone else.
                                                         Ι
 8
    asked Rich, who's an old friend, and Rich suggested
9
    Shane Munoz. I can't remember if Shane's with Ford
10
    Harrison or which firm he's with.
11
        Q
             Do you recall any discussion at -- at Club
12
    Continental about the fact that previously the senior
13
    leadership team, apart from the CEO, had not had
14
    employment agreements?
15
             I don't recall that discussion.
16
        Q
             Did you know that that -- whether or not that
17
    was true?
18
        Α
             I didn't know if that was true or not.
19
             What was the conflict you were concerned about
    there because if you're preparing an employment
20
21
    agreement, your question is are you looking out after
```

A Yes. Uh-huh.

22

23

24

25

Q And you were representing the employer?

the interest of the employer or the employee?

A We were representing the employer on that. But

```
one concern I have generally because we've been asked to
 1
 2
    do this on other occasions to where -- when you're
 3
    representing the -- the entity itself and, yet, you're
    being -- your engagement is coming through particular
 4
 5
    individuals who are now going to be negotiating for
 6
    their employment -- their own employment contract, I
 7
    was concerned whether it's a legal conflict or a -- or
 8
    the appearance of a conflict. I was concerned about
9
    that.
10
             Let me show you what -- let me show you what
11
    we've marked as Exhibit 17A, as an exchange of e-mails.
12
    At the bottom of the e-mail, there's an e-mail from you
13
    to Dabney Ware --
14
        Α
             Uh-huh.
15
             (Hyde's Exhibit 17A was marked for
16
    identification.)
17
             -- on Sunday, July 7th. Says, Another
        Q
18
               Is there anything in Florida's ethics law,
    question:
    particularly the ruling by the Florida Ethics
19
20
    Commission, that prohibits employees from essentially
21
    being given stock options to realize a benefit from an
22
    appreciation in the value of JEA --
23
             Uh-huh.
             -- between now and a sale? I have a memo from
24
        Q
25
    the City that would be a good starting point.
                                                    Ιt
```

discusses very well the applicable Florida statute, but does not go too far into Florida Ethics Commission cases.

Who is Dabney Ware?

A She is a -- she is of counsel to our firm, works directly with me in the labor employment group.

Q Were you concerned in the -- of referring to your message to Dabney about there -- a proposed plan that would give the employees the benefit of the appreciation and the value of JEA --

A Uh-huh.

Q -- between now and a sale, were you concerned that was a problem in terms of designing a plan?

A I thought it definitely required us to look at ethics commissions. That's why I asked her to start looking into it.

Q And then she said: Are you -- Dabney says, Are you thinking that this would be some form of bonus program with a payout to employees based on a sales price? Just trying to figure out what might help me narrow the search of ethics opinions.

And then she follows up with another e-mail on July 10th, which is the first day of the Club Continental meeting: Is there a self-dealing issue in here with executives voting on the sale that has some

```
benefits for themselves?
 1
             And you responded to Dabney and said, No.
 2
 3
             Is that because the board has to approve it?
             Yes.
        Α
 4
 5
        Q
             And that's why you didn't think there was a
 6
    self-dealing?
 7
        Α
             That and I was trying to tell her that it was
 8
    not the executives who would be -- who had the final
    approval, that it, ultimately, had to go to the board.
9
10
             And let me show you what we marked as 17B,
11
    which is an e-mail from Richard Guyer to you, on July
12
    10th, at 4:00 o'clock in the afternoon.
             He says, Kevin, you've asked for the proposed
13
14
    JEA employee benefit bond, the instrument outlined in
15
    the two-page term sheet, is debt or equity as a matter
16
    of law.
17
             Do you know what two-page term sheet he was
18
    referring to?
19
        Α
             It was the one -- I forget which exhibit
             The earlier one that we had been referencing.
20
    number.
21
             (Hyde's Exhibit 17B was marked for
22
    identification.)
23
             Which was a Foley & Lardner work product?
        Q
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
             And second paragraph says, The instrument is a
        Q
```

```
contractual employee benefit in the nature of a contingent future bonus providing for a future payment to participating employees contingent upon the satisfaction of specified conditions and would not be considered debt or equity.
```

And illustration of the potential economics of the instrument is helpful to analyze whether it should be considered debt or employee compensation. I understand the city council auditor estimated the net proceeds from a sale of JEA between 1.7 billion and 5.2 billion. Assuming the midpoint price of 3.4 billion, the return to the City would be a 12 percent premium over net position. Under the terms of the instrument, the employees' \$1 investment per bond would result in a \$120 payment per bond or a 12,000 percent return on investment.

Did you read this e-mail from Richard?

A I don't recall specifically reading this.

Q Would that be -- would what he observed there be a concern to you?

A Yeah, it would be. You'll notice under the subject matter, when it says update on the bond question --

Q Yes.

25 A -- during that time, I was talking to Chauncey

```
Lever whether the bond could even be a vehicle to use
 1
 2
    for this. And Chauncey concluded no. And so I did not
    think about it much further after that in terms of it
 3
    being a bond, the bond as a vehicle for financing this.
 4
 5
        Q
             So did your conclusion that this was not going
    to be a bond render Richard's observations here
 6
    immaterial to the process?
        Α
             As it related to us structuring a bond, yes.
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
9
        Q
10
    17C. Have you seen this document before?
             I don't think so.
11
        Α
12
             (Hyde's Exhibit 17C was marked for
13
    identification.)
14
             17C is a spread -- excel spreadsheet showing
15
    potential payouts by employee class under the
16
    performance unit plan that we believe was drafted by
    Ryan Wannemacher and what the metadata shows was last
17
18
    modified on July 10th, 2019, which was the first date of
19
    the Club Continental meeting.
20
        Α
             Uh-huh.
21
             So my -- I heard what you just said, but I'll
22
    ask you again, was -- to your recollection, was there
23
    any discussion about proposed payouts of this bonus plan
24
    at the Club Continental meeting?
```

Not that I heard. And when you say proposed

25

Α

```
1
    payouts, you mean according to this schedule and things
    like this.
 2
        Ŋ
             Yeah.
 3
             No.
 4
        Α
             Who would get what under the plan?
 5
        Q
             No, I don't.
 6
        Α
 7
        Q
             And you don't remember seeing this document?
 8
        Α
             No.
9
        Q
             Did you know that Ryan Wannemacher had run
10
    scenarios of what would be the payout under the plan --
11
        Α
             No.
12
             -- as early as early July 2019?
13
        Α
             No.
             Were you there on December 16th when --
14
15
    Messrs. Salem and Roy asked the senior leadership team
16
    if anybody had ever done a projected payout on the plan
17
    in the event of a sale?
18
             I was at the meeting.
19
        Q
             Did you hear Ryan Wannamacher's answer to that
20
    question?
21
             I was at -- I don't recall it, but I was at the
22
    meeting. What -- what did he say?
23
             He said no.
        Q
24
             Okay. And you're representing that this was
25
    done on July 10th?
```

1 Q Yes. I'm unaware of that. 2 Α No. 3 And then you're unaware, therefore, that his 4 answer on December 16th to the city council was inaccurate? 5 I -- I don't know. I'm not disputing your 6 7 statements, I just don't have knowledge of them. 8 Q Did you ever see any proposed payouts under the PUP plan? 9 10 Α No. 11 Q Do you remember when -- were you at the board 12 meeting on July 23? 13 Α Yes. 14 Remember when Kelly Flanagan asked the question 15 at the end of the discussion, the 12-minute discussion 16 about the PUP plan --17 Α Uh-huh. 18 -- as to whether or not anybody had ever 19 looked at what would happen with the intersection of the 20 sale process in the PUP plan? 21 I recall that that -- she asked those Α 22 questions. 23 Do you recall what Ryan Wannemacher's answer Q 24 was? 25 Not specifically. Α

```
1
        Q
             Do you recall what anybody's answer to that
    question was?
 2
 3
        Α
             Not specifically.
             Do you recall that nobody gave an answer to
 4
        Q
    that question?
 5
                  I haven't -- I was at the meeting, Steve.
 6
             No.
 7
    I don't recall the exchange -- I recall Kelly asking the
 8
    question. I don't recall the specific answer to the
9
    question.
             Did it occur to you that it was an
10
        ()
11
    inappropriate question?
12
        Α
             Oh, I think the board members absolutely had
    the right to ask that question.
13
14
             The question itself, did you think it was --
        Q
15
        Α
             Yeah.
16
        Q
             -- appropriate in the context?
             Absolutely.
17
        Α
18
        Q
             Do you feel it got a satisfactory answer?
19
             Tell me what the answer was because I'm saying
20
    I don't recall the specific answer to the question.
21
        Q
             The answer was nobody had ever run this.
22
    Nobody ever looked at the intersection of what happens
23
    with the sale and the PUP plan?
             Oh, okay. Well, you're asking is that --
24
25
    I'm -- I'm accepting your representation as to when
```

1 Exhibit 17C was made and --What I said, more precisely, is the metadata 2 3 showed the last change on July 10. All right. Well, that doesn't seem to be Α 4 responsive to Kelly's question then. 5 6 And as you sat there on July 23, listening to 7 that discussion, you were unaware that she hadn't given 8 an adequate answer to her question? 9 I was unaware of that. It -- it didn't strike 10 me at the time the answer was given that it was a -- an 11 incorrect or inappropriate answer because I was unaware 12 of what you -- what Exhibit 17C, the dates associated with that. 13 14 MR. BUSEY: Terrie, would you read that answer 15 back to me, please? 16 (The following answer was read by the reporter: "Answer: I was unaware of that. It -- it didn't 17 18 strike me at the time the answer was given that it 19 was a -- an incorrect or inappropriate answer 20 because I was unaware of what you -- what -- Exhibit 21 17C, the dates associated with that.") BY MR. BUSEY: 22 I heard what you said, you were -- you were 23 24 unaware that it was an inappropriate answer. 25 But were you aware that it -- her question

```
1
    wasn't answered?
 2
        Α
             I'm not quite sure what you mean by that,
 3
    Steve.
 4
        Q
             Well, what I'm getting to is it was a very
    appropriate question under the circumstance.
 5
             Yes.
 6
        Α
 7
        Q
             If we do the sale and we do this PUP --
 8
        Α
             Right.
9
        Q
             -- what happens in the next three years is
10
    everybody looks at what the payout's going to be to
11
    the senior --
12
        Α
             Right.
             -- to the senior management.
13
        Q
14
             Did -- did it occur to you that doesn't seem
15
    like a reasonable question?
16
             It did.
        Α
                       It was a reasonable question.
17
        Q
             And were you satisfied she got a good answer to
18
    it or you're not?
19
             I don't recall the specific answer.
20
    I've -- I don't recall, as we sit here today, thinking,
21
    oh, that that was an inappropriate or incomplete or
22
    inaccurate answer. It was just part of the exchange
23
    going on.
24
             In retrospect, do you think it may have been
25
    incomplete?
```

```
1
        Α
             I would need to see exactly what Ryan said.
 2
    I'm not trying to play word games here. What exactly
 3
    did Ryan say in response?
             I'm -- more generally, I'm asking you since --
        Q
 4
    since July 23, has it ever occurred to you that Kelly
 5
 6
    didn't get a fair answer to her question?
 7
             Oh, yeah, when we think about it in
 8
    retrospect.
             That's the question I'm asking.
9
        Q
             Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood what
10
    you're saying. Yeah, in retrospect, the thing to do
11
12
    would be to say, Board, you're considering X, Y, Z,
13
    here's what X, Y, Z will produce because it gives the
14
    board, as policymakers, the full information.
15
    what I wanted when I was sitting in the legislative
16
    body.
17
             And so what you're telling me is not at the
        Q
18
    time, but subsequently, you realized that Kelly asked a
19
    good question and she didn't get an adequate answer?
20
        Α
             I realized that more information could have
21
    been given to Kelly to make it a more complete answer.
22
        Q
             Could have been or should have been?
23
        Α
             Both.
             MS. NOLLER: Steve, I don't want to take you
24
```

out of your rhythm here, but the nature of this back

```
1
        and forth is reminding me that your partner, Lanny
 2
        Russell, and I had discussed yesterday, stipulating
 3
        that Foley's not waiving any form objections. We're
 4
        just not going to bog down the record with form
        objections.
 5
             Do you agree?
 6
 7
             MR. BUSEY: Yes.
 8
             MS. NOLLER:
                          Thank you.
9
             And that would be true from the beginning of
10
        this session to the end; fair enough?
11
             MR. BUSEY:
                         Yes. Lisa, you're a very good
12
        lawyer.
13
             MS. NOLLER: Thank you both.
    BY MR. BUSFY:
14
15
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
          This is an e-mail exchange between you and Jessica
16
    17D.
    Lutrin, starting with your e-mail to Jessica of July
17
18
    17th.
           And in which you say, Per Herschel, can you
19
    remove the language in the retention agreement about
20
    covering employees who are attorneys with the Office of
21
    General Counsel, who are dedicated to JEA?
                                                 This will
22
    apply only to the retention agreement.
             What retention agreement are you referring
23
24
    to?
25
             There -- there was going to be a -- the
        Α
```

```
retention agreement would be available to all employees
 1
    that was going to be an inducement for them to stay --
 2
 3
    for all employees, at all levels, collective.
                                                    Unionized
    employees, didn't matter what level. The idea was that
 4
 5
    if a sale process were going to go through, there would
 6
    be a great deal of uncertainty created and people might
 7
    want to leave the work force so it was designed to
 8
    induce them to remain employed.
 9
             (Hyde's Exhibit 17D was marked for
    identification.)
10
11
        Q
             It was designed, the --
        Α
12
             The retention agreement.
13
        Q
             And who drafted the retention agreement?
14
             I think Jessica did.
        Α
15
        Q
             Have you seen it at this point?
16
             I think so, yes.
        Α
             And tell me what your question is here.
17
        Q
                                                        Remove
18
    the language --
19
        Α
             Yeah.
20
             -- from the retention agreement about covering
21
    employees who were --
22
        Α
             There were two employees who worked as
23
    attorneys for JEA, but who were OGC employees.
24
    had Lynne Rhode and Miriam Hill dedicated exclusively to
25
    work for JEA, but there -- they were members of the
```

```
1
    Office of General Counsel. And so the idea was and
 2
    request had been that these two employees not be subject
 3
    to the retention agreement like all other JEA employees
 4
    because they were actually employed by OGC.
        Q
             So this exchange between you and Jessica
 5
 6
    evidenced in Exhibit 17D is not really the PUP, is it?
 7
             No, this relates to the retention agreement,
    which is separate.
9
        Q
             Were you aware there was a similar issue as
10
    to whether or not OGC employees would participate in
11
    the --
12
        Α
             Yes.
             -- PUP?
13
        Q
14
             Yes, I heard discussion about that.
        Α
15
        Q
             Where did you hear that discussion?
16
        Α
             I heard Lynne Rhode talk about it some.
                                                        Ι
    believe I may have had conversations with Jason Gabriel
17
18
    about it.
               I knew it was a -- a bit of a controversy
19
    going on because you had employees who, for instance,
20
    had they been assigned to work at JAA, the Airport
21
    Authority, or the Port Authority were not going to have
22
    the same opportunity to participate in a retention
23
    agreement as the employees who were at JEA.
```

When you say employees, employees of OGC?

Employees of OGC, attorneys of OGC, yes.

24

25

Q

Α

```
1
        Q
             And -- and you just mentioned retention
    agreement, but you're also aware there's a similar issue
 2
 3
    regarding whether or not --
             Yes.
 4
        Α
                   Uh-huh.
             -- these OGC employees were to participate in
 5
        Q
    the PUP?
 6
        Α
             In the PUP, yes.
                                Uh-huh.
 8
        Q
             And help me understand when you become aware of
9
    that issue and what you knew about it.
             On the PUP or the retention agreement?
10
11
             On the PUP.
        Q
12
             I don't recall specifically when it was.
        Α
                                                         Ι
13
    think there was just generally in or around -- you see
14
    this is July time frame, of whether those two
15
    individuals who had been dedicated from OGC to JEA could
16
    participate in any of the things that other JEA
17
    employees might receive or have an opportunity to
18
    participate in.
19
             Do you remember at whose instance it was
20
    suggested that the OGC employees be able to
21
    participate?
22
        Α
                  I heard about that they were originally
             No.
23
    going to be included, but I don't know who initiated
24
    that.
25
             You don't know if it was Aaron or somebody
        Q
```

```
1
    else?
             I don't.
 2
        Α
 3
        ()
             And what did you understand about the
 4
    controversy? What was the controversy about?
 5
        Α
             The controversy was that just by virtue of
 6
    these two attorneys having been dedicated to a
 7
    particular independent authority, as opposed to any
 8
    other work they may do for OGC or any other independent
9
    authority, that they were going -- others went to, that
10
    they would have the opportunity to receive something
11
    that the other OGC employees would not.
12
        Q
             And did you ever determine in your mind whether
    that was appropriate or inappropriate?
13
14
             No, I was not asked to opine on that.
        Α
15
             Were you aware that there was a senior -- a JEA
16
    senior leadership team meeting at the Dalton Agency
17
    sidebar on July 12th?
18
        Α
             July 12th?
19
        Q
             Which was two days after July 10th.
20
        Α
             I don't recall, no.
21
        Q
             Have you been to the Dalton Agency?
             Yeah. Uh-huh.
22
        Α
23
             But you don't recall being there on the 12th?
        Q
24
        Α
             No, I don't.
25
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 20.
        Q
```

```
1
    Do you recognize this document? It's entitled
    Resolution 2019-06?
 2
             Yes, I've seen this document.
 3
 4
             (Hyde's Exhibit 20 was marked for
    identification.)
 5
             What is it?
 6
        ()
 7
             It's a resolution that was presented to the --
    and are you referring to just the first two pages?
9
        Q
             I'm referring to the entire document that I
10
    handed you --
11
        Α
             Okay.
12
             -- which has agreements attached.
             Yeah, I -- I remember seeing this document as
13
        Α
14
    part of the July 23rd meeting.
15
             Part of the board materials for the July 23 JEA
16
    board meeting?
17
        Α
             Yes. Uh-huh.
18
        Q
             Did you have anything to do with the
19
    preparation of this agreement?
20
        Α
             No.
21
             In the first paragraph, it refers to 574 JEA
        Q
22
    employees.
23
        Α
             Uh-huh.
24
        Q
             Do you know what that means?
25
        Α
             In the discussion about the traditional
```

response, which was continuing to operate as is, I heard discussion that if the traditional response were followed, there would have to be layoffs of a number of people and I believe the number was 574.

Q Do I understand, from what you just said, that the JEA senior leadership team put to the board on July 23 that if you don't sell JEA, we're going to have to lay off 574 employees?

A I think more particularly what they said in this resolution, that is if you choose to follow what was the traditional approach, which they deem the scenario to, that they would have -- they would recommend to the board the termination of 574 employees.

Q And when you say "traditional approach," you mean don't sell?

A I would say it's more not only don't sell, but just remain as is, the current operating structure, things like that.

Q You think that was a part of the scare tactics of Aaron to get the JEA board to approve the privatization?

A Well, I can't opine whether he was trying to use scare tactics, but, obviously, it's a fact that the board would have to consider. You're talking about, in

```
that case, it would be -- there's roughly 2,000 plus
 1
 2
    employees and you're talking about the termination of 25
 3
    percent, that's a -- that's a major change.
        Q
             Did you at the time, July of 2019, have any
 4
    sense as to whether or not that was a realistic
 5
 6
    assumption?
 7
        Α
                  I -- in terms of delving into the
    financials and coming up as to whether that was
 8
9
    necessary, no.
             What about we need to lay off 25 percent of the
10
11
    work force --
12
        Α
             Right.
                     No.
13
             -- if you don't do what I'm asking you to do?
14
             That's correct. Or more specifically, if
15
    you -- if you follow the traditional approach, you would
16
    have to lay off 574 people.
17
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 21.
        Q
18
        Α
             Thank you.
19
             (Hyde's Exhibit 21 was marked for
20
    identification.)
21
        Q
             Which it says on the first page, Scenario
22
    Number 3, Nontraditional Response, The Key to Removing
23
    All Constraints, JEA. And "all" is underlined.
24
             Do you remember seeing that before?
25
             As part of the board package and part of the
        Α
```

```
1
    board presentation, yes.
             And what was your understanding of what was
 2
 3
    meant by nontraditional?
        Α
             You had earlier used the word privatization
 4
    to -- which it could include that it could be -- I
 5
 6
    always interpret it as being something other than its
 7
    current form operating as a municipal utility; sale,
 8
    merger, outside investment, co-op, something like that.
9
        Q
             Look at what is numbered page 9 of this
10
    excerpt, which is marked as Exhibit 21.
11
        Α
             It's the last page, yeah.
12
        Ŋ
             Yeah.
        Α
             Uh-huh.
13
14
             Says, Process and Timelime for Path to
        Q
15
    Investigating a Nongovernment Structure.
16
        Α
             Yeah.
17
             What does that mean, a nongovernment
        ()
18
    structure?
19
             Not -- no longer being a municipally-owned
20
    utility. It could be an investor owned utility, it
21
    could be a co-op, but it would -- to me, I always
22
    interpreted something outside of the traditional
23
    municipally-owned utility.
24
        Q
             And does this page 9 of Exhibit 21 reiterate
25
    the proposed timeline of a consummation of an agreement
```

```
1
    by March of 2020?
 2
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
 3
        ()
             And this was presented to the board on July 23,
    2019?
 4
             I believe so.
 5
        Α
 6
        Q
             Referring to page 8 of Exhibit 21.
 7
        Α
             Okay.
             Constraint Profile of Alternate Structures.
 8
        Q
9
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             Those were all alternate structures, alternate
10
        ()
11
    to what?
12
        Α
             Alternate to the current structure being a
    municipally-owned utility.
13
14
        Q
             Status quo?
15
             Status quo, traditional. It -- the way I
16
    interpret this, what he's talking about -- in the
    presentation, as I recall, they were saying that under
17
18
    the municipal -- municipal-owned utility, there were
19
    certain constraints. If you look at an alternative
20
    structure, one of the things that could be considered as
21
    part of the nontraditional approach, here's whether
22
    those constraints would be present or removed. So the
23
    alternate is an alternate to the municipally-owned --
24
    owned utility.
25
             And this slot -- this slide, on page 8 of the
        Q
```

```
1
    21 --
 2
        Α
             Uh-huh.
                      Right.
 3
             -- suggests that the alternate structures would
    be more better?
 4
             It suggests by the -- the green check marks
 5
        Α
 6
    that there are fewer constraints, yeah.
 7
             MR. BUSEY: How are y'all doing? Y'all want to
 8
        take a break and get something to eat?
9
             THE WITNESS: Totally up to you.
10
             MR. BUSEY: Are you comfortable bringing your
11
        sandwiches back in here and eating or do you want to
12
        take a break?
13
             THE WITNESS: No, I'm -- let's just grab them
14
        and eat.
15
             MR. BUSEY: Go on. Okay. Let's do that.
        Let's go off the record.
16
             (Lunch recess taken.)
17
    BY MR. BUSEY:
18
19
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 22.
20
    Have you seen that before?
21
        Α
             Yes, it's part of the board package.
22
             (Hyde's Exhibit 22 was marked for
23
    identification.)
             Resolution 2019-07?
24
        ()
             Yes.
25
        Α
```

1 Q Is this the resolution authorizing the 2 privatization process? 3 It -- it's authorizing scenario 3, which we've been talking about. It's a nontraditional response, 4 which could include privatization. 5 6 And the first paragraph says, The board 7 authorizes the chief executive officer to take any and 8 all action to maximize the four core values of JEA, of 9 customer, community, environmental and financial, 10 through a competitive solicitation process regarding JEA 11 assets, including but not limited to: 12 A, issuance of a competitive solicitation instrument, including but not limited to an invitation 13 14 to negotiate. 15 B, engaging in and undertaking a competitive 16 solicitation process. 17 And, C, engaging accountants, consultants, 18 financial advisors and legal counsel to -- to assist in 19 a competitive solicitation process. 20 What was your understanding of what was 21 approved by that paragraph? 22 Α That they could start what later became known 23 as the ITN process. 24 Q Invitation to negotiate? 25 Yes. Α

1 Q Regarding what? The potential sale of JEA. 2 Α 3 () Okay. And so -- and this -- this resolution on July 23, 2019, authorized the CEO to take any action to 4 maximize the four core values through a competitive 5 6 solicitation process. 7 What does that mean? What is competitive 8 solicitation? 9 Well, it's -- it's -- I'm not an expert in 10 this, I'm just speaking of general knowledge. It's that 11 you could put it out to receive replies, as was done, 12 where people could make a, quote, bid on that, but it would be -- rather than negotiating with a sole source, 13 14 you -- you would have any number of people who could 15 I say people, I mean companies or entities 16 could respond as to their interest in potentially 17 acquiring JEA. 18 Q And paragraph 2 of Exhibit 22 is a list of 19 conditions that the board's imposing upon that --20 Α Yes. 21 -- process? 22 Α Yes. Including that there would be a net realization 23 Q

Jacksonville; and, B, greater than \$400 million of value

of at least \$3 billion in value to the City of

24

```
1
    distributed to customers.
             Did you understand what that was about?
 2
 3
             What I think I understood it was going to be,
    I'm just using my -- my term of rebate to customers.
 4
    Like, if I had a -- if I had an irrigation account and I
 5
 6
    had a -- an electric meter, I might get two separate
 7
    rebates or if I only had just electric, I'd get one
    rebate.
9
        Q
             Did you have any understanding of why that was
10
    in the condition?
11
             Why it was placed there?
        Α
12
        Q
             Uh-huh.
             No.
13
        Α
14
             Did you hear any discussion about it?
        Q
             That I -- I heard discussion that it was
15
16
    thought that if there were going to be this change in
17
    the structure that resulted in, obviously, large
18
    dollars, that the customers ought to receive some
19
    benefit from that.
20
        Q
             At that time did you have any understanding
    that that $400 million would be included in the
21
22
    calculation that resulted in a larger payout to the
23
    participants in the PUP?
24
        Α
             No.
25
             Did you ever reach that understanding?
        Q
```

A No.

Q Do you know who developed those conditions that are in paragraph 22 of Exhibit 22 -- paragraph 2 of Exhibit 22?

A I don't know specifically. As part of the meeting at Club Continental, I heard Aaron talk about that they -- he wanted to have certain -- I think the word he used was cable stakes and that I don't remember if all of these were mentioned, but, you know, the idea was there were certain conditions that needed to be met in order for a sale to occur, even apart from the competitive bidding process. There had to be certain benchmarks that we met at the minimum.

Q Were those discussed at the Club Continental meeting?

A Yes.

Q You -- you've described generally what happened on that July 10th meeting at Club Continental and all the work that had to be done before the July 23 board meeting and what it led up to and we've looked at the resolutions of the July 23 board meeting.

What was Foley's role in all that process during the month of July 2019?

A Tell you what I know of and then what I think of some of my partners. There was -- I was looking

```
at -- I was beginning to look at particularly collective
 1
 2
    bargaining issues, given the timing that we were talking
    about earlier.
 3
             Other Foley lawyers, including Robert Hosay,
 4
    were looking at the invitation to negotiate and what
 5
 6
    that actual document and process would be.
 7
        Q
             And how did Robert's role in that regard vary
    from what Pillsbury was doing?
 9
        Α
             Roberts's role was like mine in the sense of
10
    being Florida specific. And so the -- the ITN process
11
    is being contemplated, always had to have a lens to make
12
    sure that it met compliance with both Florida
13
    procurement law and City of Jacksonville or JEA
14
    procurement law.
15
             But not principally the PUP?
        Q
16
                  Talking about from Robert?
        Α
             No.
17
             Yes.
        ()
18
        Α
             No.
                  No. Robert was not --
19
             How about anybody else at Foley & Lardner?
                                                           Was
20
    anybody else working on the PUP plan during this
21
    process?
22
        Α
             Well, not -- I was the one working on it the
23
    most.
           There would be times that I might ask questions.
24
    I had a question at one point to Michael Kirwan about
25
    things, but, no, it was principally me.
```

```
1
        Q
             And what were you doing regarding the PUP
    during that time period?
 2
 3
             In which time period are we talking about?
        Q
             July.
 4
             Very little. Because my work really kicked off
 5
        Α
 6
    once the board approved it and then we're looking at the
    various statutory questions that had been raised.
 8
        Q
             So to your knowledge, Foley really wasn't
    involved in working on or designing or helping advance
9
10
    the prospect of the PUP during July of 2019?
11
             We did not draft it. I don't want to suggest,
12
    Steve, I didn't see it. I think I did see it.
                                                     But we
13
    didn't draft it. We -- our input was limited to just an
14
    overall review of Florida law and then thinking about
15
    what we were going to have to look at further, but it
    was -- it was a document prepared by Pillsbury.
16
17
             And were you asked to comment on it in July of
        Q
18
    2019?
19
        Α
             I think -- yeah, just -- you know, general
    review of it, yes. Uh-huh.
20
21
        Q
             Did you do that?
22
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
             Is that among the time that you wrote off the
23
        Q
    $136,000?
24
25
             It would be included in that, uh-huh.
        Α
```

```
1
        Q
             Surely that was the intent?
             Yes. The intent of writing off the time was if
 2
    it related to the PUP, we would not charge for it.
 3
             Whenever that time was?
 4
        Q
             Whenever that time occurred, from the inception
 5
        Α
 6
    through the end of our engagement.
 7
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 25
 8
    and ask you if you recognize it.
9
             (Hyde's Exhibit 25 was marked for
10
    identification.)
11
             MS. NOLLER: I'm sorry. 25?
12
             MR. BUSEY:
                          25.
13
             MS. NOLLER: Thank you.
    BY MR. BUSFY:
14
15
             Do you remember seeing this document?
        Q
16
    dated July 2019. It's called Total Market Compensation
17
    Strategy.
18
             I think it was part of the overall board
19
    package presentation.
20
        Q
             Did Foley have anything to do with the
21
    preparation?
22
        Α
             No.
             Do you know who did?
23
        Q
24
        Α
             Not specifically.
25
             Page numbered 6 in this excerpt, which is
        Q
```

```
1
    Exhibit 25, is entitled Performance Unit Program.
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 2
 3
        ()
             Do you know who wrote that?
 4
        Α
             I don't know who prepared this page.
             Not Foley?
 5
        Q
 6
        Α
             Foley did not.
 7
        Q
             Well, you -- and you went to the board meeting
 8
    on July 23?
 9
        Α
             Yes.
             Had you read these materials before you went to
10
        Q
11
    the board meeting?
             I -- I reviewed generally the package so I'm
12
        Α
    presuming I -- I saw this.
13
14
             You reviewed the package before the board
        Q
15
    meeting?
16
        Α
              I believe so.
17
             When -- when before the board meeting?
        Q
18
        Α
             A couple of days or so.
19
        Q
             You don't recall what day you received that?
20
        Α
              No.
21
              Did you have any comments on this document?
        Q
             Not that I recall.
22
        Α
             On page 7, this is numbered page 7 --
23
        Q
             Uh-huh.
24
        Α
25
              -- the first bullet point at the bottom says,
        Q
```

```
Employee PUP pool equal to 10 percent of the value
 1
 2
    created in excess of the challenge target value.
 3
             The challenge and target value was $3.39
    billion?
 4
             That's what it references up there under
 5
        Α
    forecasted value.
 6
 7
        Q
             And so the idea is the PUP pool would be 10
 8
    percent of the value realized in a sale in excess of
    $3.39 billion?
9
             No, it could be the sale or if the sale didn't
10
11
    occur and the -- the target and the -- and the value of
12
    JEA went up as well, it could occur. In other words, it
13
    was possible that if the PUP program had gone into play
14
    and JEA remained completely as is, but the target values
15
    were met, that there could be a gain from that.
16
             And the pool would participate in 10 percent of
        Q
17
    that gain?
18
        Α
             Yes.
19
             So if it was sold during the three-year period
20
    of time for $10 million, the PUP pool would be 10
21
    percent of the difference between 3.39 billion and $10
    billion?
22
23
             That was -- that was the concept in there.
        Α
24
        Q
             That would be a pretty rich payout, wouldn't
25
    it?
```

1 A Big number.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 2 Q Did you realize that at the time?
- 3 A Not at the time.
- 4 Q Why? Because you just didn't focus on it?
- A I wasn't focusing on that. My job was to think about Florida specific laws issues.
- Q And looking at Florida specific law issues, 8 would it make any difference how big the PUP pool was?
  - A No, because you could -- if it's not compliant with Florida law, by statute it's not based on the amount realized, whether it is or is not compliant with Florida law.
  - Q Do you remember how you received the board package when -- how it was delivered to you, what day of the week it was? Was it over the weekend? Do you remember anything about that?
  - A I don't recall specifically. But I -- I don't remember if it was e-mailed or if someone handed me the board package. I -- I don't recall.
- Q Do you recall sitting down and looking at it?
- 21 A I think so.
- Q Over the weekend?
- A I don't recall. It would be sometime between the 12th and the 23rd.
- Q Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit

```
26, which is Resolution 2019-10. This is a resolution
 1
    of the board approving the PUP plan.
 2
 3
        Α
             Right.
 4
             (Hyde's Exhibit 26 was marked for
    identification.)
 5
             And the fourth whereas clause, it says, The
 6
        ()
 7
    board has reviewed the summary of the terms and
 8
    conditions of the long-term performance unit plan, which
9
    summary is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
10
             Uh-huh.
        Α
11
        Q
             And do you see Exhibit 1?
12
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
             Is that the summary that was approved by the
13
        Q
14
    board July 23?
15
             Yes.
                   I believe Exhibit 1 is -- as you're
    showing me in Exhibit 26, is what the board approved
16
    pursuant to its resolution.
17
18
        Q
             That is that the board did not see any more
19
    detail of a plan than this summary?
             I don't know what the board saw outside of the
20
        Α
21
    meeting. What I -- this is what I recall being part of
22
    the board package.
23
             Are you aware of the board seeing anything --
        Q
             No, I'm not.
24
        Α
25
             -- at any time regarding the plan other than
        Q
```

1 this summary of the plan? 2 No, I'm not. 3 And in the first numbered paragraph, among other things in the paragraph, it says, Among the people 4 that will be participating is each actively employed 5 eligible full-time attorney from the Office of General 6 7 Counsel of the City of Jacksonville, who is dedicated 8 exclusively to JEA. Was that a subject of discussion at the board 9 10 meeting? 11 Α At the board meeting itself? 12 Q Yeah. Not that I recall. 13 Α 14 Do you recall any discussion with anybody about Q whether or not it's appropriate to have those lawyers 15 16 from the OGC participate in the PUP plan? 17 What I referenced earlier, there was a Α 18 discussion about whether these two individuals, who are 19 OGC lawyers, whether they should be able to get a 20 benefit by virtue of them being exclusively assigned to 21 JEA that other OGC lawyers could not get. 22 Q And where and when was that conversation, if 23 you recall? I don't recall the specific time about it. 24 Α 25 Do you remember who you had that conversation Q

1 with? I remember John -- well, I remember 2 3 particularly hearing Lynne Rhode talk about it and 4 Herschel talk about it. And I'm trying to recall whether I had a specific conversation with Jon Phillips, 5 but it was referenced, I think, from Herschel that Jon 6 7 Phillips had raised questions about that. 8 Q And in the summary, you see there that pool is 9 a total of 100,000 performance units? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q Were you aware of that? 12 Α As reflected in the document, yes. Uh-huh. Do you recall how long the board -- how much 13 Q 14 time the board devoted to discussion of Resolution 15 2019-10 at the July 23 board meeting? 16 Α No, I don't recall how long. You talking about specific to this resolution? 17 18 Q Uh-huh. 19 Α No, I don't recall. We'd have to look at the 20 tape to see what time it was. 21 Do you happen to recall, have an impression Q 22 whether it was a short period of time, long period of 23 time considering the significance of the plan? 24 Α I don't recall having an impression at that 25 time one way or the other.

```
1
        Q
             On the second page of the summary, there is
    a -- a statement that says, Redemption price.
 2
 3
    there's a paragraph that describes redemption price.
 4
             Who drafted that, do you know?
        Α
             I don't know.
 5
 6
        Q
             It wasn't Foley?
 7
        Α
             No.
 8
        Q
             Do you remember any discussion of it?
             No.
9
        Α
             Up to and including the July 23 board meeting,
10
        Q
11
    do you recall anybody within JEA or its outside
12
    consultants or professionals voicing any objection to
    the PUP plan?
13
14
             No.
15
             Any reservation about it?
        Q
16
        Α
             Not that I recall. Talking about prior to the
    board meeting?
17
18
        Q
             Prior to or at the board meeting.
19
        Α
             No.
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 28A,
20
        Q
21
    which is an e-mail chain. Begins with an e-mail from
22
    you to Michael Kirwan --
23
        Α
             Uh-huh.
24
             (Hyde's Exhibit 28A was marked for
25
    identification.)
```

draft letter regarding the performance unit plan that JEA is offering its employees. This letter, which is being revised by JEA, seeks an advisory opinion from the attorney general regarding compliance with the Florida -- with a specific Florida statute. The JEA wants to know whether any blue sky laws, paren, do we need to issue any blue sky letter, closed paren, are implemented or if this would be treated as a private placement, paren, any requirements for someone being an accredited investor, closed paren. Melissa Coffee is looking at this from a securities law standpoint.

Please review and let me know your thoughts. I will be out tomorrow, but hopefully on Friday, Colleen can give you the number. Jacksonville Electric Authority General Advice.

A Uh-huh.

Q And then he responds to you on August 15th.

And in his response, he has a number of -- of observations.

What is -- what is the letter to which you're referring to that you asked him to review?

A It is -- we were beginning to draft -- if you look at the subject line, where it says, JEA request to Attorney General, re: Performance Plan, it was the

```
beginning of what would ultimately become the letter to
the Florida Attorney General seeking advisory opinion.
Q And in Michael's response to you, he raises a
```

A Uh-huh.

number of observations.

Q Number 8, Is there a cap on the unit payout?

If not, it could be very expensive, which can expose the board to charges of corporate waste, et cetera.

What was the answer to his question, was there a cap on it?

A Was there a cap on it? I don't believe so because it was tied to target values.

Q What do you -- what do you mean when you say "because it was tied to target values"?

A Well, you -- you -- what I mean by that is that you could have -- I'm just going to use that as an example, not specifics. If you increased X dollars in a person -- in a formula where it applied to that, that would result in one thing. If it increased X, plus Y, that would be more, but I'm not aware if there was any cap on that under no circumstances could it exceed anything like that.

Q But that was the question Michael was raising?

A That was the question Michael was raising, yes.

- Q Did you ever answer his question?
- A I don't think I -- I don't know -- I don't have
  an e-mail responding to that. Michael and I had
  discussions about it.
  - Q What were those discussions?
  - A He was concern about the -- what the numbers could generate and that caused us to call Jessica Lutrin, who was the person who drafted it and said, Are we understanding this correctly?
- 10 Q That there would be no cap?
  - A No, just -- that there would be no cap and is
    -- is the formula producing the numbers that Michael had
    calculated it could perform -- it could produce.
    - Q What did she say?
  - A She looked at it and said that, as Michael was posing the question to her, that that was correct. And that calls in of her, I believe, to reach out to Ryan Wannemacher and ask some more questions.
  - Q That is, she acknowledged that Michael was correct that, as written, there was no cap and it could be under some circumstances very large payouts?
    - A Yes.

Q In and paragraph 9 of -- of Michael's
observations, he says, Paragraph 16 states that the
units are not incentives and are not connected to

```
services being rendered. If true then, what is the
 1
 2
    purpose?
        Α
 3
             Uh-huh.
        Q
             What was -- do you know the answer to this
 4
    observation?
 5
             I don't recall specifically talking to him
 6
 7
    about his question.
             And do you know the answer to it, what -- what
 8
        Q
9
    was the purpose?
             My understanding was it was to incentivize the
10
11
    employees to do their best to work to increase the value
12
    of JEA and, if so, they would have the opportunity to
    participate in that increase of value, but that it was a
13
14
    form of -- of incentive or motivation to work.
15
             And also understanding that during this time,
    there was going to be a great deal of -- of uncertainty,
16
    that if the ITN were issued, as it -- as it was, whether
17
18
    employees would want to stay around.
19
             But you -- I think you just said it gives the
    employees incentive to stick around because if the value
20
21
    of JEA went up --
22
        Α
             There would be an upside --
23
             To the employee?
        Q
24
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
25
             To your knowledge, was a -- prior to the cap --
        Q
```

1 PUP being cancelled, was a cap ever put in place? 2 I'm not aware of any. 3 () Do you remember any discussion among JEA leadership about whether or not there should be a cap? 4 Not that I was involved in. 5 Α 6 Q Did you ever raise with JEA leadership 7 Michael's question about shouldn't there be a cap? 8 Α I don't -- when we -- I know we had a 9 discussion with Ryan Wannemacher, we, being myself and 10 Michael Kirwan and Jessica Lutrin, and I don't recall 11 specifically whether the cap was discussed during that 12 time. When you say you recall a discussion among you, 13 Q 14 Michael, Jessica and Ryan, what was that discussion 15 about? 16 It was -- Michael had done some, what I would Α call, backing out the calculations and wanted to 17 18 understand whether the formula, as he understood it, 19 could produce what he had calculated. All right. Well, Exhibit 28A that I just 20 Q 21 showed you is Michael's response to you, was at 2:45 in 22 the afternoon, on the 15th of August. 23 Uh-huh. Now, I'm going to show you Exhibit 28B, which 24 25 is from Michael a little over an hour later, at 3:45 --

```
1
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 28B was marked for
 2
    identification.)
 3
             -- on Thursday, August 15th.
 4
        Q
 5
        Α
             Right.
             And it says, Kevin, this letter, which letter
 6
        Q
 7
    is that?
 8
        Α
             This -- again, this is a draft letter to Ashley
9
    Moody.
10
        ()
             It's actually a draft letter to the Attorney
11
    General?
12
        Α
             Attorney General, yeah. Uh-huh.
             It's much better than the initial draft.
13
        Q
14
    Although, I have not reviewed exhibits that are
15
    referenced to the attached -- that are referenced to be
    attached to the letter. A few thoughts from my earlier
16
    e-mail are still germane.
17
18
        Α
             Uh-huh.
19
             And in the third paragraph, The argument that
    the units are not compensation rings a bit hollow.
20
21
             Do you know what argument that he's referring
    to?
22
23
             Not specifically.
        Α
24
        Q
             It would seem that the argument can be
25
    strengthened by actually making the units look like
```

```
1
    securities. Have the proceeds actually be used with
 2
    funding a specific purpose. I'm sure there are lots of
 3
    potential purposes. Have the program to be set up as an
    annual program, not a one-time event. If the sale does
 4
 5
    not occur, then the participants still earn what the
 6
    plan provides and the program can continue into the
    future.
 8
             4, My earlier comment about a cap on unit
9
    payouts is hopefully in the plan document that is an
10
    exhibit.
11
             But his -- his earlier comment about a cap upon
12
    plan payouts was not in the plan document, was it?
13
        Α
             No.
14
             Did you do anything in response to his comment
15
    here to -- to address that issue with JEA leadership?
16
             Not that I recall.
        Α
17
        Q
             Why not?
18
        Α
             I don't remember.
19
        ()
             Did you think it was a good point for Michael
20
    to raise it?
21
        Α
             I respect Michael's opinion.
22
        Q
             Michael's a good lawyer.
23
        Α
             He is a good lawyer.
24
        Q
             He came from Smith Hulsey.
25
             We've had him longer, but -- I mean, I -- I
        Α
```

```
1
    don't recall specifically what I've done, but he is a
    good lawyer and I respect his opinion.
 2
 3
        Q
             But why didn't -- why wasn't there any
    follow-up on his repeated questions of why isn't there a
 4
    cap?
 5
             I don't know.
 6
        Α
 7
        Q
             Do you recall anybody saying that there should
 8
    not be a cap?
9
        Α
             No.
10
        O
             You don't recall any discussion one way or the
11
    other?
12
        Α
             No.
             Michael's repeated observations just went
13
        Q
    unanswered?
14
15
             I don't have -- I did not respond to Michael's
        Α
16
    particular questions on that.
17
        Q
             Nor did anybody else, to your knowledge?
18
        Α
             To my knowledge, no.
19
        Q
             Why didn't you?
20
        Α
             I'm not sure. But as we sit here, I -- I don't
21
    know.
             It seems like an appropriate observation,
22
        Q
23
    doesn't it?
             It does.
24
        Α
25
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
        Q
```

```
1
    28C. Again, this is an e-mail chain.
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 2
 3
             (Hyde's Exhibit 28C was marked for
    identification.)
 4
        Q
             And it starts with an e-mail from Lynne Rhode
 5
 6
    to Jessica. Do you know what Lynne's referring to in
    her e-mail to Jessica that's dated August 16th, at
    10:02?
9
        Α
             The one from Lynne to Jessica?
10
        O
             Yes.
11
        Α
             At the bottom of that page?
                                           Okav.
12
        Q
             It wasn't clear to me from reading it.
                                                      I'm
    just curious if you know what she's talking about.
13
14
             I -- I think -- I'm -- I'm -- I'm just
15
    interpreting what I read here, but I -- I believe it was
16
    who would make the determination -- or, first of all,
    who would be the administrator and what -- what would
17
18
    the authority of the administrator be to determine the
19
    number of units each individual participant would
20
    receive.
21
        Q
             I take it you haven't seen this e-mail before?
22
        Α
                  I'm not on the e-mail.
             No.
23
        Q
             Right. And you see that in the parameters that
24
    are numbered at the bottom of the first page of 28C,
25
    there's 11 parameters and number 4 is 100 percent of the
```

1 100,000 PU available shall be allocated. 2 Were you aware that all 100,000 units were 3 going to be allocated? Α I had heard discussion that the intent was to 4 allocate 100,000 units, but then I had heard discussion 5 6 about various tranches leading up to that. 7 Q And did you know whether or not all 100,000 8 units was contemplated ultimately to be allocated or not --9 I don't --10 Α 11 Q -- regardless of the tranches? 12 Α I don't know. And number 7, The allocation for the chief 13 Q 14 executive officer shall be, bracket, percent, closed 15 bracket, of the total 1,000 performance units. 16 Do you know what that refers to? No. As I read it, it looks like it -- it would 17 Α 18 be a calculation of how to determine what really the 19 number of PUPs that a CEO could receive. 20 Q Who would -- do you have an understanding of who would make that determination of how much -- how 21 22 many units the CEO would receive? I think the administrator ultimately did, but 23 24 I don't know anything about how it would be determined 25 what percent the CEO or any other employee would

```
1
    receive.
             As it was written, did the CEO have authority
 2
        Q
    over that?
 3
             Where are you referring to?
 4
        Α
        Q
             In the PUP plan, the summary.
 5
 6
             Well, the reference that you have here in the
 7
    first paragraph, on the first page of 28C, it does -- it
    does reference a CEO.
             And I recog- -- I'm aware of the fact that you
10
    haven't seen this document --
11
             Yeah.
                    Uh-huh.
12
             -- before and was not -- that you're not a
    party to it, but what knowledge do you have about who
13
14
    was going to allocate the 100,000 PUP units?
15
             It -- it was my understanding that Aaron Zahn
    would make the allocations for -- and what I'm
16
    hesitating on is I don't know if it would be recommended
17
18
    to others to ultimately decide or whether it was his
19
    authority to decide.
20
        Q
             You just don't recall?
21
        Α
             No.
22
        Q
             And you don't --
             But I had heard discussions that Aaron would
23
    make the initial -- at least the initial recommendation
24
25
    as to what it would be.
```

```
1
        Q
             Do you recall what conversations with whom
 2
    you're referring to?
 3
             I -- I had heard Ryan Wannemacher say that
    because I had asked Ryan just informally one day, you
 4
 5
    know, is there a schedule or how are these going to be
    allocated? And he said, Aaron has it in his mind how he
 6
    wants to allocate them.
 8
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit 29
9
    and ask if you've seen this before. And it's -- it's
10
    entitled Draft 08-16-19.
11
             I don't recall seeing this.
12
             (Hyde's Exhibit 29 was marked for
13
    identification.)
14
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
15
    30. It's another e-mail exchange. At the bottom is a
    Kevin Hyde e-mail of August 19th to Jessica Lutrin.
16
17
        Α
             Uh-huh.
18
             (Hyde's Exhibit 30 was marked for
19
    identification.)
20
        Q
             Jessica, we are arranging a meeting with the
21
    Florida Attorney General's Office to discuss the PUP.
22
    Can you send me all the documents you have related to
23
    it?
             Uh-huh.
24
        Α
25
             Had you made arrangements with a meeting with
        Q
```

Ashley Moody?

A It was -- I had not personally. Chris Kise had spoken to and reached out to Richard Martin. I think his -- would that be the attorney general? And tried to arrange a meeting. We never met with General Moody herself.

Q Well, what happened to the -- you sent a letter to the attorney general, right?

A Yes.

Q And what happened to it?

A It was never responded to.

Q Do you know why?

A I think the reason why, just from a matter of timing, that after November 5, I had considered that the PUP was not going to move forward and I recall speaking to Chris Kise, saying, We need to notify the attorney general's office that this is not going to go forward so that they wouldn't waste time opining on something that wasn't going to happen.

Q And what made you realize on November 5 the PUP was not going forward?

A I had a meeting with Jason Gabriel, Lawsikia Hodges, Herschel, Aaron, myself and I can't remember if there was anyone else there. And we were debating the legal issues and Jason just said, I can't get

1 comfortable with this. And I know if the City of Jacksonville, the Office of the General Counsel, 2 3 including the general counsel, is not comfortable with 4 something, it's not going move forward. 5 Q Do you have some experience with it? 6 Α Yes, uh-huh. I've been on both ends of a 7 binding legal opinion. 8 Q Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit 31A, which is an e-mail exchange. At the bottom is 9 10 Michael Kirwan to you, dated August 22, 2019. 11 Α Yep. Uh-huh. 12 (Hyde's Exhibit 31A was marked for 13 identification.) 14 And the subject is Trouble. This is why we are 15 lawyers and not accountants. 16 And the first sentence says, Could be -- the total could be 5 billion. We missed the math by two 17 18 decimals. 19 Assume the current value is 3 billion. In the 20 last year, value is still 3 billion, plus deal consideration of 12 billion for a total of 15 billion. 21 22 15 billion divided by 3 billion is 500 percent. 23 Formula pays \$100 for each 1 percent. 500 times 100 equals 50,000. 24 25 There are 100,000 units being issued so each

```
will go up by $50,000 so the total payout is
 1
    ($5 billion), 5 billion in parentheses.
 2
 3
             Formula simply says divide the 15 billion by
    the 3 billion, which should say the 15 billion is
 4
    reduced by 3 billion before being divided by the 3
 5
    billion in order to reflect the true increase in
 6
 7
    percentage value. If that were done, the total -- and
 8
    he's referring to the total payout -- would be 4
    billion.
9
             And then he responded again on -- later that
10
11
    day to you, JEA's net position is 2.755 billion based on
12
    the latest financial statement on their website.
    for example, in prior e-mail is darn close.
13
14
             Do you remember receiving this e-mail from
    Kevin?
15
16
        Α
             From Michael?
             I mean, from Michael.
17
        Q
18
        Α
             I don't remember it specifically, but,
19
    obviously, I did receive it.
             Well, on its face it's fairly alarming, isn't
20
        Q
21
    it?
22
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
             So wouldn't you remember receiving it?
23
        Q
             I'm -- I'm answering your question. I don't
24
        Α
25
    specifically recall receiving this, but I'm sure I did.
```

```
1
        Q
             Okay. Did -- and did it ever -- do you recall
    it ever being -- coming to your mind that the payout
 2
 3
    under this PUP plan -- and when I say did it ever come
 4
    to your mind, I'm talking about in August or
    September --
 5
 6
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 7
             -- of '19, 2019 -- did it ever come to your
 8
    attention that there could be an extraordinarily large
9
    payout under the PUP plan as structured?
             Obviously, I've received the e-mail and I'm
10
11
    pretty sure I probably read it, even though I don't
12
    recall it today. My focus was on looking at the
13
    particular aspects under Florida law.
14
             So you're saying it's not within your provants
15
    that we worry about there would be a $5 billion payout
16
    to the senior leadership team of the JEA?
17
             I'm saying what I was focused on was the
        Α
18
    specific legal questions I had been asked to look at.
19
        Q
             But respectfully, Kevin, you're a former
20
    president of city council of Jacksonville.
21
             Uh-huh.
        Α
                      Yes.
22
        Q
             You're a senior partner of a prominent law
23
    firm.
             Uh-huh.
24
        Α
25
             You were hired as a fairly prominent lawyer of
        Q
```

this community to help JEA through this process. And in that role, as a counselor and a person of some stature and respect, if you saw the senior leadership team was going to make \$5 billion personally out of this deal you're working on, wouldn't that cause you a concern?

A It did and I told them I thought it was a bad idea to move forward with the PUP.

Q Ha. Now, we're getting somewhere. To whom did you say that?

A To Herschel Vinyard and Melissa Dykes.

Q When did you say that?

A I don't recall specifically. I think it was probably more in the September time frame, things like that, but I specifically told them, Melissa, by phone, and Herschel on more than one occasion, that I thought it was a very bad idea politically to do this. That's irrespective of any -- whether it's legal to do it. I thought we'd kill the deal.

Q And when you say "to do this," tell me what you're -- precisely you're referring to as this.

A To have any form of the PUP program.

Q At all or as a part of the sale process?

A At all. Because it was -- the individuals would be receiving a portion of the sale proceeds,

25 whatever the amount is, that would otherwise go to the

```
1
    City.
             Okay. That is the participants in the plan --
 2
        Q
        Α
             Yes.
 3
 4
        Q
             -- which in terms of numbers, the largest piece
    of which would be the senior leadership team of JEA --
 5
 6
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 7
        Q
             -- would be putting in their pockets money,
 8
    which otherwise should go to the City?
9
        Α
             That was my concern.
        Q
10
             And you said that --
11
        Α
             Yes.
12
        Q
             -- to Herschel --
             And Melissa.
13
        Α
14
        Q
             -- and Melissa?
15
        Α
             Yes.
16
        Q
             You recall a conversation on the telephone with
    Melissa in which you said that?
17
18
        Α
             Yes.
                    Uh-huh.
19
        Q
             When was that?
20
        Α
             I did -- I don't recall the specific time.
21
    September, October-ish time frame.
22
        Q
             Can you put it in the context with other
23
    discussions about the sale and the plan? Was it before
24
    you wrote the letter to the attorney general?
25
        Α
             I think it was in or around that time.
```

- 1 Q And what did Melissa say to you in response?
- 2 A She told me she had similar concerns.
- Q And you were as blunt with her as you just were with me?

A Yes. What I specifically recall saying to her was, Melissa, I'm speaking to you not as your lawyer, but as a former city councilman and citizen. I think this is a bad idea and the city council was going to have a hard time approving this. This will kill your deal. And the deal I'm talking about is the entire process.

- Q That is, the sale process?
- 13 A The sale process, yes.

Q And what you're saying is having this PUP tied to the sale process is going to kill the sale process?

A Yes. Because ultimately it had to go to the city council for approval, the sale process. Before it could even move to referendum, it was my opinion, political opinion, just Joe Q citizen opinion, that was going to make it very difficult, if not impossible, for the city council to approve the sale process.

- Q And I asked you what was her response and you said she had a similar concern.
- 24 A Yes. Uh-huh.
- Q So where does that take the two of you?

```
1
        Α
             We both expressed our concern to one another.
    What she did with it, I'm not sure.
 2
 3
        ()
             And what did you do with it?
             I told the two people that I -- that -- on the
        Α
 4
    senior leadership team that I worked closely with, the
 5
    CAO and I think her title was COO at the time, my
 6
    concerns.
 8
        Q
             Well, you and Herschel are not only long-term
9
    partners, but good friends?
10
             Yes.
                    Uh-huh.
        Α
11
        Q
             And you probably saw and talked to him a lot
12
    during this time frame?
13
        Α
             Yes.
14
        Q
             Probably most every day?
15
             Well, maybe not every day, but very
16
    frequently.
17
             And you voiced that concern to him --
        Q
18
        Α
             Yes.
19
        Q
             -- repeatedly?
20
        Α
             Yes.
21
             In this time frame of August, September or so
        Q
    of '19?
22
23
             Probably more September or October-ish.
        Α
24
        Q
             Okay.
25
             And in particular, as the city council process
        Α
```

was going through, there was increased frustration from the city council about the flow of information back from JEA. And I told them that frustration they're feeling with this, with -- with that flow of information and this particular plan is going to make it very hard for them to ultimately vote for the sale process because they were getting frustrated.

Q City council was getting frustrated?

- A City council was getting frustrated.
- Q And when you say the flow of information, tell me what you're talking about.

A Well, there was a lot of concern about the ITN process and how it was going to go through and whether, for instance, individual members of the city council could either be on the negotiating team or receive copies of the bids when they were going to be open or something like that, which the city council's role was going to be approved, whatever competitive contract was issued, if any at all. They wanted to be involved earlier on and more in the process. I knew they were getting frustrated.

- Q They being the city council?
- A They being varying city council members, not all, but various ones. So they were feeling like they were being kept out of the process.

```
1
        Q
             Is it fair to say that the concern that you
 2
    were feeling that you expressed to Melissa was a concern
 3
    on the part of the city council that this whole deal was
    less than transparent?
 4
             I don't know if it was less than transparent.
 5
 6
    It was the fact that they were feeling as if they
 7
    weren't involved as much as they wanted to be at -- at
    a given time, but they were clearly frustrated.
 9
        Q
             And -- and you told Melissa you thought this
10
    was a bad idea, you thought it would kill the whole
11
           Melissa said she had similar concerns. And that
    deal.
12
    was the end of the conversation?
13
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
14
             No discussion between the two of you, well,
15
    maybe we should talk to Aaron?
16
        Α
             No.
17
        Q
             No discussion between the two of you, maybe we
18
    should talk to the board about this?
19
        Α
             No.
             You just went home?
20
        Q
21
        Α
             Ultimately at the end of the day, I did.
    felt that I had told the individuals that I worked with
22
23
    the most.
               In this case, Melissa and Herschel, senior
24
    executives, members of the senior leadership team. And,
```

remember, I'm not expressing a legal opinion here, which

```
was my role as a lawyer, I'm expressing just this as
 1
    Kevin, as a citizen of Jacksonville and someone who has
 2
 3
    been in the public process.
 4
        Q
             And you said you -- you said this to Herschel
    repeatedly?
 5
                    Uh-huh.
 6
        Α
             Yes.
 7
        Q
             How many times would you say?
 8
        Α
             At least five to ten. He knew my opinion on
9
    this.
10
             And what did he say in response?
        ()
11
        Α
             I believe at one time he said he -- he agreed
12
    that it was a problem, but that Aaron really wanted
    this.
13
14
             When you say "this," what are you referring
        Q
15
    to?
             The PUP plan.
16
        Α
             Do you know why Aaron really wanted it?
17
        Q
18
        Α
             No.
19
        Q
             Can you surmise why he really wanted it if the
20
    payout was going to be $5 billion to the SLT?
21
        Α
             That would be a fair assumption.
22
        Q
             Would it be a fair assumption why Herschel
23
    might have left your employ and gone to work for JEA?
             I don't know.
24
        Α
25
             You never had that conversation with him?
        Q
```

1 Α No. You took -- you told me he took a pay cut to go 2 from Foley to JEA. 3 Uh-huh. Yes. 4 Α Uh-huh. 5 Q Do you know if Herschel was aware, when he 6 accepted the job with JEA, that Aaron had this plan for executive compensation? Α I don't know that. 9 Q You never had that discussion with Herschel? 10 Α No. 11 Q Herschel never told you that Aaron promised me 12 that if I came over there, I'd get to participate in 13 this and make millions of dollars in three years? 14 Α No. 15 I asked you this question, I don't really Q recall your answer. What did Herschel say in response 16 to your repeated concerns that this was a bad deal? 17 18 Α I'm generalizing his response, I think he 19 agreed. 20 Q Oh, you said, but Aaron really wants this? 21 Α But Aaron really wants it. Uh-huh. 22 Q Do you know if he said to Aaron, this is a bad 23 deal, we shouldn't do this? 24 I don't know if he specifically told that to Α 25 Aaron.

```
1
        Q
             You have no knowledge of that?
             I do not.
 2
        Α
             Are you aware of anybody ever telling Aaron
 3
        ()
    that this was a bad deal --
 4
        Α
 5
             No.
             -- the PUP plan --
 6
        Q
 7
        Α
             No.
 8
        Q
             -- or tying the PUP plan to the sale?
             No.
9
        Α
10
             If you were CEO and you had --
        Q
11
             Uh-huh.
        Α
12
        Q
             -- advisors and lieutenants around you who felt
13
    that way, wouldn't you want them to tell you?
14
        Α
             Yes.
15
        Q
             Do you have any idea why nobody spoke up to
16
    Aaron?
17
        Α
             I don't know if they did or did not.
18
        Q
             But you're not aware of it?
19
        Α
             I'm not aware of whether --
20
        Q
             You're among those consultants and you didn't?
21
             I did not.
        Α
22
        Q
             You were satisfied by speaking to the chief
    operating officer and chief administrative officer?
23
24
        Α
             Yes. I had very few personal conversations
25
    with Aaron.
```

```
1
        Q
             But he was the man in charge?
 2
        Α
             He was. Yes.
                             Uh-huh.
             Subject to the board?
 3
        ()
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
 4
        Α
             When did you form your opinion that this was a
 5
        Q
    bad deal that shouldn't be pursued?
 6
 7
             Again, I think around that -- that September,
 8
    October, November's time frame. Primarily because I was
9
    beginning to see the city council's reaction to the
10
    whole process and I knew that this could become a
11
    lightning rod in a process that was otherwise being
12
    looked upon with disfavor.
13
        Q
             I got that.
14
        Α
             Yeah.
15
        Q
             That's your political astuteness.
16
        Α
             Uh-huh.
17
             But what about the fact that it could be an
        Q
18
    extraordinarily and an inappropriate large payout to
19
    SLT --
20
        Α
             Uh-huh.
21
        Q
             -- you didn't do anything about that?
22
        Α
             No.
23
             Shouldn't you?
        Q
24
        Α
             My job again, Steve, was as a legal advisor on
25
    specific questions. So we can debate whether I should
```

```
or shouldn't have, but in terms of the legal review I
 1
 2
    was doing, the amount was not the issue in question.
 3
             Even if it rose to a level of waste?
        Α
             That would be a question of how you
 4
    characterize whether it was a waste.
 5
 6
        Q
             Well, Michael Kirwan used that term, didn't
 7
    he?
 8
        Α
             I don't believe -- I can't remember, you know.
    That -- that was his observation.
9
                                        Uh-huh.
10
             MS. NOLLER: He said, Can expose the board
11
        to charges of corporate waste, et cetera. Exhibit
12
        28A.
13
        Q
             And we were just talking about 31A, Michael
14
    Kirwan's August 22 e-mail --
15
        Α
             Uh-huh.
16
             -- which he says that his -- that based on the
    latest financial statement, the prior e-mail is darn
17
18
    close. And the prior e-mails which he said -- is an
19
    e-mail in which Michael says the total -- the payout
20
    could be 5 billion, that was on 8/22.
21
             On 8/23, let me show you Exhibit 31B. It's an
22
    e-mail from you to --
23
        Α
             Uh-huh.
24
             (Hyde's Exhibit 31B was marked for
25
    identification.)
```

1 Q -- Michael. Right. 2 Α 3 () This is the very next day. Α Uh-huh. 4 5 Q In which you say, Herschel suggested that we 6 not have any more e-mails about the PUPs since it is a 7 potential public record. Just call Jessica or others as needed. 9 Α Uh-huh. So did Herschel say something to you between 10 11 August 22 and August 23 that led you to send 31B to 12 Michael? 13 He, obviously, did because I reference Herschel Α 14 suggested. 15 Q Do you recall the conversation? 16 Α I don't recall the specific conversation. But wouldn't that -- wouldn't that suggest that 17 Q Herschel became aware of Michael Kirwan's observation 18 19 the preceding day, on August 22? 20 Α It could suggest that. Uh-huh. 21 Q And if Herschel became aware of it, would -- is 22 it because you told him? I don't recall telling him. Remember, I --23 Michael could have told him. I don't know how Herschel 24

25

became aware of that.

1 Q Do you know if Michael was in contact with Herschel? 2 Α Michael certainly knew Herschel. 3 Well --4 Q Whether he was in contact with him on this 5 Α issue, I don't know. 6 7 Q But you -- you don't have -- you don't have a recollection --9 I don't have a specific recollection of what 10 caused me to write that e-mail. 11 Q But you would agree with me what appears here 12 is that Michael made this observation to you that it 13 could be a \$5 million payout and you knew Michael was 14 concerned about the absence of a cap. And the very next 15 day you write Michael and say stop putting this in 16 writing. 17 Yes. Uh-huh. Α 18 Q So that would suggest some communication 19 between you and Herschel in between? 20 Α As I indicated, I agree with that suggestion. 21 Uh-huh. 22 Q Are you aware of members of the senior leadership team or its outside advisors or consultants 23 24 in connection with the ITN process using forms of communication that were coded or not discernible? 25

```
1
        Α
             No.
             Like, disappearing text messages?
 2
        Q
 3
             No.
 4
        Q
             Have you seen any -- any evidence of that in
    your communications with JEA --
 5
 6
        Α
             No.
 7
        Q
             -- management?
 8
             Apparently up until August 23, you and your
9
    folks were pretty comfortable writing e-mails to each
10
    other?
11
        Α
             Yeah.
                    Uh-huh.
12
        Q
             And let me show you what we've marked as 31C.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 31C was marked for
13
14
    identification.)
15
             MR. BUSEY: Let's stop, go off the record here
16
        for a minute.
             (Recess taken.)
17
    BY MR. BUSEY:
18
             Did I hand you Exhibit 31C?
19
        Q
20
        Α
             Yes. I have it, Steve.
             MS. NOLLER: What number? I'm sorry.
21
                                                      31?
             THE WITNESS: 31C.
22
23
             MS. NOLLER: Yep. Got it. Thank you.
    BY MR. BUSEY:
24
25
             Which is another e-mail exchange. And at the
        Q
```

bottom of it, there's an e-mail from Jessica to Ryan Wannemacher.

It says, Ryan, I hope you are well. I just spoke with Michael Kirwan at Foley and based on his calculations -- this is August 23, which is the same day we were just talking about -- based on his calculations, the PUP formula is spitting out much larger numbers than we anticipated.

Do you have any PUP formula calculations that you could please share with us? It would be helpful to see your calculations, even if rough, so that we can reconcile the calculations to the formula and adjust the formula in the PUP, if necessary.

And Jessica says -- oh, Ryan says, Jessica, thanks for following up. I agree that it makes sense to clarify that the contribution to the City should not include the debt payoff, it should be any amounts after payment of the debt.

Were you copied, Kevin, on the -- Jessica's e-mail of Friday, March -- August 23, at 10:00 o'clock, to Ryan? You can't tell from this -- you can't tell from this e-mail chain.

A That's what I'm looking at, Steve. I -- I don't know. I -- I am on the one above, on August 23, at 11:21.

- 1 Q Uh-huh. That's what makes me think you were, 2 but I'm not sure.
  - A Yeah. I don't know just from reading this.
  - Q But it's apparent here that Jessica is telling Ryan Wannemacher, the chief financial officer, that Michael Kirwan is concerned about the size of the payouts.
- 8 A Uh-huh.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q Are you aware of Ryan or JEA doing anything at all in response to that communication?
- 11 A No. Other -- other than there is a -- proposed 12 edits to the definition of current year value.
- 13 Q Which does what?
  - A It was -- it was a recalcula- -- current year value, as I understand it, was one of the -- I'm going to show my ignorance in math -- one of the pieces of the formula for determining a payout.
  - Q And are you saying there was a change made in that? Is that what you just said?
    - A I'm just referencing what's reflected in -- in the e-mail of August 23, at 11:21 a.m. And it says, I discussed with Ryan and Michael, below are the proposed edits to the definition of current year value. But beyond that, I don't know what occurred.
- Q How -- how could they change the current year

```
value description of -- that was -- that was approved by
 1
    the board at the July 23 meeting in the summary of the
 2
 3
    plan?
 4
        Α
             I don't know, Steve.
 5
        Q
             Are you aware of the board ever making that
 6
    change?
 7
        Α
             By board action?
 8
        ()
             Yeah.
9
             No.
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 32
10
11
    and ask if you recognize it.
12
        Α
             I have seen many forms of this, yes.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 32 was marked for
13
14
    identification.)
15
             This is a document entitled JEA Long-Term
16
    Performance Unit Plan. It's not dated.
17
             And you say you've seen many versions of this,
18
    in what form?
19
             Well, as is -- there were red lined -- or there
    were changes to the document throughout, so I -- what
20
    I'm looking at, I'm familiar with its form. I'm not
21
22
    sure if this is the final version, but I don't have a
    reason to say it's not either.
23
24
        Q
             What do you mean when you say final?
25
             Final meaning the last -- the last version
        Α
```

```
1
    for which there were no other additions or revisions
    made, not final in the sense of enacted or anything
 2
    like that.
 3
        Q
             This document that I've shown you, 32, to your
 4
    knowledge, was not in existence as of July 23, 2019?
 5
             I don't think so.
 6
        Α
 7
        Q
             Wasn't part of the board materials, was it?
 8
        Α
             Yeah. I don't believe it was part of the board
9
    materials.
10
             And what --
        ()
11
             What you showed me earlier in the exhibit with
12
    the summary, that was what was with the board materials,
    as I recall.
13
14
             That's my recollection as well. And,
    therefore, I'm asking you when did this document come
15
16
    into existence?
             I -- I don't know. I didn't draft this
17
        Α
18
    document.
19
        Q
             I'm sorry. What did you say?
             I did not draft this document.
20
        Α
21
        Q
             Have you seen it before?
22
        Α
             Yes.
             But you don't remember when you saw it?
23
        Q
24
        Α
             Yeah.
                    I believe if we look at the October 1,
25
    2019, letter to the attorney general, that we included a
```

```
1
    form of this with the letter to the attorney general so
    it had to be before then.
 2
 3
        ()
             Do you know who drafted 32?
             I believe Jessica Lutrin at Pillsbury.
 4
        Q
             Do you know why the board materials included
 5
 6
    only a summary and not the full document?
 7
        Α
             No.
             Among the potential answers to that question
 8
9
    was the full document had not been written as of July
10
    23. 2019.
11
             Do you know one way or the other whether that's
12
    true or not?
             I don't -- I don't know.
13
14
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 34.
    This is a memorandum from you to Lawsikia and Jason
15
    Gabriel, dated September 25, 2019. I take it you've
16
    seen this before?
17
18
        Α
             Yes. Uh-huh.
19
             (Hyde's Exhibit 34 was marked for
20
    identification.)
21
        Q
             Why did you write this memorandum in
22
    September?
             It was at the request of, I think, primarily
23
24
    Lynne Rhode. I see I've copied her. Because there were
25
    a number of questions which had been posed to us, which
```

```
1
    I answered, in the various pieces -- various parts of
    the memo.
               One --
 2
 3
        ()
             Okay.
             -- two, three -- actually, four sections.
 4
 5
    These have been various questions that had been posed to
 6
    us.
        Q
             By?
 8
        Α
             I think primarily Lynne. And I don't know if
9
    Lynne was collecting questions from others, but she was
10
    the one with whom I was working.
11
        Q
             And the purpose of the memoranda, I take it
12
    from what you just said, is to articulate to OGC why
    you, Foley, thought that the PUP complied with Florida
13
14
    law?
15
             We were -- these were the questions which had
    been asked of us, specific to Florida law and we were
16
17
    responding to those.
18
        Q
             And did you address in this memorandum the
19
    issue about the untapped extraordinary large potential
20
    payouts under the PUP plan that had been identified by
21
    Michael Kirwan?
22
        Α
             No.
23
        Q
             Why not?
24
             It wasn't specific to the questions which we --
        Α
    we had been asked to opine on.
25
```

```
1
        Q
             But you were aware that as it existed at the
    point in time you wrote this memorandum, there was a
 2
 3
    potential under the plan for unconscionably large
 4
    payouts to senior management?
 5
        Α
             And I expressed my concerns about that to
    Melissa and Herschel.
 6
 7
        Q
             But not in this memorandum?
 8
        Α
             But not in this memorandum.
9
        Q
             Did you express those concerns to Jason?
10
             I don't think so.
        Α
11
             To Lawsikia?
        Q
12
        Α
             No.
             To Lynne?
13
        Q
14
             I don't recall whether I spoke to Lynne.
15
    have specific recollection speaking to Melissa and
16
    Herschel about it.
17
             And you've told me about those conversations?
        Q
18
        Α
             Yes, sir.
             And having had them, you let it go and didn't
19
20
    pursue it; is that right?
21
             I advised my client who I -- my client contacts
        Α
22
    who I dealt with, senior leadership team members of my
23
    opinion on the subject. And I felt that I -- I had
24
    expressed my opinion.
25
        Q
             OGC engaged you, didn't they?
```

1 Α Yes. Didn't you feel like you should have said 2 3 something to Jason about that, about there's something terribly wrong with this PUP plan and the potential 4 payout? 5 All of my interactions with Jason and Lawsikia 6 Α 7 were dealing around particular issues related to the Florida statutes. 8 It sort of suggested you had blinders on? 9 Q 10 That's your characterization. Α 11 It was. Q 12 Α Yeah. Not yours? 13 Q 14 Α No. 15 Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit 36. This is an e-mail from you to Lynne Rhode. 16 Α Yes. 17 18 (Hyde's Exhibit 36 was marked for 19 identification.) 20 Q Dated October 3, 2019. And you're apparently forwarding by this e-mail a copy of a letter that Foley 21 22 had delivered to -- or they -- the OGC had delivered to 23 the attorney general. Uh - huh 24 Α 25 And -- and was this the letter that you said Q

```
that you told OGC you couldn't get a response to because
 1
    the JEA had decided to terminate --
 2
        Α
             Yes.
 3
             -- the plan?
 4
                    This -- this was the letter which was
        Α
 5
 6
    delivered to the attorney general's office. I don't see
    the attachments to it, but this is the letter.
 8
        Q
             Had you made a similar request to the state
9
    ethics commission?
             We had planned to, but it was never actually
10
11
    delivered.
12
        Q
             It was never actually delivered to?
             The Florida Commission on Ethics.
13
        Α
14
        O
             Was it drafted?
15
             Yes.
        Α
16
             Why wasn't it delivered?
        Q
             As I explained earlier, what we intended to do
17
        Α
18
    was to get the opinion of the attorney general to see
19
    whether they would even issue an advisory opinion.
20
    they had said it could not proceed, there was -- there
21
    was no reason to go to the Florida Commission on Ethics
22
    because we would -- we, meaning it could not have
23
    proceeded on that basis.
24
             So the thought was it was most important to get
```

it from the attorney general's office on the issues we

```
1
    raised in that letter. If there was a favorable
 2
    determination that it could proceed, then we would ask
 3
    the commission on ethics.
        Q
             To your knowledge, did anybody associated with
 4
    the City of Jacksonville or JEA or Foley have any
 5
 6
    discussion with anybody at the state ethics commission
    about the issue?
 8
        Α
             Not that I can recall. And the only thing I
9
    can speak to is my knowledge as to Foley. And no one
10
    from the City told me they had reached out to the ethics
11
    commission.
12
        Q
             You're not aware of any discussions between
    Carla Miller and the ethics commission?
13
14
        Α
             No.
15
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
    37, which is a chain of e-mails, which has attached to
16
17
    it non-disclosure agreements.
18
             And it's an e-mail from Ted Powers to an awful
19
    lot of folks, including you --
             Yep. Uh-huh.
20
        Α
21
             (Hyde's Exhibit 37 was marked for
22
    identification.)
23
        Q
             -- Kevin.
24
             Please confirm that you are signed off on the
25
    letter by no later than 6:00 p.m. eastern standard time.
```

```
1
    And then another one up top, attached to the final
    version, is the NDA.
 2
 3
             Have you seen this before?
             I believe I've seen it, Steve. This -- Ted
        Α
 4
 5
    Powers was someone at Pillsbury who was working on the
    ITN side, which I really wasn't involved in.
 6
 7
    obviously, I'm copied on this, but I don't recall
 8
    reviewing this or responding to this.
9
        Q
             When you -- when you said on the ITN side,
    which I wasn't involved with, help me understand that.
10
11
             What I --
        Α
12
        Q
             You personally or Foley?
             Me personally. Me personally.
13
        Α
14
        Q
             Foley was involved?
15
             Yes. Yeah.
                          Uh-huh.
        Α
16
             Okay. In the e-mail dated October the 7th, at
        Q
    8:25 p.m., it says, Attached are revised drafts of the
17
    NDA --
18
19
        Α
             Uh-huh.
20
             -- paren, one for all bidders, other than FP&L
21
    and one for FP&L, closed paren, reflecting our
    discussion.
22
23
             Do you know why there are two nondisclosure
    agreements involved?
24
25
             I do not know.
        Α
```

1 Q And you just weren't a part of this discussion? 2 3 Α I did not get involved in the procurement piece part of the ITN. 4 5 Q You mean the privatization piece? 6 Α The official term as approved by the board is 7 ITN. 8 Q The official term as approved by the board, the board of JEA? 9 If you look at the invitation to negotiate 10 11 we -- which was issued, I believe, August 27th or 12 thereabout, that's what it's called, ITN. Now, did it have the effect if it had gone through privatization, 13 14 you're correct. But I'm using the term that was 15 actually issued. 16 Q Well, the -- the invitation to negotiate led to negotiations in Atlanta towards privatization, didn't 17 18 it? 19 I wasn't involved in the negotiations, but 20 you're correct. It could have led to potentially 21 privatization. 22 Well, you're aware that there were negotiations Q in Atlanta? 23 24 Α I'm aware there were meetings in Atlanta, yes. 25 I was not part of the negotiating team or advising the

```
1
    negotiating team.
             But you heard them referred to as negotiation?
 2
 3
        Α
             Yes.
 4
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 38.
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 5
 6
             (Hyde's Exhibit 38 was marked for
 7
    identification.)
 8
        Q
             This is a letter agreement between ADP and JEA,
9
    dated October the 11th, 2019 --
10
        Α
             Uh-huh.
11
             -- signed by Jonathan Kendrick, on October the
12
    11th, 2019.
13
             Have you ever seen this before?
14
        Α
             No.
15
             Are you aware that in October of 2019 that the
16
    senior leadership team of JEA approached ADP and asked
    about ADP adopting the payroll processing or some subset
17
18
    of JEA employees?
19
        Α
             No.
             Have you ever heard about that initiative?
20
        Q
21
        Α
             No.
22
        Q
             Well, I just want to make sure that you don't
23
    have any idea what I'm talking about.
             No, I don't.
24
        Α
25
             Okay. Let me show you what we marked as
        Q
```

```
1
    Exhibit 39. And it starts with an e-mail from you to
    Michael Kirwan, dated October 17th.
 2
 3
             Michael, the JEA continues to work on the PUP.
    You edited a section last week dealing with the idea of
 4
 5
    some having confidential information while some others
    would not.
 6
 7
             JEA has decided now to delay offering the PUPs
 8
    to individual participants from November to December of
9
    this year. Some members of the senior executive team
10
    will be on the actual negotiating team.
                                              The GC now
    questions whether they will now be in a position of
11
12
    greater information than other participants, like, rank
13
    and file employees and whether this alters any of our
14
    analyses. I can resend the memo, if you'd like.
15
    had two thoughts.
16
             Whose the "she" you're referring to?
        Α
             I believe it was Lynne Rhode.
17
18
             (Hyde's Exhibit 39 was marked for
19
    identification.)
20
        Q
             Is it -- and that -- that's referring to the
21
    same person as the GC?
22
        Α
             Yes.
                   When I talked to the GC, the G- -- I
    deemed Lynne Rhode to be the GC of JEA.
23
24
        Q
             Okay. And you're -- you put questions to
    Michael -- she had two thoughts.
25
```

Number 1, requiring those who will be on the negotiation team are designated as a subject matter expert to enroll or decline to enroll earlier than when PUPs are offered to anybody else. The idea is that they would not yet be in possession of confidential information from the negotiations.

2, requiring that those that will be on the negotiating team, most of whom are senior executives, to participate in the PUP on a mandatory basis or they could not use any confidential information to their advantage because they, otherwise, have to participate.

Do you think either of these ideas makes sense to help alleviate the risk? Any other ideas?

A Uh-huh.

Q Michael says to you in response, on the 17th of October, One alternative would be to grant the PUPs to everyone in the form of profit sharing so there's no investment decision. It could be considered a bonus. If you go down this path, Pillsbury will need to figure out whether the grant is in the money now and be taxable to recipients, the same question that exists that I raised earlier with you.

I think number 2 is not a good idea. Political opponents would have a field day with that despite the intention behind it.

```
1
             What was the purpose of this exchange?
 2
             Well, if we look at the bottom, it -- it was --
 3
    I was talking about the GC had questioned about if a
 4
    person were going to make a decision as to whether to
    participate in the PUP was a person who was potentially
 5
 6
    going to be on a negotiating team, would they have
 7
    superior information, such that they could make their
 8
    investment decision as opposed to someone who did not
9
    have that same level of information.
10
             So the purpose was to say does that create a
11
    conflict issue? Does it create someone having better
12
    information than others? And, if so, how do you handle
13
    that, if there were going to be people who, on the
14
    negotiating team, who were also potential PUP
15
    participants?
16
        Q
             Again, if you look at Exhibit 40 --
             Uh-huh.
17
        Α
18
             (Hyde's Exhibit 40 was marked for
19
    identification.)
20
        Q
             -- it's another memorandum from you --
21
        Α
             Uh-huh.
                      Yeah.
22
        ()
             -- to Lawsikia and Jason, dated -- this one is
    October 21.
23
             What was the reason for this memorandum?
24
25
        Α
             If you go back to the September 25 memo, there
```

```
was four or five questions answered. This is greatly
 1
 2
    expanded and it's in response to additional questions
    which had been asked of us.
 3
        Q
             By -- by whom?
 4
 5
        Α
             Either Lynne or Herschel or members of the
    Office of General Counsel.
 6
 7
        Q
             Questions regarding what?
 8
        Α
             The various questions that I have addressed
9
    point by point in here.
             As to whether or not the PUP complies with
10
11
    Florida law?
12
        Α
                    On the -- each of these issues is
    dealing specifically with a particular piece of Florida
13
14
    law. And so people would ask what about -- have you
15
    considered X and Y and Z? And so the way the memo is
    laid out, it's a question and answer based on the
16
    questions we had received and the answers I gave.
17
18
        Q
             And did this memorandum lead to a meeting among
    you and Lawsikia and Jason?
19
20
        Α
             Yes, it did.
21
             You told me about it earlier?
        Q
22
        Α
             The November 5 meeting, yes.
23
        Q
             This led to the November 5 meeting?
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
             And what was the purpose of the November 5
        Q
```

meeting?

A The purpose of the November 5 meeting was to have a discussion among all of the people who had been involved in looking at these legal issues to see if we could come to some resolution, particularly with regard to whether the Office of the General Counsel could ultimately sign off on or give approval to moving forward with the PUP.

And so we thought rather than exchanging further memorandum and in looking at questions, we had to just sit down and have a discussion among the lawyers about that issue. And that's what was done.

Q And who was in the meeting?

A Myself, Herschel, Lawsikia, Jason Gabriel,
Aaron Zahn for part of the meeting, he stepped out at
one point. Those were the -- those were the ones I
remember. There might have been someone else.

Q And, again, fair to say that the general purpose of the meeting was to discuss whether or not the proposed plan was compliant with Florida law?

A Yes. Uh-huh. And -- and City of Jacksonville Charter also.

Q And Aaron Zahn was there for a portion of the meeting?

A Yes. Uh-huh.

Q Did you, in that context, tell Aaron you thought the plan was a bad idea?

A No, this -- we were discussing the legal issues at this -- at that meeting. And Jason was expressing that he could not get comfortable even -- even with the analysis that we had provided. And there was no disagreement with our analysis, but he simply said, I can't get comfortable with this such that I can approve this moving forward.

Q Did he say why he couldn't get comfortable with it?

A I think the -- couple of reasons, as I recall. Number one, he hadn't seen anything like it and there was a phrase that it was -- what had been proposed was novel, but maybe too novel. And so just the discomfort with moving forward with something he hadn't earlier seen. And he still had the notion of -- of that it was akin to a stock option plan or something like that. And he said, I've just never seen that in the public sector. I don't know how it can work.

Q Is it fair to say the reason he had that notion is because it appears as if you're giving the employees a piece of the action in the incremental additional value of JEA --

A He.

```
1
        Q
             -- upon -- upon a transportation action, that
 2
    it could be, like, giving them a piece of the equity?
 3
             It only wasn't upon whether a transaction would
    occur, but you're correct, that it was based on an
 4
    increase in the value of JEA, yes.
 5
 6
        ()
             And it would be like giving the employees a
 7
    piece of the equity?
 8
             A piece of the increased value, yes. Uh-huh.
             And I'm trying to characterize Jason's concerns
 9
        Q
10
    he expressed to you.
11
             Yes.
                   That's a fair way to characterize the
12
              It was a very good discussion of -- among
    concern.
    lawyers having an honest debate about these issues.
13
14
             Did you hear any conversation directly between
15
    Jason and Aaron Zahn on that issue or related issues?
16
             Well, Aaron was certainly in the room for --
        Α
    for that conversation.
17
18
        Q
             When Jason said what he said?
19
        Α
             Yeah.
             He wasn't comfortable?
20
        Q
21
             Yeah.
        Α
22
        Q
             Did Aaron respond?
             I don't recall him necessarily responding.
23
24
    think -- I think at one time that they may have stepped
25
    out and had a private conversation, but I don't recall
```

that.

Q You don't recall Aaron saying, Jason, you're an idiot?

A Oh, no. No. No, clearly -- Aaron -- Aaron, you could tell, wanted it to move forward, but it was prior -- Aaron was -- he wasn't a bystander, but this was a conversation primarily among lawyers talking about the legal issues and talking about the charter or the statutes and things like that.

But Aaron clearly heard Jason's discomfort and, ultimately, Jason saying, I'm not -- I'm not prepared to move forward.

Q Given the totality of this conversation so far today, Kevin, if you had had a bunch of lawyers, pretty senior lawyers sitting in that room talking about whether or not this plan should go forward, isn't not mentioning a potential \$5 billion payout to the senior executives sort of like the elephant in the room?

A Well, it -- it could be. But you had a more fundamental question of whether it's a dollar or X, whether it could go forward.

Q Well, whether it's -- it's a novel idea or it's a too novel idea, if you're going to put \$5 billion in public money in the senior executive's pocket, that seems inherently like a bad idea?

A Steve, I'm not disagreeing with you. I'm telling you what I recall of the legal issues that we were discussing.

Q Gets us back to the term of blinders.

A Again, that's your characterization. My job was to look at the legal issues. And I did express my discomfort to our client's senior executives.

Q Melissa and Herschel Vinyard?

A Melissa and Herschel.

Q I said that deliberately.

A I know you said that deliberately and I'm going to respond deliberately.

Q Okay.

A I'm sure you've represented clients who were also your friends and your judgment wasn't clouded by that friendship. And I don't want any suggestion that my judgment in this was clouded by my friendship with Herschel. I gave -- I provided my advice based on my interpretation and reading of the law.

Q I think your judgment, as you expressed it to Herschel, was not in any way colored. Well, the question I'm raising is why it would go over Herschel's head because Herschel was your friend and you knew something was wrong here, why didn't you go over his head and say, okay, well, I said it to Melissa and --

1 I -- I expressed it to the two people in senior Α leadership positions with whom I regularly worked. 2 Are you aware of the Rule of Professional 3 Conduct and the Florida Bar of Rules of Professional 4 5 Conduct relating to a lawyer's duties to an 6 organization? 7 Α I have not studied that rule recently. 8 MS. NOLLER: Steve, I'm sorry. Relating to 9 what? You're getting quiet again. 10 I don't often get accused of that. MR. BUSEY: 11 My question was the Rule of Professional 12 Conduct and the Florida Bar's Rules of Professional 13 Conduct that relate to a lawyer's duties to the 14 organization when he represents an organization. 15 There is a specific rule. I think Kevin just said he hasn't studied it recently. 16 17 MS. NOLLER: Okay. 18 BY MR. BUSEY: 19 It -- the rule generally -- in layman's terms 20 says if you see something wrong within an organization, 21 you have a duty to go all the way to the top. And if 22 you're unsuccessful after going all the way to the top, 23 then you have to leave. 24 But you didn't go all the way to the top with

your discomfort of this plan, did you?

```
1
        Α
             I went to not Aaron Zahn, but I went to two
    members of the senior leadership team.
 2
 3
        ()
             And not to the board?
        Α
             I did not go to the board.
 4
        Q
 5
             And you were at the board meeting on
 6
    July 23?
 7
        Α
             Yes.
 8
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
    41. And this is an e-mail exchange between Herschel --
9
10
        Α
             Uh-huh.
11
             (Hyde's Exhibit 41 was marked for
12
    identification.)
13
             -- and Lynne Rhode. And below it, there's an
        Q
14
    e-mail from Heather Reber, dated October 31, 2019, to
15
    Ryan Wannemacher, Sherry Hall, Herschel Vinyard and
16
    members of the city council auditor's office.
17
        Α
             Uh-huh.
18
        Q
             And in her e-mail, Heather says, Ryan, Sherry
19
    and Herschel, thank you all for coming over to meet with
20
    us today to discuss the performance unit plan. Attached
21
    are our additional questions. Also included on the
22
    attachment are our initial concerns regarding the
23
    performance unit plan.
24
             And then at the top of Exhibit 41, Herschel
25
    forwards this to Lynne Rhode. Have you seen this
```

1 document before? I think I have in preparing the documents for 2 3 the December meeting with Councilman Diamond and Councilman Salem. 4 December 16th? 5 Q 6 Α Yes. Uh-huh. 7 Was that the -- to your knowledge, that was the Q 8 first time you saw them? 9 Α Yes. And do you see among the concerns from the city 10 council's auditors office expressed on October 31 was 11 12 that there's no -- number 1, there's no cap to the value of a performance unit? 13 14 I see that. It's written, yes. 15 This was a concern apparently from this e-mail 16 exchange that was expressed to Herschel by the city council's auditors office on December 31, which was 17 18 before your November 5 meeting with Jason? 19 Yes. Uh-huh. 20 And did Herschel mention to you that the city council's auditors had these concerns at that meeting on 21 November 5? 22 23 I don't recall whether he specifically 24 mentioned them. 25 And the second concern listed by the city Q

```
council's auditors office is value of performance unit
 1
 2
    can be significantly impacted by a recapitalization
 3
    event, base rate changes, accounting changes, which are
 4
    generally not tied to employee performance.
             Were you aware of that concern about the PUP
 5
 6
    plan?
 7
             Well, I'm aware that they raised this issue and
    I saw this. I don't know exactly when I became aware of
    it.
9
10
        ()
             And the -- number 8 concern on her list was
11
    select --
12
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             -- general -- select Office of General Counsel
13
        Q
14
    employees are allowed to participate in the plan.
15
             We discussed that earlier?
16
        Α
             Yes.
17
             That didn't raise a concern with you as to why
        Q
18
    some OGC members should participate?
19
             It raised a concern to me to the extent that
    the general counsel needed to make that decision as to
20
21
    whether they would or would not be allowed to
22
    participate in that. But I viewed that more in the
23
    nature of just like other employees of JEA could have
    participated in the PUP plan if it ever went through,
24
25
    do you consider those two lawyers members of -- members
```

```
of OGC or do you remember them full-time employees of
 1
    JEA?
 2
 3
        ()
             Did you ever get a -- get an idea as to whose
    idea that was to include the OGC members assigned to JEA
 4
 5
    in the eligible group of employees?
 6
        Α
             No. Who originated the idea?
 7
        Q
             Yeah.
 8
        Α
             No.
9
        Q
             Came from Lynne or Aaron or whoever?
             I don't know, Steve.
10
        Α
             You never asked?
11
        Q
12
        Α
             No.
             And what -- I get from what you're telling me
13
        Q
14
    is that although Herschel received these concerns from
15
    the auditor's office on October 31, he did not raise
16
    them in the meeting you participated in with him on
17
    November 5?
18
             I don't remember discussion saying here's the
19
    various concerns raised by the council auditor's office.
20
    The distinction I'm drawing is I don't -- he may have
    talked about some of the issues, but I don't recall it
21
22
    on the basis of what the council auditor has raised.
23
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 42.
24
        Α
             Uh-huh.
25
             (Hyde's Exhibit 42 was marked for
```

1 identification.) And it's an e-mail from Ryan Wannemacher to 2 Herschel and folks in the auditor's office. 3 4 And it says, All, we have decided not to move forward with the implementation of performance units at 5 this time. 6 7 Please see the attached letter from Aaron. 8 And Ryan's e-mail is dated November 13, 2019. 9 And it has attached to it a letter from Aaron to Jason 10 Gabriel, dated November 12, which said that the JEA 11 leadership in consultation with the chair of the board 12 and OGC has decided to postpone indefinitely the implementation of the plan, which is defined as the JEA 13 14 Long-Term Performance Unit Plan. 15 Did you ever see this document before? 16 Α In preparation for the December 16th meeting, I 17 saw it. 18 Q And you knew about it prior to that time? You 19 knew that the --20 I knew the plan is -- the decision had been 21 made to not go forward with the plan. 22 Q And what was your understanding of why JEA made 23 the decision? 24 Α Because OGC could not get comfortable moving forward. 25

1 Q That was the reason? 2 Α Yes. 3 () That was on November 13th. On November 22, Jonathan Kendrick, who was the 4 chief human resources officer at the time, notified ADP 5 to -- to disregard the letter from Jason, which I showed 6 you earlier, you said you had never seen? 8 Α Correct. 9 I take it you were unaware that a week after 10 the JEA decided not to go forward with the PUP, they pulled their ADP initiative? 11 12 Α I was unaware of that. You were unaware of both the initiative and the 13 Q fact that --14 15 Α Yes. 16 -- JEA reneged on it? Yes. I am unaware that those two events that 17 Α 18 vou described occurred. 19 Let me show you what -- at that point in time, 20 when they decided to -- when JEA decided not to go forward with the PUP plan, were you aware of any 21 conversation of whether or not that was an interim or a 22 23 final decision? 24 Α I interpreted it as being a final decision. 25 And did you have any understanding at that Q

```
point, in the second week of November, as to what the
 1
    impact of that decision would be on the sale process?
 2
 3
                  I had no understanding one way or the
    other whether it would or would not impact the sales
 4
 5
    process.
 6
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as 43B, which is
 7
    an e-mail chain --
 8
        Α
             Uh-huh.
9
             (Hyde's Exhibit 43B was marked for
    identification.)
10
11
             MS. NOLLER: Sorry. 42B?
12
             MR. BUSEY:
                         43B.
13
             MS. NOLLER: Thank you.
    BY MR. BUSFY:
14
15
             -- which starts at the bottom with an e-mail
        Q
16
    from Gardner Davis to Robert Hosay --
17
        Α
             Uh-huh.
18
             -- in your office and a copy to you of November
19
    23, Saturday morning, at 7:30. Boy, Gardner's an early
20
    riser.
21
             Yeah.
        Α
22
             And he says, Robert, to keep you in the loop,
        Q
    yesterday morning, on two hours' notice, I was invited
23
    to JEA to call about draft purchase agreement.
24
                                                      I may
25
    have made them mad because I suggested a couple of times
```

```
that perhaps they wanted to ask me the questions for
 1
    Foley and then I would drop off for sake of being
 2
    efficient.
 3
             I didn't understand that paragraph. Do you
 4
    have any idea what he's saying?
 5
 6
             Yeah. What it is is Gardner was handling pure
 7
    corporate issues. Robert was handling procurement
 8
    issues. And so there would be these group calls. And
9
    so depending on what topic was being discussed, Gardner
10
    would drop in and out of the call, whereas Pillsbury
11
    tended to have the entire team on for the entire call
12
    and we thought that was inefficient.
             That's why Pillsbury billed 10 million and you
13
        Q
14
    only billed 1 million?
15
        Α
             Possibly. And you know --
16
        Q
             Shows what good stewards you are.
             Trying to be and writing off a lot of time.
17
        Α
18
        Q
             10 percent.
19
             And the next paragraph, For what it's worth --
20
    this is Gardner writing -- JEA senior management does
21
    not appear to be listening --
22
        Α
             Where are you reading, Steve? I'm sorry.
23
        Q
             The second paragraph --
24
        Α
             Oh, I see it. I see. I see. I see.
                                                     Okay.
```

-- under Gardner's e-mail.

25

Q

1 For what it's worth, JEA senior management does 2 not appear to be listening to guidance from its 3 professionals and the other professionals, paren, not me, closed paren, are reluctant to suggest that they 4 5 have more expertise than the client. 6 This sounds like Gardner, correct? 7 Α Uh-huh. 8 Q I spoke up on a couple of points to be told 9 that I did not know what I was talking about. 10 Parenthesis, the senior bankers knew I was right, closed 11 parenthesis. I'm pretty certain that time will prove me 12 Biggest example, JEA wants the buyer to pay JEA right. 13 a reverse break-up fee of 5 percent of the gross price, 14 paren, \$375 million, closed paren, if the voters fail to 15 approve the sale referendum. 16 Do you know what Gardner's talking about 17 there? 18 I wasn't involved in the conversation so I can 19 only infer it from here. 20 Q Why -- have you ever had any conversation with Gardner about the fact that JEA wanted a reverse 21 22 break-up fee? 23 I don't recall that specifically. I know that 24 Gardner was frustrated that he would raise things that

he thought were very good points, as he's indicated

1 here, they weren't being followed. 2 Q Well, you know what a break-up fee is, right? 3 Yeah. Uh-huh. 4 Q Can you describe it just generically? Α Yeah, parties to a transaction go down, very 5 6 far down the path and for whatever reason they decide to part ways, it's a way to -- one party pays the other, 8 basically, for the time and effort which has gone into 9 that process. It's a -- it's an inducement for the 10 parties to complete the transaction to avoid one party 11 having to pay a break-up fee. 12 Q And so JEA's management was suggesting in this call that Gardner participated in, that in the event 13 14 that this transaction went forward and a contract was 15 signed and the city council approved it and the voters 16 said no and the transaction didn't happen, that JEA would pay the successful bidder \$375 million? 17 18 Α No. JEA wants the buyer to pay to JEA a 19 break-up -- a reverse break-up fee. 20 Q Wants the buyer, who would agree to the 21 transaction, but the citizens said no, pay to JEA a \$375 million fee? 22 23 Α Yeah. Why should a buyer be penalized if the voters 24

said no?

1 Α I don't know. I don't know, but that's what Gardner's talking about here. 2 That is --3 () Α That he didn't think that was an idea that 4 would fly. 5 And Hosay writes back on November 23 --6 Q 7 Α Uh-huh. 8 Q -- at 8:19 in the morning. 9 Thanks for the update. I appreciate your 10 getting involved and adding value. There are a lot of 11 balls in the air and pressure is on these folks. 12 up the good work and advising based on your knowledge, 13 experience and insight. 14 That's a pat on the back for Gardner. 15 And then Gardner writes another e-mail, on -at 1:00 o'clock that afternoon, to Robert and to you. 16 17 Saying -- saying, Pressure is on these folks is 18 a total understatement. 19 I was on a call with two rational men Friday 20 afternoon, the president of Jacksonville University and 21 the Chair of Baptist Hospital board. They were talking 22 about the need for a grand jury in light of whatever you 23 call the executive bonus plan. 24 FYI, given the values discussed on my two calls

by investment bankers, I suspect the plan payout would

approach a billion dollars. In private company context, board would lose shareholder suit alleging bad faith approving the plan, or worse, a bad-faith claim is not subject to indemnification by the company - personal liability - worst possible outcome in corporate law.

Also FYI, the paper had a story about how the JEA board cancelled November meeting for light agenda.

Were you aware that the JEA board cancelled this November meeting?

A I was aware of the meeting being cancelled, but I don't know why it was cancelled.

Q The next paragraph, I believe JEA board has similar fiduciary duties to the board of a public company when considering the sale of a company, so-called Revlon duties.

In a private company context, the board receives repeated briefings from counsel about fiduciary duties. I think that would be advisable here.

One of the hallmarks of a good sale process under Revlon is the board meets very often to receive reports to be actively engaged. A private company sale can involve 30 or more meetings. It's much more difficult for shareholder plaintiff to overcome presumption board made correct decision when the directors are fully informed and engaged.

```
1
             The reasons for the sale -- for sale appear
 2
    extremely compelling, best market in the past 100 years.
 3
    Huge windfall. Value on sale far exceeds value as a
    publicly-owned utility, but I think JEA is botching the
 4
    process and PR.
 5
 6
             The president of JU and the Chair of Baptist
 7
    Hospital would immediately understand the sale is a no
 8
    brainer, if they understood economics.
9
             Just saying, but I do not know anything about
10
    the political world.
11
             And you responded that afternoon. In fact,
12
    three minutes later, after reading Gardner's e-mail.
13
             I advised them months ago that the PUP was an
14
    incredibly bad idea and would kill the whole deal.
15
             That was a pretty quick response within three
16
    minutes after reading Gardner's e-mail.
17
             I carry lots of devices.
        Α
18
        Q
             Sitting around on a Saturday afternoon?
19
        Α
             Yeah, I respond quickly.
20
        Q
             And Gardner says in response to your e-mail --
21
    but when you said I advised them months ago, is that the
22
    personal -- personal conversation you told me about?
23
             Yeah, conversations that I've told you about.
        Α
24
        Q
             Nothing else?
             Yeah.
25
        Α
```

```
1
        Q
             And Gardner responds to your e-mail and says,
    Pig versus hogs.
 2
 3
             Do you know that story?
        Α
             Versus hogs. Oh, yeah.
 4
 5
        Q
             What's the story?
             Pigs get fat and hogs get slaughtered.
 6
        Α
                                                       Have
 7
    you not heard that phrase?
                     I'm from Jacksonville.
 8
        Q
 9
             I think that's what Gardner was referring to.
             But in this instance, in Gardner's analogy, he
10
        ()
11
    was the pig and who is the hog?
12
        Α
             I don't know.
13
        Q
             But don't you think that's the JEA management
14
    he's characterizing as hogs?
             Possible.
15
                        Uh-huh.
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 44.
16
        Q
    This is a letter from Aaron, dated December 6th, to Roy
17
18
    Diamond and Ron Salem, regarding the -- the JEA
19
    Long-Term Performance Unit Plan.
20
             I understand the frustration that's being
21
    expressed concerning the previously contemplated plan
    and on behalf of JEA. Please know that we welcome the
22
23
    full and entirely thorough review that you are
24
    requesting in your December 5 letter.
25
             There's a been tremendous amount of
```

information, some factual and some inaccurate, surrounding the plan ever since JEA announced its cancellation. It is my hope that an open and honest and detailed fact-finding review on the inception and discussion of the plan will not only provide clarity to both you and the colleagues on the Jacksonville City Council, but also help us to identify our own lessons learned from this matter.

Please know that I've instructed our senior leadership team, special counsel to JEA, along with the support of our entire JEA staff and our outside consultants to provide you with any and all information you need for this process. You will -- we will be available for all question and answer sessions in addition to the documentation you request.

We have previously made available in a proactive matter the information around the plan, parenthesis, which is anticipated to be cancelled at the December 17 JEA board meeting.

Did you know that that was going to be cancelled at the December 17th board meeting?

A I -- I believe so. My understanding, it was -it was not going to be -- it was not going to move
forward and so you needed to have a board action to
overturn the prior resolution, the one that was from 7-

```
1
    -- 7/23/19.
 2
             (Hyde's Exhibit 44 was marked for
    identification.)
 3
 4
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 45.
 5
    This is an e-mail from you to Lynne Rhode, dated
    December 10th, 2019.
 6
        Α
             Uh-huh. Yeah.
                             Uh-huh.
 8
             (Hyde's Exhibit 45 was marked for
9
    identification.)
10
             And you say, Please see attached. And what's
        ()
11
    attached is an executive summary?
12
        Α
             Correct.
                       Yeah.
             Of what?
13
        Q
14
             Councilman Diamond wrote a letter, I believe
15
    it was dated December 5th, requesting some information.
16
    JEA put that together, as well as I looked at the
17
    document request as well to see if we had any
18
    responsive documents. It was a pretty healthy stack of
19
    documents.
20
             And I suggested and Lynne agreed that it would
21
    be helpful to have an executive summary just to outline
22
    almost chronologically the plan so as to give the folks
23
    in this case, Councilman Diamond and Councilman Salem
    and other council members, just some context. So that
24
25
    was the executive summary. And it was attached to the
```

```
notebook that was given to those individuals in the
 1
    front.
 2
             On December 16th or thereabouts?
 3
             I think -- I think I met with Councilman
        Α
 4
 5
    Diamond and gave him a copy of the notebook around
    December 11th. The letter that Councilman Diamond sent,
 6
    dated December 5th, had a request by date and I believe
    it was around the 11th.
9
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 46A.
        Α
10
             Uh-huh.
11
             (Hyde's Exhibit 46A was marked for
12
    identification.)
             Let me -- let me go back to what you just told
13
        Q
14
        The -- what -- what was your involvement in helping
15
    JEA's senior management respond to the inquiry by
16
    Councilmen Diamond and Salem?
17
             I looked through our records to see what
        Α
18
    documents we had that would be responsive to his
19
    request, provided those to JEA. And I provided the
20
    executive summary, I think it was a draft, Lynne edited
    it as well, but I -- I did the initial draft. And then
21
22
    I met with Councilman Diamond prior to the meeting.
```

Q Prior to the December 16th meeting?

with Councilman Diamond.

23

24

25

think -- I think it was around December 11th that I met

1 Yes, prior to the December 16th meeting. Α 2 Q And what was your role in -- in that discourse? 3 Were you representing the JEA in responding to the city council? 4 5 Α Yes. They had -- the city council had 6 requested of JEA information on a matter for which I had been counsel. 8 Q While you've been among many counsel? Exactly. Uh-huh. 9 Α Well, why did they pick you to help them 10 () 11 respond to the council's request? 12 Α Because the inquiry was mainly related to the 13 PUP plan. 14 And that's what Foley was engaged to do? Q 15 Α Among other things, yes. 16 As opposed to Pillsbury? Q I was the one local and so it made sense 17 Α No. 18 for me to put together the information. And I know 19 Councilman Diamond so I went and said --20 Q And you have some familiarity with the city 21 council? 22 Α Some familiarity. About eight years of

Councilman Diamond and asked him if he had any questions

familiarity, yes. And so it made sense for me to help

assist with that. And I provided the information to

23

24

Again,

1 in advance. He flipped through the notebook and said Then we all met together on December 16th. 2 3 Where he had some questions? And he had some questions and they were 4 Α 5 good questions. I told him, after the meeting, that 6 I thought he and the others had asked very fair 7 questions. 8 Q Did I show you 46A? 9 Yeah, I have it in my hand. 10 () That was an exchange between you and --11 Α Uh-huh. 12 () -- Robert Bernstein on December 23. What was that about? 13 14 It was how long it would take to get a private 15 letter ruling, if they were going to be going forward 16 with any kind of deferred compensation plan. 17 Why would you be talking about that on the Q 18 December 23 meeting? 19 I don't remember what caused this to come up, 20 but, obviously, I was asked to find out how long it 21 would take. 22 Basically, Bob tell you -- told you it'd take Q 23 too long? 24 Α Took a long time, yeah. Uh-huh.

Let me show you what we marked as 46B.

25

Q

```
it's an exchange of e-mails. I'll start at the bottom.
 1
 2
    Bottom e-mail is David Cook forwarded, to people who are
 3
    not identified on here, a news article in
    Jacksonville.com.
 4
             You responded on December 27th, at 5:40,
 5
    saying, I can't open the article. Who is she referring
 6
    to as the mayoral official?
 8
             And David Cook wrote in response, Burch.
9
             And says -- says, Carla Miller said meeting in
10
    Atlanta violated the Sunshine law, but JEA's law firm
11
    said it did not.
12
             And then you wrote at 6:19, that day, December
    27th, she is the deputy CAO.
13
             Who's the "she" referred to there?
14
15
        Α
             I think Stephanie Burch.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 46B was marked for
16
    identification.)
17
18
        Q
             She's the deputy chief administrator officer --
19
        Α
             Uh-huh.
20
        Q
             -- of the City, not JEA, right?
21
             Correct.
        Α
22
        Q
             And was not -- was not in negotiating team?
23
        Α
             Should be probably was not a negotiating team
24
    member.
25
             Okay. Carla does not understand the interplay
        Q
```

1 of procurement and Sunshine?

2 A Right. Uh-huh.

Q Really? Carla doesn't understand the interplay

A My concern was, and this was based on conversations with my partner, Robert Hosay, who deals with procurement issues at the state level all the time, there are -- there are times in the procurement code that allows things to operate what would be deemed outside the Sunshine, in the -- in the state procurement code.

- Q How about the cone of silence?
- A Cone of silence is just a phrase that was used -- that is used, but that emanates from the procurement code.
- Q And David Cook responds on December 27th, From the article, sure seems she was in the lead. Unclear if the numbers being suggested were over and above the debt.

They make a big point that the mayor's staff had joined the process in early December, about a week or so before the mayor issued his hurry up, end of January deadline.

Do you know what David Cook's referring to when he says the mayor issued his hurry up, end of January

1 deadline? I think it's what you were referring earlier, 2 which was the mayor had encouraged, directed, however 3 you phrased it, that the process be accelerated. 4 Q Were you aware that that happened, the mayor 5 6 had done that? Α I think so. 8 Q Did you understand why the mayor had done that? 9 We've already talked about that. No, I don't 10 Α 11 know. 12 Q I mean, this was a JEA process, not a City of Jacksonville process, right? 13 14 That's correct, but the mayor -- the mayor said 15 he wanted the process to be completed quicker. 16 And do you understand what authority he had to Q make that determination? He wasn't leading the sale 17 18 process, was he? 19 Well, he wasn't leading the sale process. 20 don't know what technical authority he has, but the 21 mayor, in our consolidated form of government, has a lot 22 of authority in nearly all matters. 23 At least influence? Q 24 Certainly influence. Α 25 And you responded to David on December 27th, at Q

```
1
    7:53 p.m.
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 2
             All of this Merrill involvement by either his
 3
        ()
    staff is due to Carline Miller saying that no JEA
 4
    employee could be on the negotiating team.
 5
 6
        Α
             Right.
 7
        Q
             What does Merrill refer to?
 8
        Α
             That's a -- that's a -- when you dictate --
9
    it's mayoral. It should read m-a-y-o-r-a-l, not Merrill
10
    Lynch.
11
        Q
             Ah.
12
        Α
             I'm sure I was dictating a text. Same thing,
    Carline should be Carla Miller.
13
14
        Q
             Carla Miller.
             And it should be no JEA, not -- not spelled
15
16
    with a name.
17
             So all of this mayoral involvement by either
        Q
    his staff --
18
19
        Α
             Right.
20
        Q
             -- by either his staff --
21
        Α
             By -- let me explain what this was about.
    Carla had raised questions --
22
23
        Q
             This was about 8:00 o'clock at night.
             So.
24
        Α
25
             Go ahead.
        Q
```

A I'm sure you have lawyers that work late too.

Carla has raised questions as to whether a member of JEA, an employee of JEA could be on the negotiating team. And had suggested that that issue could be resolved by having members outside of JEA employees, whether they be in the mayor's office or somewhere else, to be negotiating team members.

And so had Carla not raised that issue, I think that JEA would have had just JEA employees be the ones serving as negotiators.

- Q That's where they were headed until Carla did something?
  - A Right. Uh-huh.

Q But I didn't understand, All of this mayoral involvement by either his staff.

What does that mean, "by either his staff"?

A Well, I mean, I don't think the Mayor was going to be on the negotiating team, but Stephanie Burch, who is a member of his staff, was then going to be on the negotiating team.

Q Okay. I see. And then David Cook wrote at 8:02, in response to you, The optics were too bad in light of the attempt to have the PUP plan.

And at 8:13, you responded to David and you said, I told them the PUP would kill the whole deal.

1 Sorry I was correct. Α 2 Uh-huh. When you said, "I told them," tell me who them 3 () is and when did you tell them. 4 Α Same conversation we've earlier had, Melissa 5 6 Dykes and Herschel Vinyard, as I've earlier testified. 7 Q So that's what you're referring to --8 Α Yes. 9 Q -- by those conversations? Yeah. 10 Α 11 Q And then David Cook responded to you at 8:38 12 that evening, on December 27th, We discussed it as soon as we learned about it. They will try and take cover 13 14 and claim consultants came up with it, but they had to 15 know about the crazy payouts upon sale. Mayor or folks 16 in his staff had to know or should have known. 17 While crazy -- while on crazy fees, I hope they 18 subpoena Sam Mousa's arrangement with NextEra. 19 And I'm assuming that's referring to the fact 20 that Sam Mousa was a paid consultant to NextEra? 21 Α I'm assuming so. 22 Q The City waived conflict issues at the same time as hired him as a consultant. 23 The Tim Baker thing 24 is outrageous. Taken as a whole, the Mayor only cared

about getting JEA sold and didn't care that his self

appointed team at JEA and his political consultants were 1 2 all going to make outlandish sums at the expense of the 3 citizens. 4 He's getting pretty outspoken here. David is outspoken. 5 Α 6 Q The Mayor is pretty smart and looks like they 7 thought they could ram this through the city council and 8 then campaign with the promise of \$1,000 to each account 9 holder and it would pass. 10 The Mayor is pretty smart and looks like they 11 thought, who's the "they" you think he's referring to? 12 Α I'm assuming the Mayor and his senior staff, 13 whoever would have been involved in the process. 14 Brian and Tim? Well, certainly Brian was at the time either 15 16 CAO or chief of staff so I certainly think it would include him, not sure about Tim. I know that Tim had 17 18 been involved with his campaigns. 19 () In the mayor's campaigns? 20 Α Yes. 21

And was at the Club Continental? Q

22

23

24

25

Α He was for a portion of that meeting, yeah.

Q Do you think that's a fair -- in your experience, do you think that's a fair characterization that that's where the Mayor was coming from, was they

thought that they could get this through the city council and then with an inducement of \$1,000 to each account holder, that they could campaign to get it passed?

A I think the mayor was confident in his ability to persuade the city council to at least approve a referendum, which is what -- they had to approve the sale and they had to the approve the referendum. And that what we talked about earlier, the rebate money, yeah, that's a factor that a voter would consider.

Q The PR -- I keep reading, The PR folks and consultants should be identified and called to task for horrible game plan.

Are the PR folks the Dalton Agency, do you know? Is that who he was referring to?

A No, there were -- I don't know if there's others, but they are a PR agency that worked on this process.

Q The Dalton Agency?

A Michael Munz specifically, yeah.

Q Whoever prepared the JEA response to assure taxpayers that the PUP would be paid by the buyer so don't worry about -- so don't worry should be identified and never have another governmental contract. Simply a deliberate attempt to talk down to the citizens.

```
1
             This was going to be a tough sell, but JEA
    should have done all of the community/church meetings to
 2
 3
    try and develop support beforehand and at least listen
    to folks and get their message out there.
 4
 5
             I'd like to know who came up with the $1,000
 6
    payment plan and whether this was discussed with certain
 7
    community leaders in the low income areas.
 8
             Completely soiled this opportunity for a long
    time due to greed and arrogance.
9
             Best, David.
10
11
             Do you agree with David's observations?
12
        Α
             Generally, yes.
                               Uh-huh.
13
        Q
             And to whom do you subscribe the greed and
14
    arrogance that David was talking about, the JEA
15
    management, the mayoral staff, who?
16
        Α
             I would probably say JEA management at that
17
    point.
18
        Q
             Principally Aaron --
19
        Α
             Yeah.
20
        Q
             -- and his folks?
21
             Yeah.
        Α
                    Yes.
22
             Would you include Herschel in that?
        Q
23
             Herschel was a member of the senior leadership
24
    team, yes. I'm not going to ascribe that motive to him,
    but he was a member of the senior leadership team.
25
```

```
Q
             Well, you've known Herschel a long time and
 1
 2
    you're a good friend of his.
             Yes.
 3
        Α
                   Uh-huh.
             As I am.
        Q
 4
        Α
             Uh-huh.
 5
 6
        Q
             Were you disappointed in his role in this?
 7
        Α
             That's a tough question in terms of -- of
 8
    disappointment. My opinion of Herschel's integrity
9
    hasn't changed. My opinion of Herschel's abilities
10
    haven't changed, no.
11
             So I was disappointed that -- I was
12
    disappointed in lots of the process. And I don't know
13
    all that Herschel did or did not do within this process,
14
    but certainly he was part of the senior leadership team
15
    in a process that failed miserably.
16
        Q
             And was poorly conceived?
                    Depends on what we say about poorly
17
             Yeah.
        Α
18
    conceived.
                In the sense of getting to the question of
19
    what -- of whether JEA should be sold and what that
20
    could do for the City, that's a question that when I was
21
    on the city council was being debated.
22
        Q
             It's been debated for 50 years --
23
        Α
             Yeah.
                    Exactly.
24
        Q
             -- consolidating.
25
             So I don't know if it's -- it depends on what
        Α
```

- portion was poorly conceived. Was the PUP plan poorly
  conceived as a component of this? Yes.
  - Q Well, so let's take that discussion a little bit further. What you're suggesting is that there could be a reasonable debate in the community about whether or not to sell JEA?
- 7 A Oh, absolutely, yes.
  - Q And reasonable people could differ about that?
- 9 A Absolutely.

3

4

5

6

8

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Q And at some point that could be fairly debated
  11 in the community and even voted on by the council or the
  12 citizens?
- 13 A Uh-huh. Yes.
  - Q And so you don't fault the mayor or the JEA for at least putting it on the table for consideration?
- 16 A No, I don't.
  - Q But based on what we've been through so far today, it looks like the process was not as transparent as it should have been and it was more truncated than it should have been, don't you agree?
  - A I agree with that. I contrast, Steve, for instance, to The Better Jacksonville Plan, which was, as we all in this room know, was us voting to increase our taxes on ourself. And I'm convinced that the only reason that passed was because a compelling case was

```
made as to the reason for -- the need for the additional
1
2
    revenue and its uses. And because of that, people could
3
    get behind that. That was a very open, transparent
4
    process and campaign.
             Just like a half cent for the school board?
5
             Just like a half cent for the school board,
6
7
    absolutely. Yeah. Uh-huh.
8
        Q
             But 13 minutes at the end of the July 23 board
9
    meeting to consider the PUP plan with inaccurate data
    provided to the board before that meeting probably was
10
11
    not as it should have been?
12
             Certainly was not as much as it could have
        Α
13
   been.
14
             Should have been?
```

A Sir, I think the results could have been very different had there been more discussion about it, more understanding about it as the process went through.

Q Do you think that it's fair that Aaron was trying to limit transparency in getting it through the board with as little notice as possible?

A I'm not sure what you mean was it fair. Do I agree with that characterization?

Q Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I don't know if I do for -- for this reason. I don't know what forms of communication Aaron has with

```
1
    his particular board members. And so whether he had
    briefed them in advance or I -- I don't know that.
 2
 3
             Well, you saw Kelly Flanagan make public
    statements subsequently that she didn't feel like she
 4
    was adequately briefed.
 5
             I heard those statements, yes. That's why I
 6
 7
    said I don't know what his relationship with -- and
    communication with the board members were.
9
        Q
             And you've seen this board package that went to
10
    the July 23 board meeting?
11
        Α
             Yes, I have.
                           Uh-huh.
12
        Q
             Approximately how many pages was it?
             345 or something like that.
13
        Α
14
             Okay. And you're aware -- the reports were
        Q
15
    pretty public to the board, maybe a day or two before
16
    the meeting?
17
                   Uh-huh. It's a lot of information to
        Α
             Yes.
18
    digest in advance.
19
        Q
             On a very complex subject?
20
        Α
             Not only complex, but a very important
21
    subject.
22
        Q
             Which had been limited discussion in front of
23
    the board about previously to July 23?
             I'm sorry.
24
        Α
```

25

Q

Which had been limited discussion with the

```
1
    board prior to July 23rd?
 2
             I'm not sure of all the discussion prior to
 3
    that, but I'll accept your characterization.
 4
             MS. NOLLER:
                          Steve, we've been going a little
 5
        over two hours, would you be amenable to a short
 6
        break?
 7
             MR. BUSEY: Yes, ma'am.
 8
             (Recess taken.)
    BY MR. BUSEY:
9
             I'm showing Kevin Exhibit 47, which is an
10
        ()
11
    e-mail -- which is a memorandum to the file, dated July
12
    22, 2019, from Lawsikia, Lynne Rhode and Jason Gabriel.
13
             Have you -- have you seen this before?
14
        Α
             I don't think so.
15
             (Hyde's Exhibit 47 was marked for
16
    identification.)
17
             It's the day before the July 23 JEA board
        Q
18
    meeting we've been talking about. It's a memorandum to
19
    the file by OGC. Re line says, Strategic Planning
20
    Actions Taken, JEA Board, Based on Scenario Based
21
    Planning. Options Presented by the JEA Senior
22
    Leadership Team. That's a handful.
23
        Α
             Uh-huh.
24
        Q
             And the footnote to this memorandum says,
25
    Office of General Counsel engaged and relied on various
```

```
outside specialized counsel to assist JEA in the
 1
 2
    preparation of the resolutions and underlying documents
 3
    required for each option presented by the senior
    leadership team.
 4
 5
             Accordingly, the scope of this memorandum does
 6
    not address the legality of the underlying documents
 7
    drafted to implement such resolutions, as such legality
 8
    has been confirmed -- confirmed and will continue to be
9
    developed by approved special counsel to JEA appointed
    and supervised by the Office of General Counsel pursuant
10
11
    to the course of action approved by the JEA board.
12
             Now, again, I think you just said you've never
    seen this before?
13
14
             I don't recall seeing this.
15
             This -- this appears to me, I'm just reading
16
    it, that OGC is attempting to say that we have a pass
    on the legality of the memoranda that are going to be
17
18
    presented to the board on July 23 and we're relying --
19
    we're -- OGC is relying on outside counsel to
20
    determine.
21
             Is that how you read that --
             Yes.
22
        Α
```

And you -- I take it, you said you haven't seen

23

24

25

Q

Α

Q

-- footnote?

Yes.

```
this before, you haven't had any discussion with either
 1
 2
    Lawsikia, Lynne or Jason why they would memorialize on
 3
    the eve of the July 23 board meeting that the OGC had
    not passed on any of the resolutions?
 4
             I have not had those discussions.
 5
        Α
             MR. BUSEY:
                          Off the record.
 6
 7
             (Off-the-record discussion.)
    BY MR. BUSEY:
9
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
    68.
10
11
        Α
             Uh-huh.
12
             (Hyde's Exhibit 68 was marked for
    identification.)
13
14
             And this is one of the documents that I
15
    referred to you just earlier that we just received --
16
        Α
             Yeah.
                    Uh-huh.
             -- from OGC today, that's why it's the
17
        Q
18
    numbering on it.
19
        Α
             Sure.
20
             Do you know, Kevin, why OGC had this document,
    68, which is a letterhead -- a letter, dated October 23,
21
22
    2019, and it was not among the documents you produced to
23
    us in response to our request for documents prepared for
    this interview?
24
                  I'm -- I'm familiar with this document so
25
        Α
```

I -- I don't know the specific answer. Maybe we thought 1 2 in going through it, it wasn't responsive to one of the 3 requests. I can certainly explain it. MS. NOLLER: And, actually, Steve, what -- what 4 you guys had told me was 68 is not a multi-page 5 6 document. It's a one page e-mail that you guys just 7 sent me. 8 MR. BUSEY: Well, then we're not communicating 9 because I'm looking at a three-page letter, an engagement letter between The Southern Group and 10 11 Foley & Lardner, dated October 3, 2019. 12 MS. NOLLER: Ah, I have it as 67. I apologize. I must have misheard Kevin earlier, Kevin Blodgett. 13 14 I'm with vou. BY MR. BUSEY: 15 All right. And either, Lisa or Kevin, I'm just 16 Q trying to find out how come we got stuff from OGC 17 18 through Nelson Mullins that we didn't get directly from 19 you. And I sincerely doubt, Kevin, if you did the 20 document search, so I'm just trying to figure out where 21 the disconnect is. 22 Α I don't know. Who did you rely on to do the document search? 23 Q 24 Α Primarily my legal assistants. I was involved, 25 but my paralegal, Susan Coleman.

```
1
        Q
             Okay. We've gotten a lot of it and there's
 2
    just a lot of stuff that Nelson Mullins apparently
 3
    received from Foley weeks ago, gave it to OGC and we're
    just now getting it and it was not provided to us by
 4
    your office in response to our questions.
 5
 6
    appears to be a disparity behind there and I just -- you
 7
    can't explain it without going through at this point?
 8
        Α
             No.
9
        Q
             All right. Well, let's look at what we --
             MS. NOLLER: Which -- Steve?
10
11
             MR. BUSEY: Yes, ma'am.
12
             MS. NOLLER: Which request from Lanny
        Russell's August 7th letter are you contending that
13
        this would be responsive to? Just so we can follow
14
15
        up, please.
16
                           Sure, Lisa.
                                                         It's
             MR. RUSSELL:
                                        This is Lanny.
        specifically covered by the documents relating to
17
18
        the Foley's bills. This -- the cost of Southern
19
        Group was passed through on the Foley bills to JEA.
20
             MR. BUSEY: Well, let's -- let's go ahead and
21
        talk about it.
22
             MS. NOLLER: Well, we produced -- well, we
23
        produced the invoices and that's what's called for
24
        by your letter. I don't think we -- well, we did
25
        not mean to withhold something that wasn't
```

```
1
        responsive, but I think you can take issue on
 2
        whether this particular letter is responsive.
                                                         We're
 3
        happy to talk about it, we're not hiding from it,
        but I think it's -- it's a debatable question
 4
 5
        whether it's responsive.
    BY MR. BUSEY:
 6
 7
        Q
             Exhibit 68 is a letter from you, Kevin, to Deno
 8
    Hicks at The Southern Group, dated October 3, 2019.
9
    Apparently Foley is engaging The Southern Group pursuant
10
    to this letter.
11
        Α
             Yes.
12
        Q
             To do what?
             It -- they were going to provide services,
13
        Α
14
    primarily city council relations.
15
        Q
             Primarily what?
16
             What I've put in here, governmental and public
        Α
    affairs, but primarily interaction with the city council
17
18
    lobbying.
19
             Well, I'm reading the first sentence.
20
    writing to confirm that you and The Southern Group have
21
    been retained on behalf of JEA --
22
        Α
             Yes.
             -- for the purpose of assisting Foley & Lardner
23
24
    in providing governmental and public affair services
25
    related to the ITN issued by JEA on August 2.
```

1 Α Right. 2 Q So was the engagement limited to the ITN 3 process? 4 Α Yes, yes. When I said it was going to be city council lobbying, it was related to the ITN process 5 issued by JEA because, again, ultimately this would have 6 had to go to the city council. 8 Q So you're telling me that the purpose of 9 Foley's engagement with The Southern Group was to get Southern Group's assistance in getting this ITN process 10 11 through city council? 12 Α That would be part of their governmental 13 affairs work, yes. 14 Their, who's their? 15 They being Southern -- Southern Group. Why is Foley hiring The Southern Group for that 16 Q rather than JEA? 17 18 I can't remember if it was Sherry Hall or Carey 19 Stewart asked us to do -- to do the engagement. 20 have used apparently other times that they have used 21 their counsel to employ --22 Q Wait a minute, I lost the pronouns. They used 23 their counsel? They -- JEA has used its counsel to procure the 24 Α

services of other consultants.

```
Q
             Well, yeah, we've seen that with McKinsey in
 1
 2
    this case.
                Pillsbury --
 3
             MS. NOLLER: I'm sorry, what?
        Q
             We've seen that with McKinsey in this case.
 4
 5
    Pillsbury hired McKinsey. Were you aware of that?
 6
    that what you're referring?
 7
             I wasn't -- no, I wasn't aware -- I wasn't
 8
    referring to that specifically. I don't know how
9
    McKinsey was specifically retained.
             Why didn't you just tell JEA to go hire them
10
11
    yourself? Why do you need us to do this? I don't
12
    understand why Foley's involved.
13
             I've -- we've done that representing other
        Α
14
    public agencies. I ask whether it met their procurement
15
    code for us to do this and they said it did and so we
16
    made -- we did the arrangement and then we terminated
    this about a week later.
17
18
        Q
             When you say "we terminated this"?
19
        Α
             The arrangement was terminated.
20
        Q
             Foley --
21
        Α
             Foley and Deno of -- Deno Hicks, who is the
22
    local manager of The Southern Group, term- -- terminated
    the relationship.
23
24
        Q
             About a week later, October the 11th of so?
25
             Yeah.
                    Uh-huh.
        Α
                             They had done -- the engagement
```

```
was to go back, notice he says effective date of the engagement is July 23rd. So I don't want to suggest it had only been for a week. I'm saying between October 3rd and I think October 11th was when the engagement was terminated.
```

Q So why did it take six months -- excuse me, 60 days for Foley to engage The Southern Group if they had been rendering services since July 23?

A Because we were trying to get an engagement letter and I was delayed in doing it to them. We were engaged in a lot of other things.

Q We, Foley?

A We, Foley engaged in a lot of other things related to the ITN, so it was a delay on my part.

Q And then why was your relation- -- Foley's relationship with Southern Group terminated merely a week later?

A I think it was at the request of Deno Hicks that it be terminated, the entire relationship be terminated. I think some questions had arisen as to how the procurement could come about and so it was terminated.

Q I'll show you what we marked as 67. Is that the termination --

A Uh-huh.

```
1
             (Hyde's Exhibit 67 was marked for
    identification.)
 2
             -- you're referring to?
 3
        ()
        Α
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
 4
        Q
             You said there had been some questions about
 5
 6
    how this has come about, what are you referring to?
 7
             How the -- how the engagement of Deno -- I keep
    saying Deno, I associated him with The Southern Group --
 8
 9
    how The Southern Group had been engaged to do work with
10
    JEA.
11
             And I -- what I'm trying to remember right now
12
    is how this timing worked with the issues that later
    arose about the land deal that Deno Hicks had with Aaron
13
           So I don't -- I don't know how the -- how the
14
15
    timing of that worked. I just remember that Deno
16
    called -- or not called, he e-mailed and said, We're
17
    going to terminate this contract.
18
        Q
             You remember the conversation?
19
        Α
             Well, here's the e-mail.
20
        Q
             You said you remember that Deno called, that's
    why I --
21
22
             I can't remember if he called or he e-mailed,
23
    but remember getting communication that it was going to
    be terminated.
24
25
             And you don't recall whether that termination
        Q
```

```
on or about October the 11th was before or after it hit
 1
    the fan that Deno was in bed with Aaron?
 2
 3
             I don't remember exactly when that was.
        Q
             So if you don't remember when that was, then
 4
 5
    wouldn't it seem strange to you if you -- if you had
 6
    heard that news, that a week after you had entered this
 7
    engagement with Deno, that he just simply cancelled it
    without telling you why?
9
             Well, what I'm saying, Steve, is I don't
10
    remember exactly when the newspaper began reporting
11
    this -- this business argument Deno Hicks had with Aaron
12
           It's likely to be in around this time.
                                                    I'm
    saying, as I sit here today, I don't remember when that
13
14
    is or when that was.
15
             I hear you. And I'm saying, if you hadn't
    heard of it, this would be a pretty strange thing,
16
17
    wouldn't it, if you hadn't heard about that?
18
        Α
             Probably so.
19
        Q
             Suggests that you probably had heard about it?
20
        Α
                    I'm just not going to say I know with
21
    specificity the exact date I heard about the land
22
    business arrangement between the two.
             I understand. That's the value of cross
23
        Q
    examination.
24
25
             And looking at Exhibit 67 --
```

1 Α Uh-huh. -- the termination of your arrangement with 2 3 Southern Group was at least by October the 11th? Α Yes. 4 Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 48, Q 5 6 which is a composite of invoices from The Southern Group to Foley & Lardner. Α Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 9 (Hyde's Exhibit 48 was marked for 10 identification.) 11 Q And the first page is for professional services 12 rendered in July. And the second page is for professionals services rendered in August. The third 13 14 page is professional services rendered in September. And the fourth page is professional services rendered in 15 16 October. September and August and October are all the same amount, \$7500 a month. 17 18 Α Right. 19 If you terminated them on October 11th, why are 20 you paying them -- or did you pay them for the entire 21 month of October? 22 I -- I don't know if they were paid. My guess, that's all this is, is it was a retainer agreement and 23 24 so they probably billed on the 1st of the month, prior 25 to the time that it was -- it was terminated.

```
instance, if you look here on the last page, it says,
 1
    Invoice Date, 10/1, so they're billing for the whole
 2
 3
    month, 7500 for the month and showing it's not due till
    10/31.
 4
 5
        Q
             And what did The Southern Group do for you --
 6
    for Foley --
 7
        Α
             It's --
 8
             -- in July, August and September and October --
9
    well, September, that justified $7500 a month during
10
    that time frame?
11
             It said they were retained on behalf of JEA for
12
    assisting us. And I -- I don't know exactly all that
13
    they did. The main thing that I would have looked for
14
    them to do was to assist with city council
    relationships.
15
16
        Q
             Well, you were on the scene, did they do that
    during the time frame?
17
18
        Α
             I don't know exactly all that they did.
19
        Q
             And they didn't break their services down any
    more further than that?
20
21
        Α
             No, it was a flat fee retainer.
             Good work, if you can get it.
22
        Q
23
        Α
             It is.
24
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
```

And this is an engagement letter between J.P.

25

49.

```
Morgan and JEA, dated July 24th, 2019. This is the day
 1
    after the June 23 board meeting. It is 12 pages long,
 2
 3
    single spaced, small print.
 4
             Have you seen this before?
        Α
             No.
 5
 6
             (Hyde's Exhibit 49 was marked for
 7
    identification.)
 8
        Q
             Had you ever -- you've never seen it?
9
        Α
             No.
             Do you have any -- J.P. Morgan, were they at
10
        ()
11
    the Club Continental meeting on July 10th?
12
        Α
             Representatives of J.P. Morgan were.
             Not Mr. Morgan himself?
13
        Q
14
        Α
             No.
15
             Do you think that this 12-page engagement
16
    letter was written between July 23 and July 24?
             I have no idea. My guess is, no, but I'm not
17
        Α
18
    going to opine on something that I don't have knowledge
19
    of.
20
             And you don't know why it was not executed
    until after the board meeting?
21
22
        Α
             I do not.
23
             Were you aware that the board authorized the
24
    JEA senior leadership team on July 23 to hire
25
    professionals?
```

```
1
        Α
             There were -- one of the resolutions you
 2
    showed me, it talked about hiring consultants and
 3
    professionals, yes.
             So do we take from that that the board wasn't
 4
        Q
 5
    authorized to hire consultants and professionals prior
    to July 23 for the ITN process?
 6
 7
             I have to look at the resolution board, but
 8
    the resolution, I think, did authorize that to be done
9
    so that's a fair assumption, as to a reason for waiting
10
    until July 24th.
11
        Q
             Even though J.P. Morgan and Morgan Stanley were
12
    working prior to July 24th?
13
        Α
             Yes.
14
        Q
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 51.
15
             Have you seen this document before?
16
             I'm not sure. I don't remember the substance
        Α
    of this document.
17
18
             (Hyde's Exhibit 51 was marked for
19
    identification.)
             The document is entitled Project Scampi,
20
        Q
    Organizational Materials, July 31, 2019. And it says on
21
22
    the front page, J.P. Morgan and Morgan Stanley.
23
             Uh-huh.
24
             And the second page of the document says, July
25
    31 Work in Session, Logistics and Attendees.
```

1 Did you go to a July 31 work in session? 2 I'm listed as -- I don't recall specifically, 3 but it -- I do recall a meeting in our office where 4 various of the advisors were there and so it's 5 possible. 6 Q Well, you said --7 I -- I -- let me clarify. I participated in 8 very few of the meetings dealing with the actual ITN 9 I was dealing with the labor issues primarily, including doing collective bargaining in or around this 10 11 time. 12 So I -- I don't want to say, Steve, that I guaranteed I was or was not there. I -- I don't recall. 13 14 I see that I'm listed and I recall that there were 15 meetings in our offices, but as it related to the ITN, I 16 was kind of the relationship partner, but not intimately involved in the -- the specific issues dealing with the 17 18 ITN. 19 Q And who's Benjamin Grossman? 20 Α He is a sen- -- what we call senior counsel in 21 our Tallahassee office, works very closely with Robert 22 Hosay. 23 Q And how come Chris Kise is not on this list, on 24 page 2 of Exhibit 51?

Chris was not involved in any meaningful way

25

Α

```
1
    in the ITN process. The other reason --
 2
             THE WITNESS: Let's go off the record for just
 3
        a second.
             (Off-the-record discussion.)
 4
    BY MR. BUSEY:
 5
             Looking at the page 3 of Exhibit 51, there's a
 6
        Q
 7
    section that says, Key Consideration Questions.
                                                      And in
 8
    the bullet points among key considerations, there's four
9
    that say, Does JEA have the support of city council, the
10
    Jacksonville mayor and other local leadership?
11
             Another one says, Some of the board materials
12
    paint a negative picture of JEA. Would I want to get
    involved?
13
14
             The other one says, Is the clear buyer for JEA
    NextEra?
15
             And another one says: What will be different
16
    about this process compared to 2018?
17
18
             Do you remember the discussion of those
19
    points?
20
        Α
             No. And I -- as you're going over these
21
    points, I -- I don't recall reading this document.
22
        Q
             But this document appears, just from looking
23
    at it, to be a planning session for how we're going to
24
    get the ITN process done after it was approved on July
25
    23?
```

```
1
        Α
             That's the way I interpret it.
        Q
 2
             Show you what we've marked as Exhibit 52.
 3
             (Hyde's Exhibit 52 was marked for
    identification.)
 4
             MS. NOLLER: 52?
 5
 6
             THE WITNESS: Yeah, 5-2.
 7
             MS. NOLLER: Thank you.
    BY MR. BUSEY:
9
        Q
             All right. This is entitled Overview of
    Competitive Bid Process --
10
11
        Α
             Uh-huh.
12
             -- JEA. And right here on the front cover, it
    says, Kevin Hyde, Foley & Lardner.
13
14
        Α
             Yeah. Uh-huh.
15
        Q
             So have you seen this document before?
16
        Α
             I believe so, yeah.
             It's refreshing. And what's the purpose of the
17
        Q
18
    document?
19
             It was to -- to distill into one place how this
20
    process -- how the ITN process could go about. So it
21
    was intended to -- could be used as presentation to a
22
    board or others to just kind of explain how the process
23
    worked.
24
             And so one of the reasons that I'm on there is
    because I'm local, whereas Robert and Ben were not, and
25
```

```
I had more experience going out to, whether it be city
 1
 2
    council or board meetings, to talk about in public
 3
    settings, make presentations.
 4
             The main thing this was doing was to kind of
    set forth a time line.
 5
             For whose benefit?
 6
        ()
 7
             I -- I think primarily the city council going
 8
         There was a meeting -- I can't remember if it was a
9
    committee meeting or maybe the whole city council, but
10
    they want to really understand how the process was going
11
    to work and I spoke at that meeting.
12
        Q
             Did you prepare this document?
             I saw it, but I did not do the initial work.
13
        Α
                                                             Ι
14
    think it was probably Ben Grossman.
15
        Q
             Well, the graphics suggest that it's done
16
    within JEA --
             Well --
17
        Α
18
        Q
             -- is there a lot of other JEA graphics
19
    which --
20
             It is. I mean, JEA ultimately produced the
21
    document, but I think Ben did probably a lot of the
22
    initial drafting of it. But, yeah, it's -- it's -- has
23
    the JEA logo, was in remarks.
24
        Q
             The pages of Exhibit 52 are not numbered, but I
```

want you to look at the eighth page in, which is titled

25

1 this --2 Α Okay. 3 () Keep going. Got it. 4 Α Q Got it? 5 6 Α Yep. Uh-huh. 7 And the page is titled How the -- How is the Q 8 Integrity of the ITN Process Ensured. 9 And the first paragraph says, Cone of silence, 10 prohibition of ex-parte communication between potential 11 bidders and JEA and its representatives. 12 Did you participate in any discussions at all 13 regarding the cone of silence and who were or were not 14 representatives of JEA? 15 I participated in discussions related to the 16 cone of silence. I don't know if it was specific as to 17 the question you just asked. But one of the questions 18 that -- that came up, I recall, with city council 19 members is what involvement could they have? How did 20 the cone of silence apply to them, if at all? 21 Q And what was the answer to that question? 22 Α That in order to ensure the integrity of the process, it should be very limited as to who could 23 24 participate in the process and what information could 25 flow out from that process.

```
Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
 1
    53. And it's -- and it's a memorandum from Jason
 2
 3
    Gabriel to council members, dated September 24th, 2019,
    explaining the cone of silence.
 4
        Α
 5
             Okay.
 6
             (Hyde's Exhibit 53 was marked for
 7
    identification.)
 8
        Q
             Have you seen this before?
 9
             I don't believe so.
10
        ()
             Lock back on page -- on Exhibit 53, Jason is
11
    explaining in the memorandum the cone of silence.
12
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             And in the second paragraph, under Roman
13
        Q
14
    numeral II, he says, There are two essential ITN
15
    communication restrictions during the cone of silence
16
             1, communications with vendors or respondents
    to the ITN; and, 2, communications regarding the merits
17
    of the ITN terms.
18
             When -- when he says, "2, communications
19
20
    regarding the merits of the ITN terms," do you know
    who -- communications with whom he's referring?
21
22
             I think, as explained on the next page, he's
        Α
    really talking about what -- what could council members
23
24
    say while the ITN process was going on.
25
        Q
             Say to whom?
```

```
Say to anyone. Could be news media, say it in
 1
        Α
    a public meeting. The analogy that I would give and the
 2
 3
    way I always thought about it was when I was on city
    council and we were considering land use matters, you
 4
    had to be very careful about what you said outside of
 5
 6
    the City meeting or while something was in a quasi
 7
    judicial proceeding.
 8
             I think that's what Jason's talking about here
9
    because, ultimately, the city council was the approving
10
    authority on this ITN.
11
        Q
             Well, let me show you what we've marked as
12
    Exhibit 54, which is a document entitled JEA Invitation
    to Negotiate, 127-19, for Strategic Alternatives.
13
14
        Α
             Uh-huh.
15
             (Hyde's Exhibit 54 was marked for
16
    identification.)
17
             This is the invitation dated August 2, 2019 --
        Q
18
        Α
             Okay.
19
        Q
             -- to which you previously referred.
             Right. It's a portion of it it looks like.
20
        Α
21
        Q
             This is an excerpt, you're right.
             Yes.
22
        Α
                   Uh-huh.
             And I'm referring to the third page of the
23
        Q
24
    excerpt, which is numbered page 29.
25
        Α
             Uh-huh.
```

1 Q And it talks about the limitations on 2 communications in part 2.11. 3 Yes, I see that. And it says, Ex-Parte communication strictly 4 Q 5 prohibited. Ex-party communication is defined as any 6 inappropriate communication concerning the ITN between a 7 firm submitting a reply and a JEA representative. 8 Now, do you know how a JEA representative is 9 defined? This is a communication between a bidder and a JEA representative, right? 10 11 Yes. This is limited to that instance. And, 12 no, I don't know how limited they are defining representatives, JEA representatives. 13 14 But you do see that it's communication between 15 the bidder and a representative --16 Α Yes. Uh-huh. -- not between a city council person and a 17 Q 18 media person? 19 Α This document is addressing a different issue 20 than Jason's prior memo. 21 Q Okay. Help me clear it up. 22 Okay. Notice that Exhibit 53 is specifically Α addressed to city council members and what their role is 23 24 and what their communication can be. And he addresses

in paragraph 2, the communication with vendors and

25

```
representatives, but this is specifically directed as to
 1
    what can a city council member say and do during this
 2
 3
    process?
 4
             Whereas, Exhibit 54 is talking about contact
 5
    because notice on the first page, it says, to all
 6
    qualified and interested parties and talks about is
 7
    pleased to present this opportunity described herein,
    which is the ITN.
9
             So I interpret this, and I believe it's
    directed toward those who may seek to reply to the ITN.
10
11
    So it's different audiences.
12
        Q
             So you think 54 is directed to bidders?
             Yes. Yeah.
13
14
             So let me show you what we've marked as Exhibit
15
    55. Have you seen this before?
                                      It's a JEA Procurement
16
    Department Bid Section, Addendum Number 2 to I27-19.
17
        Α
             I've not seen this.
18
             (Hyde's Exhibit 55 was marked for
19
    identification.)
20
        Q
             And the addendum is a clarification of Section
21
    2.11, which we've just referred to.
        Α
22
             Uh-huh.
23
             And the first sentence says, Ex-Parte
24
    communication is strictly prohibited. Ex-parte
25
    communication is any communication concerning this ITN
```

```
1
    during the time period beginning when the ITN was
    released on August 2 through the time of an award
 2
 3
    regarding the solicitation, between a firm or other
 4
    agency submitting the bid and any JEA board member
    employee, agent or representatives.
 5
             So that's the communication -- this is -- the
 6
 7
    JEA is talking now. This is communication between the
    bidder and a JEA representative, right?
        Α
9
             Yes.
10
             And the next sentence goes on, it says,
11
    Defines JEA representative, includes the mayor and City
12
    of Jacksonville council members and their immediate
13
    staff.
14
             But still that's a communication between the
15
    bidder and any of those people, isn't it?
16
        Α
             Yes.
17
             That doesn't -- that prohibit any of the JEA
        Q
18
    representatives from talking to their wives?
19
        Α
             No, no.
20
        Q
             So it's communication between a bidder and
21
    JEA --
22
        Α
             Yes.
23
             -- or JEA representative?
        Q
24
        Α
             Yes.
25
             Okay. Well, you said the council member
        Q
```

1 couldn't talk to the media, that would be different communication?

A No. Steve, what I'm saying is that these -Jason's memo, Exhibit 54, was to say to the council
member, here are the parameters of your communication.
And that question had arisen as could they talk to the media.

And the example I gave you on land use is that you always had to be very careful as it didn't look like you prejudged your opinion and what was always used in prejudging your opinion is statements made to the media so that was the caution.

Exhibit 54 and Exhibit 55 are saying to those who would reply, don't be talking to these JEA representatives. And in particular 55 is saying that includes the mayor, his immediate staff and members of the city council.

Q Okay. Okay. That doesn't -- that's communications between the bidders and those folks?

A Yes.

Q And the whole idea in the procurement context is you have a bidding process. You don't want to have bidders learn about other bidders' stuff?

A Or influence the people while the bid process is going on.

```
All right. Do you know the -- what was the
 1
        Q
    impetus for Exhibit 55?
 2
        Α
 3
             No.
        Q
             And you were unaware of it prior to me showing
 4
    it to you?
 5
             Yes.
 6
        Α
 7
        Q
             Let me show you what we've marked as 59.
 8
             (Hyde's Exhibit 59 was marked for
9
    identification.)
10
             MS. NOLLER: 5-9?
11
             MR. BUSEY: 5-9.
12
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
             MS. NOLLER: Thank you.
13
    BY MR. BUSFY:
14
15
             Do you recognize that? The -- it's the
        Q
    letterhead of Office of General Counsel.
16
17
        Α
             Uh-huh.
18
        Q
             And it's a letter to the board of commission on
19
    ethics?
20
             I'm familiar with the topic. I don't know if
    I've ever seen the actual document. And the topic was
21
22
    who could serve as a negotiation team member. I did not
23
    draft this.
24
             Did it go to the ethics commission, to your
25
    knowledge?
```

```
1
        Α
             I -- I don't know.
 2
             And the questions to the commission are posed
 3
    on the top of page 5. Do you know if the commission
 4
    ever responded to this?
             I don't know.
 5
             Do you know whether the request that we marked
 6
        Q
 7
    as Exhibit 59 was ever withdrawn by the City before it
    was responded to by the commission?
9
        Α
             I don't know.
             Let me show you what we marked as Exhibit 60.
10
        Q
11
    6-0.
12
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             (Hyde's Exhibit 60 was marked for
13
14
    identification.)
15
        Q
             This is Foley's bill to JEA --
16
        Α
             Uh-huh.
17
             -- for the period of July and August --
        Q
18
        Α
             Right.
19
        Q
             -- or a portion of August?
20
        Α
             Uh-huh.
21
        Q
             Did you see this bill before it went out?
22
        Α
             Yeah, I would see the bills. And it looks when
    this date was issued, this would be prior to me issuing
23
24
    revised bills, removing information, if any, related to
25
    the PUP.
```

```
1
        Q
             And the revised bills simply eliminated the
    entries related to the PUP?
 2
 3
             Related entries altogether.
        Q
             And so if you looked at the revised bill, you
 4
    could not see what had been eliminated?
 5
 6
        Α
             It's not a strike through or anything like
 7
    that.
           Just would -- the entry was removed.
 8
        Q
             So the answer to my question is yes?
9
        Α
             Yes.
             Look at page 4 of Exhibit 60.
10
        Q
11
        Α
             Okay.
12
        Q
             And specifically with the entries in July 10th,
13
    who is CWL?
14
             Chauncey Lever.
        Α
15
             And his first parenthetical after -- at the
        Q
16
    beginning of his entry is transfer to new JEA.
17
             What does that mean?
18
             I don't know what that means. It's probably
19
    we're setting up a new matter. What Chauncey may have
    done, I'm just speculating, you could enter time into
20
21
    the system with -- that had not yet been assigned a
22
    number and you would put a paren like that, just says,
23
    when the bill is generated, transfer that to time out to
24
    the -- to the JEA billing number.
25
        Q
             So it could be the time -- at the time Chauncey
```

```
recorded his time, he didn't know what the billing
 1
    number was?
 2
             Exactly. I -- I do that. If I don't know what
 3
        Α
    the billing number is, I'll make myself a note and then
 4
    when I see the pro forma, I then transfer it.
 5
 6
        ()
             And you see the previous entry, July 10th, BJG,
    who is that?
 8
        Α
             Benjamin Grossman, Tallahassee.
9
        Q
             And he makes reference to Project Freebird.
10
             Do you know where the term Freebird or Scampi
11
    came from?
12
             I don't specifically. I think Freebird came
        Α
    from Lynyrd Skynyrd connotation and Scampi came from
13
14
    Jumbo Shrimp, but --
15
        Q
             That's speculation on your part or anybody ever
16
    tell you that?
17
             No, it's speculation.
        Α
18
        Q
             You never had a conversation with anybody at
19
    JEA as to why and who selected those names?
20
        Α
             No.
21
             You're smiling. So I take it it's just as a
22
    matter of amusement to you?
             Well, it's amusing because I wasn't involved
23
24
    and I find it kind of humorous because you name projects
25
    like that, but I know it's done, but --
```

```
1
        Q
             But you didn't know why it was done in this
    instance?
 2
        Α
 3
             No.
        Q
             I mean, typically the reason that transactional
 4
    lawyers name a project like that in an emanate world is
 5
 6
    because they're trying to put a code name on it to keep
 7
    it from being well-known; isn't that right?
        Α
             That's one of the reasons it could be done.
9
        Q
             Uh-huh. And was that a reason it was done in
10
    this instance?
11
             I don't know.
        Α
12
        Q
             An idea to make it less transparent?
             I don't know, Steve. You've asked me do I know
13
        Α
14
    how it was named and I don't know.
             On July 10th, you had an entry of 7.9 hours?
15
        Q
16
             Uh-huh.
                      Yep. Uh-huh.
        Α
17
             That was your Club Continental meeting?
        Q
18
        Α
             Yes.
19
        Q
             And your line entry of that is attend
20
    meeting with officials and advisors to discuss employee
21
    issues.
22
        Α
             Right.
23
             In my world, I get criticized for that limited
24
    entry for eight hours of time. I'm curious as to why
25
    you weren't more descriptive.
```

```
1
        Α
             We differ in how we write time entries.
 2
        Q
             That's an okay time entry from your point of
 3
    view in describing what you did for eight hours --
        Α
             Yeah.
 4
             -- talk about employee issues?
 5
        Q
 6
        Α
             Yes.
 7
             Do you think that's fairly descriptive of what
        Q
 8
    you did for eight hours?
             Yes, I do. Because I know what we did that
9
        Α
    day. We sat around, talking about primarily how --
10
11
    what's the impact going to be on collective bargaining
12
    which was going to be coming up and then the retention
13
    agreement.
14
             And you did the same thing again for seven
15
    hours the next day?
16
        Α
             Yeah.
17
             And what did -- who is RHH?
        Q
18
        Α
             Robert Hosay.
19
             And his time entry was prepare and attend
20
    offsite meetings, eight hours.
21
             Uh-huh.
        Α
22
        Q
             Is that an adequate time description for $5,000
23
    of time to go to a meeting?
24
        Α
             It depends on the -- when the bill was reviewed
25
    by the client, they accepted it.
```

1	Q	How come they don't accept ours like that?
2		MR. BUSEY: Sean, will you share that?
3	Α	Peggy may be a harder grader.
4	Q	You have a time entry on July 17th for \$1800.
5	Α	Uh-huh.
6	Q	Telephone conference with Jason Gabriel
7	regardin	g employment agreements.
8	Α	Uh-huh.
9	Q	What employment agreements?
10	Α	I think it was related to the the senior
11	leadersh	ip team employment agreements.
12	Q	And your bill for July and part of August was
13	about a	quarter of a million dollars.
14	Α	Yes, a lot of work was performed.
15	Q	Doing what?
16	Α	It's described in the
17	Q	But it was largely ITN or the PUP?
18	Α	Oh, it was largely ITN. I've already told you
19	what we	had charged and written off for the PUP.
20	Q	And I'm going to show you what we marked as
21	Exhibit	61. If you look at the last page, I'm just
22	interest	ed on your hourly rates. Your hourly rate is
23	\$650 an	hour?
24	Α	Yes.
25		(Hyde's Exhibit 61 was marked for

```
1
    identification.)
             And Michael Kirwan's is $800 an hour?
 2
 3
             Yes.
        Q
             Why is he so much more than you? Isn't it
 4
 5
    obvious you're more senior of a lawyer than he is?
 6
             If anything, by a year maybe or something like
        Α
 7
           Michael works in very specialized areas of
    that.
 8
    securities. And, unfortunately, labor lawyers aren't
    valued on a rate basis as much as a securities lawyer.
9
10
        ()
             Michael was the one that was complaining that
11
    the payout was not capped?
12
        Α
             Correct.
                       He wrote those e-mails.
             So that may be conservative, $800 an hour.
13
        Q
14
             So without going through the balance of your
15
    bills, you -- Foley billed something in the neighborhood
16
    of $1.2 million for its time from beginning of July
17
    through --
18
        Α
             Probably our last entry was late December.
19
        ()
             -- December?
20
        Α
             Yeah.
21
        Q
             And with the exception of $136,000 that you
22
    said was attributable to the PUP, the balance of those
23
    fees were relating to the ITN?
24
        Α
             Not only the ITN. For instance, I spent lot of
25
    time -- my personal time doing collective bargaining.
                                                             Ι
```

```
spent a lot of time drafting the pension legislation and
 1
    working with the auditors -- or the actuaries on that so
 2
 3
    there were other issues than just the ITN.
        Q
             And you gave up your time on the PUP because,
 4
 5
    as you described it, it was a busted deal?
             Yes.
 6
        Α
 7
        Q
             The ITN was a busted deal too, wasn't it?
 8
        Α
             The decision was made not to pursue going
    forward.
9
10
        O
             On both?
11
             Yes.
        Α
                   Uh-huh.
12
        Q
             The PUP?
13
        Α
             Right.
14
        Q
             And the ITN?
15
        Α
             Yep.
             So my question was: The ITN was a busted deal
16
        Q
    as well as the PUP, wasn't it?
17
18
        Α
             In the sense it didn't go forward, yes.
19
    Uh-huh.
20
        Q
             So how does that distinguish -- how do you
21
    distinguish between the two, that you will not charge at
22
    all for your busted deal on the PUP, but you're going to
23
    charge 100 percent for your busted deal on the ITN?
24
        Α
             Because, A, as the billing partner and as the
25
    one who primarily performed the work, I could make that
```

```
personal decision to delete my time and it was largely
 1
    my time. I'm not going --
 2
        ()
             The PUP time?
 3
        Α
             The PUP time. I'm not going to do that for all
 4
    of my partners. The work was done. Whether the process
 5
 6
    completed it or not is another issue, but the work was
    done.
             So I --
        O
 9
             I made the business decision to write off the
10
    PUP time at the request of and in conjunction with the
    Office of General Counsel. It was a fair request and I
11
12
    agreed to it.
13
        Q
             And it was easier for you to agree to that than
14
    to agree to write off the time for the ITN?
15
        Α
             Yes.
16
             Because it was your time?
        Q
17
             Yes.
                   Uh-huh.
        Α
18
        Q
             Did the Office of General Counsel ask you to
19
    write off any time related to the busted ITN?
             I don't recall any specific time entries that
20
        Α
    they asked us to write off.
21
22
        Q
             Or any time at all?
23
             Right.
                     And the reason I'm hesitating is there
24
    may have been -- when I reviewed the bills, I may have
25
    revised a time down in some areas, but, no, I don't
```

```
1
    remember Office of General Counsel specifically asking
    me to write off time on any particular matters, other
 2
    than the PUP.
 3
 4
             MR. BUSEY:
                         Okay. Off the record.
 5
             (Recess taken.)
    BY MR. BUSEY:
 6
 7
        Q
             Kevin, I asked you earlier about communications
    with JEA.
9
        Α
             Uh-huh.
             In the form of communications, we've seen
10
11
    e-mails. Did you communicate with JEA through text
12
    messages at all or any of the consultants or
13
    professionals?
14
             Certainly not the consultants or professionals.
15
    And I -- I -- I'm -- I don't remember any specific text.
16
    When I was in public office, I kind of adopted a rule of
    not using text for communications so I think I applied
17
18
    that to JEA as well.
19
             Are you aware of any Foley lawyer discussing
20
    JEA privatization or recapitalization with any members
21
    of the mayor's administration?
22
        Α
             I don't have knowledge of that.
23
        Q
             Either Sam Mousa or Brian Hughes?
24
        Α
             You're talking about --
25
             MS. NOLLER: Can you repeat -- can you --
```

```
1
        sorry, Steve, you're cutting out again.
 2
        Q
             Even Brian Hughes or Sam Mousa?
 3
        Α
             Talking about this ITN process?
             Yes.
        Q
 4
             I'm not aware of any of that.
 5
        Α
 6
        Q
             In your judgment, did the PUP that was
 7
    presented to the JEA board on July 23, 2019, satisfy
 8
    the requirement of Section 215.425 of the Florida
9
    statutes?
             Let me clarify what you're saying.
10
                                                   Is you're
11
    talking about the summary plan which was presented or
12
    the -- as ultimately developed?
13
        Q
             If -- if the answer to the question makes a
14
    difference, then both.
15
             It's -- what I would say is it's -- my opinion
16
    is thoroughly discussed in my memo, particularly the
17
    October 21 memo.
18
        Q
             So is that a yes?
19
        Α
             Yes.
20
             Are you aware of you or any Foley Lardner --
21
    Foley & Lardner lawyer discussing the ITN process or the
22
    PUP with any member of the JEA board?
23
             I'm not aware of that.
24
        Q
             In the November 5 meeting that you've described
25
    to us with you and members of OGC --
```

```
Uh-huh.
 1
        Α
             -- I'm informed that Jason asked folks in the
 2
 3
    room if anybody had run the numbers of what a payout
    would look like under the PUP plan.
 4
 5
             Do you remember that -- him asking that
 6
    question?
        Α
             I don't remember him asking that question.
 8
        Q
             Do you -- do you remember anybody answering
9
    that question?
10
             No, because I don't remember the question being
11
    asked.
12
        Q
             When you say you don't remember the question
    being asked, could it have been asked and you don't
13
14
    remember --
15
        Α
             Yes.
             -- or you remember that it hasn't been -- it
16
    wasn't asked?
17
18
             No, it could have been asked. I'm saying, I
        Α
19
    don't recall it being asked.
20
             MR. BUSEY: All right. We're done. Thank you
21
        very much.
22
             THE WITNESS: Thank you so much. Appreciate
23
        it, guys.
             MS. NOLLER: Steve?
24
25
             MR. BUSEY: Yes, ma'am.
```

1 MS. NOLLER: Steve, I just have two quick things. One, we'll reserve signature, please. 2 3 MR. BUSEY: Okay. MS. NOLLER: And, second, you had mentioned or 4 referred to a Florida ethics statute and 5 6 characterized it as -- as requiring a duty for Kevin 7 to have elevated his concerns that he expressed to 8 certain members of the senior leadership team. 9 And I've reviewed Rule 4-1.13, organization as 10 a client, and I think it's fair to say that the rule 11 says, quote, The lawyer shall proceed as is 12 reasonably necessary in the best interest of the organization, end quote. 13 14 And the comment says that, It is up to the 15 discretion of the lawyer, i.e., Kevin here and it 16 may be necessary to elevate, but he does not have a 17 duty to do so. 18 And given the nature of your questions, I 19 thought it was important to clarify that for the 20 record. So thank you. 21 MR. BUSEY: Thanks for coming. 22 THE WITNESS: Thank you. Absolutely. Have a 23 great weekend. 24 (Witness excused.) 25 (The interview was concluded at 4:32 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE OF OATH
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL )
4	
5	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, Notary
6	Public, State of Florida, certify that KEVIN E. HYDE,
7	personally appeared before me on August 21, 2020, and
8	was duly sworn.
9	
10	WITNESS my hand and official seal on
11	September 4, 2020, Jacksonville, Duval County, Florida.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	$\mathcal{H}$
18	Terris L. Cash
19	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR Notary Public-State of Florida
20	notary rabite state of from rad
21	TERRIE L COOK MY COMMISSION # FF 998899 EXPIRES: September 27, 2020 Bonded Thru Notary Public Underwriters
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA
4	COUNTY OF DUVAL
5	
6	I, Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR, certify that I
7	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
8	interview of KEVIN E. HYDE; that a review of the
9	transcript was requested; and that the foregoing
10	transcript, pages 1 through 249 is a true record of my
11	stenographic notes.
12	
13	I further certify that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
15	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	
19	DATED on September 4, 2020.
20	
21	
22	Levii L Cook
23	Terrie L. Cook, RPR, CRR, FPR
24	TOTAL COOK, MIN, CMN, TIN
25	

1	ERRATA SHEET
2	DO NOT WRITE ON TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES
3	In Re: JACKSONVILLE CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE ON JEA MATTER
4	INTERVIEW OF <b>KEVIN E. HYDE</b>
5	TAKEN - August 21, 2020
6	PAGE NUMBER LINE NUMBER CHANGE/REASON
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document and that the facts stated in it
21	are true.
22	
23	Date KEVIN E. HYDE
24	
25	

## 130:25, 135:23, 14D [3] - 4:16, 61:10, 227:19, 228:17, 40:7, 49:16, 50:4 187:2, 241:23 229:25, 230:16, 62:3 21 [13] - 1:10, 4:24, \$1,000 [3] - 200:8, **100,000** [7] - 120:9, 14th [1] - 122:1 231:2 35:2, 104:17, 201:2, 202:5 131:1, 131:2, 131:5, **15** [11] - 4:17, 63:1, **2,000** [1] - 104:1 104:19, 105:10, **\$10** [2] - 116:20, 131:7, 132:14, 63:6, 64:11, 72:3, **2.11** [2] - 229:2, 105:24, 106:6, 116:21 135:25 75:11, 78:3, 135:21, 230:21 107:1, 167:23, \$100[1] - 135:23 102 [1] - 4:23 244:17, 247:7, 249:5 135:22, 136:3, 136:4 **2.755** [1] - 136:11 **\$120** [1] - 89:15 104 [1] - 4:24 **215.425** [5] - 44:5, **151** [1] - 5:10 **20** [6] - 4:23, 38:3, **\$136,000** [5] - 26:4, 44:11, 45:1, 47:20, **107** [1] - 4:25 **154** [1] - 5:11 41:17, 63:19, 34:15, 61:2, 113:24, 244:8 10:00 [1] - 152:20 **156** [1] - 5:12 101:25, 102:4 240:21 216 [1] - 6:2 10:02 [1] - 130:8 **159** [1] - 5:13 **2009** [2] - 8:25 \$1800 [1] - 239:4 218[1] - 6:3 10th [14] - 63:12, **15th** [5] - 13:13, 30:5, 2014 [1] - 12:14 \$200,000 [1] - 24:11 69:15, 74:11, 87:23, **2015** [1] - 12:6 22 [17] - 4:25, 11:6, 122:18, 126:22, **\$250,000** [1] - 24:8 88:12, 90:18, 91:25, 127:4 2017 [2] - 9:4, 10:22 25:13, 26:2, 31:12, \$3.39 [2] - 116:3, 107:19, 107:22, 101:19, 111:18, 16 [5] - 4:18, 31:25. 2018 [8] - 16:1, 29:10, 116.9 109:18, 111:3, 190:6, 220:11, 81:16, 81:20, 124:24 68:16, 68:19, 68:25, \$375 [3] - 183:14, 111:4, 135:10, 235:12, 236:6, 79:17, 79:20, 223:17 **161** [1] - 5:14 184:17, 184:21 148:14, 149:11, 237:15 **164** [1] - 5:15 2019 [72] - 11:6, 11:22, \$400 [2] - 109:25, **11** [6] **-** 4:3, 4:10, 47:2, 149:19, 180:4, 12:6, 12:22, 13:22, **165** [1] - 5:16 110.21 207:12 47:6, 47:8, 130:25 16:5, 22:7, 25:12, **167** [1] - 5:17 **\$5** [1] - 136:2 **220** [1] - 6:4 **11,000** [1] - 83:2 29:11, 29:14, 29:21, 16th [10] - 34:3, 91:14, **\$5,000** [1] - 238:22 221 [1] - 6:5 **114** [1] - 5:1 92:4, 130:7, 176:5, 29:22, 30:5, 30:12, \$50,000 [1] - 136:1 224 [1] - 6:6 **117** [1] - 2:17 30:14, 30:25, 31:14, 179:16, 191:3, **\$650** [2] - 28:17, **227** [1] - 6:7 31:25, 32:16, 32:20, **118** [1] - 5:2 191:25, 192:1, 193:2 239:23 33:23, 34:14, 36:4, **228** [1] - 6:8 11:21 [2] - 152:25, **17** [2] - 47:4, 189:19 \$7500 [2] - 218:17, 37:4, 40:2, 41:17, 23 [49] - 43:13, 46:6, 153:21 **175** [1] - 5:18 219:9 11th [10] - 164:9, 41:25, 42:13, 46:6, 48:4, 48:7, 48:14, **178** [1] - 5:19 **\$765** [1] **-** 28:3 49:1, 49:9, 49:16, 164:12, 191:6, 48:15, 62:15, 83:24, **17A**[3] - 4:19, 86:11, **\$800** [2] - 240:2, 191:8, 191:23, 49:22, 63:3, 69:15, 92:12, 94:6, 96:5, 86:15 240:13 214:24, 215:4, 74:12, 76:13, 79:7, 102:15, 103:7, 17B [3] - 4:20, 88:10, **\$975** [1] - 29:2 217:1, 218:3, 218:19 79:19, 79:23, 90:18, 106:3, 109:4, 88:21 91:12, 104:4, 106:4, 111:19, 111:21, **12** [7] - 4:11, 48:18, **17C** [7] - 4:21, 90:10, 109:4, 111:23, 115:8, 118:14, 48:21. 89:12. 90:12, 90:14, 94:1, 113:10, 113:18, 135:21, 179:10, 120:15, 121:10, 94:12, 94:21 '19<sub>[6]</sub> - 16:2, 16:3, 114:16, 122:1, 149:11, 151:8, 220:2 17D [4] - 4:22, 97:16, 21:13, 32:20, 137:7, 135:10, 137:7, 152:5, 152:20, **12,000** [1] - 89:15 98:9, 99:6 141:22 155:5, 155:25, 152:24, 153:21, 12-minute [1] - 92:15 17th [5] - 97:18, 165:2, 156:10, 156:16, 154:2, 155:5, 12-page [1] - 220:15 166:15, 189:21, 1 159:20, 164:9, 156:10, 175:6, **121** [1] - 5:3 239:4 164:12, 164:15, 181:19, 185:6, 1 [20] - 8:25, 12:6, **127** [1] - 5:4 18 [2] - 31:14, 78:21 175:14, 179:8, 193:12, 193:18, 12:22, 13:21, 25:12, **127-19** [1] - 228:13 **181** [1] - 5:20 190:6, 207:12, 205:8, 206:10, 25:13, 25:25, 26:2, 12th [5] - 63:12, 18th [3] - 49:1, 49:9, 209:22, 210:11, 206:23, 207:17, 35:15, 89:14, 118:9, 101:17, 101:18, 49.22 212:8, 220:1, 208:18, 209:3, 118:11, 118:15, 101:23, 117:24 **190** [2] - 5:21, 5:22 221:21, 227:3, 209:21, 215:8, 135:23, 155:24, 13 [8] - 4:12, 49:14, **191** [1] - 5:23 228:17, 244:7 220:2, 220:16, 166:1, 176:12, 49:19, 49:24, 78:3, 194<sub>[1]</sub> - 5:24 **2019-06** [1] - 102:2 220:24, 221:6, 182:14, 227:16, 78:16, 179:8, 205:8 19th [1] - 133:16 2019-07 [1] - 107:24 223:25, 244:7 248:10 **130** [1] - 5:5 1:00 [2] - 57:19, 2019-10 [2] - 118:1, 230 [1] - 6:9 **1,000** [1] - 131:15 **133** [2] - 5:6, 5:7 185:16 233 [1] - 6:10 120:15 **1.2** [2] - 24:21, 240:16 **135** [1] - 5:8 1st [1] - 218:24 2020 [16] - 1:10, 24:21, 234 [1] - 6:11 **1.7** [1] - 89:10 **136,000** [2] - 24:25, 26:25, 36:23, 37:5, 239 [1] - 6:12 **10** [13] **-** 4:9, 30:24, 25:3 2 38:11, 73:17, 75:14, 23rd [18] - 42:13, 41:24, 42:3, 44:19, 13th [1] - 180:3 75:25, 76:5, 76:9, 2 [23] - 4:3, 11:3, 11:9, 42:15, 42:20, 43:16, 68:13, 94:3, 116:1, 148 [1] - 5:9 106:1, 247:7, 11:21, 16:8, 23:21, 43:18, 46:9, 46:12, 116:7, 116:16, **14A**[3] - 4:13, 50:11, 247:11, 248:19, 24:6, 25:8, 25:9, 46:16, 55:9, 70:11, 116:20, 182:13, 50:14 249:5 27:25, 109:18, 80:1, 80:18, 80:20, 182:18 **14B** [3] - 4:14, 55:24, **207** [1] - 5:25 111:3, 166:7, 83:25, 102:14, **10/1** [1] - 219:2 56:5 166:23, 212:25, **209** [1] - 6:1 117:24, 207:1, 215:2 10/31 [1] - 219:4 **14C** [5] - 4:15, 57:10, 222:24, 227:17, **20th** [5] - 36:4, 37:4, **24** [1] - 220:16 **100** [5] - 22:14, 57:13, 60:20, 61:6

249 [1] - 248:10 **32** [5] - 5:11, 154:10, 51:2, 56:21, 58:13, 60 [5] - 6:11, 215:6, 904)791-9103[1] -24th [4] - 220:1, 154:13, 155:4, 156:3 60:25 234:10, 234:13, 1:23 221:10, 221:12, 98 [1] - 4:22 321 [1] - 2:9 235:10 **32202** [5] - 1:13, 1:22, 5 60654-4762 [1] - 2:10 9:56 [1] - 1:11 227:3 25 [11] - 5:1, 34:24, 2:6, 2:14, 2:18 **61** [3] - 6:12, 239:21, **5** [31] - 4:6, 30:18, 104:2, 104:10, **3300** [2] - 1:13, 2:5 239:25 Α 30:21, 31:5, 35:17, 114:7, 114:9, **34** [3] - 5:12, 156:14, **62** [1] - 4:16 35:22, 72:1, 72:2, a.m [2] - 1:11, 153:21 114:11, 114:12, 156:19 **63** [1] - 4:17 72:3, 134:14, Aaron [59] - 15:19, 115:1, 156:16, **345** [2] - 1:22, 206:13 **67** [5] - 6:2, 210:12, 134:20, 135:17, 15:23, 17:12, 21:13, 167:25 3545 [1] - 82:12 215:23, 216:1, 136:2, 137:15, 21:17, 30:24, 45:4, **26** [6] - 5:2, 11:23, 217:25 **36** [4] - 4:8, 5:13, 138:4, 144:20, 74:4, 74:5, 74:6, 16:6, 118:1, 118:4, **68** [6] - 6:1, 209:10, 159:16, 159:18 148:20, 150:13, 74:8, 74:9, 74:11, 118:16 209:12, 209:21, **37** [3] - 5:14, 161:16, 168:22, 168:23, 77:2, 80:16, 80:24, 26th [1] - 74:15 161:21 210:5, 212:7 168:25, 169:2, 84:5. 84:13. 100:25. 27th [6] - 163:11, **38** [3] - 5:15, 164:4, 6:00 [1] - 161:25 172:17, 172:23, 103:21, 111:6, 194:5, 194:13, **6:19** [1] - 194:12 164:6 176:18, 176:22, 132:15, 132:23, 195:16, 196:25, **39** [3] - 5:16, 165:1, 6th [2] - 56:15, 188:17 178:17, 183:13, 133:6, 134:23, 199:12 165:18 188:24, 234:3, 143:15, 144:12, 28A [5] - 5:3, 121:20, 7 **3:45** [1] - 126:25 244:24 144:17, 145:6, 121:24, 126:20, 3rd [2] - 59:9, 215:4 5-2 [1] - 224:6 145:11, 145:20, 7 [15] - 3:7, 4:7, 31:8, 148:12 **5-9** [2] - 233:10, 145:21, 145:22, 31:13, 31:18, 31:21, 28B [3] - 5:4, 126:24, 4 233:11 145:25, 146:3, 32:13, 33:7, 33:10, 127:2 **5.2** [1] - 89:10 146:16. 146:25. 4 [10] - 4:5, 29:25, 75:11, 115:23, **28C** [5] - 5:5, 130:1, **50** [3] - 2:13, 4:13, 169:15, 169:23, 131:13, 189:25 30:2, 44:18, 128:8, 130:3, 130:24, 132:7 203.22 170:1, 171:15, 130:25, 136:8, 7.9 [1] - 237:15 **29** [5] - 4:4, 5:6, 133:8, **50,000** [1] - 135:24 171:16, 171:22, 235:10, 247:11, 7/23/19 [1] - 190:1 133:12, 228:24 172:2, 172:4, 172:6, **500** [2] - 135:22, 248:19 **7500** [1] - 219:3 **2:40** [1] - 59:9 172:10, 175:1, 135:23 **4-1.13** [1] - 246:9 **765** [2] - 28:12, 28:21 **2:45** [1] - 126:21 178:9, 179:7, 179:9, **51** [5] **-** 6:5, 221:14, **40** [3] - 5:17, 167:16, 7:30 [1] - 181:19 188:17, 202:18, 221:18, 222:24, 167:18 **7:53** [1] - 197:1 3 205:18, 205:25, 223:6 409A [1] - 61:21 7th [3] - 86:17, 162:16, 216:13, 217:2, **3** [23] **-** 4:4, 29:5, 29:7, **52** [5] - 6:6, 224:2, **41** [4] - 5:18, 175:9, 211:13 217:11 224:3, 224:5, 225:24 50:16, 51:12, 53:19, 175:11, 175:24 abilities [1] - 203:9 **53** [5] - 6:7, 227:2, 54:7, 55:11, 57:19, 41st [1] - 2:13 8 ability [1] - 201:5 227:6, 227:10, 59:22, 104:22, 42 [4] - 4:9, 5:19, 8[4] - 106:6, 106:25, 108:3, 109:24. 229:22 able [7] - 39:12, 45:15, 178:23, 178:25 123:6, 177:10 59:6, 61:23, 66:22, 135:19, 135:20, **54** [7] - 6:8, 228:12, **42B** [1] - 181:11 8/22 [1] - 148:20 100:20, 119:19 228:15, 230:4, 135:22, 136:4, **43B** [4] - 5:20, 181:6, 230:12, 232:4, 8/23 [1] - 148:21 absence [1] - 150:14 136:5, 159:20, 181:9, 181:12 81 [1] - 4:18 232:13 absolutely [6] - 93:12, 210:11, 212:8, 223:6 **44** [3] - 5:21, 188:16, **55** [6] - 6:9, 230:15, 86 [1] - 4:19 93:17, 204:7, 204:9, 3.39 [1] - 116:21 190:2 230:18, 232:13, 88 [1] - 4:20 205:7, 246:22 **3.4** [1] - 89:11 **45** [3] - 5:22, 190:4, 232:15, 233:2 8:00 [1] - 197:23 accelerate [1] - 76:20 **30** [7] **-** 4:5, 4:6, 5:7, 190:8 accelerated [1] -8:02 [1] - 198:22 63:19, 133:15, **56** [1] - 4:14 **457(I)** [1] - 59:3 8:13 [1] - 198:24 133:18, 186:22 **57** [1] - 4:15 196.4 **46** [1] - 49:23 **8:19** [1] - 185:8 accept [2] - 207:3, 3000 [1] - 2:9 **574** [5] - 102:21, **46A** [4] - 5:23, 191:9, 31 [11] - 4:7, 13:21, 103:4, 103:8, 8:25 [1] - 162:17 239:1 191:11, 193:8 103:13, 104:16 8:38[1] - 199:11 accepted [3] - 13:25, 26:25, 151:21, 46B [3] - 5:24, 193:25, **59** [4] - 6:10, 233:7, 8th [4] - 36:23, 39:25, 145:6, 238:25 175:14, 176:11, 194:16 233:8, 234:7 accepting [1] - 93:25 176:17, 178:15, 57:5, 62:12 **47** [4] - 4:10, 5:25, 221:21, 221:25, **590** [1] - 28:16 access [1] - 69:6 207:10, 207:15 222:1 **5:40** [1] - 194:5 9 accommodation [1] -**48** [4] - 4:11, 6:3, **31A** [4] - 5:8, 135:9, 5th [2] - 190:15, 191:7 8:13 218:5, 218:9 9 [10] - 4:8, 33:7, 33:9, accordance[1] -135:12, 148:13 480 [1] - 2:17 33:13, 36:2, 36:10, 62:21 **31B** [4] - 5:9, 148:21, 6 **49** [4] - 4:12, 6:4, 37:3, 105:9, 105:24, according [2] - 73:18, 148:24, 149:11 219:25, 220:6 6 [8] - 4:7, 25:9, 31:8, 124:23 91:1 **31C** [5] - 5:10, 151:12, **4:00** [2] - 59:21, 88:12 31:9, 31:17, 31:21, 90 [1] - 4:21 accordingly [1] -151:13, 151:19, **4:32** [2] - 1:11, 246:25 904)354-4111 [1] -55:25, 114:25 151:22 208:5 4th [6] - 41:25, 43:13, 6-0 [1] - 234:11 1:23

account [3] - 110:5, 200:8, 201:3 accountants [2] -108:17, 135:15 accounting [2] -82:25, 177:3 accredited [1] -122.11 accused [2] - 38:22, 174.10 acknowledged [2] -7:8, 124:19 acknowledges [1] -59:21 acquiring [1] - 109:17 acted [1] - 48:12 acting [2] - 7:21, 18:14 action [11] - 48:14, 48:15, 108:8, 109:4, 154:7, 170:23, 171:1, 189:24, 208:11, 248:16, 248:17 Actions [1] - 207:20 active [1] - 18:15 actively [2] - 119:5, 186:21 actual [4] - 112:6, 165:10, 222:8, 233:21 actuaries [1] - 241:2 Addendum [1] -230:16 addendum [1] -230:20 adding [1] - 185:10 addition [1] - 189:15 additional [9] - 34:25, 52:4, 52:7, 60:12, 79:24, 168:2, 170:23, 175:21, 205:1 additions [1] - 155:1 address [9] - 50:6, 61:17, 61:24, 82:12, 82:14, 82:15. 128:15, 157:18, 208:6 addressed [4] - 36:4, 49:11, 168:8, 229:23 addresses [2] - 40:8, 229:24 addressing [2] -71:21, 229:19 adequate [3] - 94:8, 96:19, 238:22 adequately [1] - 206:5 adjust [1] - 152:12 administration [1] -

243:21 administrative [5] -10:13, 12:3, 15:5, 15:16, 146:23 administrator [4] -130:17, 130:18, 131:23, 194:18 adopt [1] - 44:2 adopted [1] - 243:16 adopting [1] - 164:17 **ADP** [5] - 164:8, 164:16, 164:17, 180:5, 180:11 advance [4] - 113:9, 193:1, 206:2, 206:18 advantage [1] -166:11 advice [6] - 11:13, 15:14, 34:16, 34:18, 34:21, 173:18 Advice[1] - 122:16 advisable [1] - 186:18 advise [1] - 54:4 advised [5] - 66:19, 158:21, 187:13, 187:21 advising [3] - 65:12, 163:25, 185:12 advisor [1] - 147:24 advisors [6] - 72:14, 108:18. 146:12. 150:23, 222:4. 237:20 advisory [4] - 35:5, 122:4, 123:2, 160:19 affair [1] - 212:24 affairs [7] - 12:13, 12:17, 19:15, 19:16, 19:19, 212:17, 213:13 afternoon [11] - 31:25, 51:12, 57:23, 58:16, 88:12, 126:22, 185:16, 185:20, 187:11, 187:18 agencies [2] - 19:10, 214:14 Agency [4] - 101:16, 101:21, 201:14, 201:19 agency [4] - 58:1, 58:19, 201:17, 231:4 agenda [2] - 63:12, 186:7 agent [1] - 231:5 ago [3] - 187:13, 187:21, 211:3 agree [13] - 35:23,

44:7, 97:6, 150:11,

150:20, 152:15,

184:20, 202:11, 204:20, 204:21, 205:22, 242:13, 242.14 agreed [10] - 7:2, 7:14, 23:10, 27:21, 59:10, 144:11. 145:19. 190:20. 242:12 agreeing [1] - 8:7 agreement [39] -22:18, 22:24, 23:2, 23:6, 50:21, 50:23, 51:15, 52:3, 54:19, 56:11, 57:17, 59:25, 61:13, 61:20, 73:16, 75:7, 75:17, 84:5, 84:11, 85:21, 97:19, 97:22, 97:23, 98:1, 98:12, 98:13, 98:20, 99:3, 99:7, 99:23, 100:2, 100:10, 102:19, 105:25, 164:8, 181:24, 218:23, 238:13 agreements [14] -18:5, 18:6, 51:25, 83:11, 83:16, 84:12, 84:19, 85:14, 102:12, 161:17, 162:24, 239:7, 239:9, 239:11 agrees [1] - 24:10 ahead [5] - 47:18, 60:5, 70:17, 197:25, 211:20 air [1] - 185:11 **Airport** [1] - 99:20 **akin** [1] - 170:18 **Alan** [3] - 81:3, 81:5, 81.7 **alarming** [1] - 136:20 alleging [1] - 186:2 alleviate [1] - 166:13 allocate 131 - 131:5. 132:14. 133:7 allocated [4] - 131:1, 131:3, 131:8, 133:6 allocation [2] - 23:4, 131:13 allocations [1] -132:16 allowed [2] - 177:14, 177:21 allows [2] - 58:10, 195:9 almost [3] - 60:14, 76:2, 190:22 alone [1] - 45:25 Alternate [1] - 106:8 alternate [6] - 106:10,

106:12, 106:23, 107:3 alternative [3] - 17:9, 106:19, 166:16 Alternatives [1] -228:13 alters [1] - 165:13 **altogether** [1] - 235:3 **amenable** [1] - 207:5 amount [9] - 24:8, 24:18, 75:23, 79:3, 117:11, 138:25, 148:2, 188:25, 218:17 amounts [1] - 152:17 amusement [1] -236:22 amusing [1] - 236:23 analogy [2] - 188:10, 228:2 analyses [1] - 165:14 **analysis** [3] - 46:9, 170:6, 170:7 analyze [1] - 89:7 Angela [2] - 30:4, 30:11 angry [1] - 14:23 animated [1] - 67:2 announced [1] - 189:2 announcement [2] -77:23, 78:1 announcements [1] -77:20 annual [1] - 128:4 Answer [2] - 44:1, 94:17 answer [45] - 9:12, 10:2, 27:7, 37:19, 37:24, 48:13, 53:6, 65:13, 66:22, 76:12, 91:19, 92:4, 92:23, 93:1, 93:4, 93:8, 93:18, 93:19, 93:20, 93:21, 94:8, 94:10, 94:11, 94:14, 94:16, 94:18, 94:19, 94:24, 95:17, 95:19, 95:22, 96:6, 96:19, 96:21, 123:9. 124:1. 125:4. 125:8. 145:16. 168:16. 189:14. 210:1, 226:21, 235:8, 244:13 answered [3] - 95:1, 157:1, 168:1 answering [2] -136:24, 245:8 answers [2] - 156:8,

152:8, 189:18 anyplace [1] - 62:1 apart [2] - 85:13, 111.11 apologize [1] - 210:12 apparent [1] - 153:4 appear [4] - 7:14, 182:21, 183:2, 187:1 **appearance** [1] - 86:8 APPEARANCES[1] appeared [1] - 247:7 applicable [1] - 87:1 application [1] - 35:6 applied [2] - 123:18, 243:17 apply [4] - 58:22, 59:2, 97:22, 226:20 appointed [2] - 200:1, 208:9 appreciate [3] - 7:18, 185:9, 245:22 appreciation [2] -86:22, 87:10 approach [10] - 64:22, 70:12, 70:21, 74:21, 74:23, 103:11, 103:15, 104:15, 106:21, 186:1 approached [1] -164:16 approaching [1] -26:19 appropriate [6] -45:20, 93:16, 95:5, 101:13, 119:15, 129:22 approval [5] - 72:23, 76:1, 88:9, 140:17, 169:7 approve [12] - 48:15, 75:19, 75:22, 84:2, 88:3, 103:21, 140:21, 170:8, 183:15, 201:6, 201:7, 201:8 approved [25] - 43:17, 46:6, 48:7, 48:8, 48:11. 67:21. 67:22. 68:15, 70:10, 72:22, 74:22. 75:17. 108:21, 113:6, 118:13, 118:16, 142:18, 154:1, 163:6, 163:8, 184:15, 208:9, 208:11, 223:24 approving [5] - 46:19, 118:2, 140:9, 186:3, 228:9

168:17

anticipated [2] -

62:16, 108:22,

April [6] - 12:6, 12:22, 30:24, 31:12, 37:15, 38:10 area [3] - 12:16, 18:4, 51.21 areas [5] - 12:10, 12:11, 202:7, 240:7, 242:25 argument [4] - 127:19, 127:21, 127:24, 217:11 arisen [2] - 215:20, 232:6 arose [2] - 18:9, 216:13 arrange [2] - 82:6, 134:5 arrangement [7] -58:23, 59:2, 199:18, 214:16, 214:19, 217:22, 218:2 arrangements [1] -133:25 arranging [1] - 133:20 arrogance [2] - 202:9, 202:14 article [3] - 194:3, 194:6, 195:17 articulate [1] - 157:12 ascribe [1] - 202:24 Ashley [2] - 127:8, 134:1 aspects [3] - 35:1, 70:10, 137:13 assets [3] - 68:14, 75:4, 108:11 assigned [4] - 99:20, 119:20, 178:4, 235:21 assist [8] - 16:21, 27:1, 27:2, 49:10, 108:18, 192:24, 208:1, 219:14 assistance [3] - 18:8, 55:20, 213:10 assistant [1] - 82:5 Assistant [1] - 36:20 assistants [1] - 210:24 assisted [1] - 35:4 assisting [2] - 212:23, 219:12 associate [4] - 26:23, 55:18, 55:19, 60:1 associated [4] -94:12, 94:21, 161:4, 216:8 Associates [1] - 1:21 assume [6] - 12:24, 20:12, 49:9, 68:2, 69:18, 135:19

auditor [2] - 89:9, assumed [1] - 62:22 assuming [4] - 89:11, 199:19, 199:21, 200:12 assumption [4] -104:6, 144:21, 144:22, 221:9 **assure** [1] - 201:21 astuteness [1] -147:15 Atlanta [4] - 163:17, 163:23, 163:24, 194:10 attach [1] - 57:9 attached [25] - 31:1, 31:4, 36:7, 36:17, 36:19, 37:3, 42:9, 44:19, 48:20, 57:16, 57:18, 60:19, 61:5, 102:12, 118:9, 127:15, 127:16, 161:16, 162:1, 175:20, 179:7, 179:9. 190:10. 190:11, 190:25 Attached [1] - 162:17 attachment [1] -175:22 attachments [1] -160:7 attempt [2] - 198:23, 201:25 attempting [1] -208:16 attend [2] - 237:19, 238:19 attendees [1] - 71:22 Attendees [1] - 221:25 attention [2] - 40:10, 137:8 Attorney [7] - 23:23, 35:5, 36:20, 122:25, 123:2, 127:10, 133:21 attorney [19] - 23:25, 35:12, 35:13, 35:21, 119:6, 122:5, 127:12, 134:4, 134:8, 134:16, 139:24, 155:25, 156:1, 159:23, 160:6, 160:18, 160:25, 248:14,

248:16

240:22

230:11

attorneys [4] - 97:20,

attributable [1] -

audiences [1] -

98:23, 99:25, 101:6

178:22 auditor's [4] - 175:16, 178:15, 178:19, 179:3 auditors [5] - 176:11, 176:17, 176:21, 177:1, 241:2 August [36] - 1:10, 73:2, 75:25, 76:8, 76:9, 122:1, 122:18, 126:22, 127:4, 130:7, 133:16, 135:10, 137:4, 141:21, 148:14, 149:11, 149:19, 151:8, 152:5, 152:20, 152:24, 153:21, 163:11, 211:13, 212:25, 218:13, 218:16, 219:8, 228:17, 231:2, 234:17, 234:19, 239:12, 247:7, 249:5 authority [12] - 43:4, 43:7, 44:14, 101:7, 101:9, 130:18, 132:2, 132:19, 196:16, 196:20, 196:22, 228:10 Authority [3] - 99:21, 122:16 authorize [1] - 221:8 authorized [5] - 44:1, 109:4, 220:23, 221:5, 248:7 authorizes [1] - 108:7 authorizing [2] -108:1, 108:3 available [4] - 98:1, 131:1, 189:14, 189:16 avoid [3] - 59:12, 59:16, 184:10 award [1] - 231:2 aware [55] - 33:23, 38:8, 51:3, 51:19, 53:22, 62:20, 66:2, 66:7, 66:11, 68:24, 69:2, 69:7, 79:19, 94:25, 99:9, 100:2, 100:8, 101:15, 118:23, 120:11, 123:20, 126:2, 131:2, 132:9, 145:5, 146:3, 146:18, 146:19, 149:18, 149:21, 149:25, 150:22, 153:9,

154:5, 158:1, 161:12, 163:22, 163:24, 164:15, 174:3, 177:5, 177:7, 177:8, 180:21, 186:8, 186:10, 196:5, 206:14, 214:5, 214:7, 220:23, 243:19, 244:5, 244:20, 244:23 awful [1] - 161:18 В

backing [1] - 126: 17 bad [15] - 66:10, 138:6, 138:16, 140:8, 143:10, 145:17, 145:22, 146:4, 147:6, 170:2, 172:25, 186:2, 186:3, 187:14, 198:22 **bad-faith** [1] - 186:3 Baker [9] - 64:3, 67:5, 69:13, 69:15, 69:17, 69:18, 70:24, 71:21, 199:23 balance [2] - 240:14, 240:22 ballot [2] - 69:4, 75:24 balls [1] - 185:11 ban [1] - 12:19 bankers [3] - 65:6, 183:10, 185:25 Baptist [2] - 185:21, 187:6 Bar [1] - 174:4 Bar's [1] - 174:12 bargain [1] - 28:24 bargaining [9] - 11:14, 18:4, 18:6, 43:10, 65:23, 112:2, 222:10, 238:11, 240:25 base [2] - 52:8, 177:3 Based [2] - 207:20 based [16] - 29:3, 39:11, 44:23, 52:5, 87:19. 117:10. 136:11. 148:16. 152:4, 152:6, 168:16, 171:4, 173:18, 185:12, 195:5, 204:17 basis [6] - 21:6, 85:5, 160:23, 166:9, 178:22, 240:9

became [7] - 53:22,

149:18, 149:21, 149:25, 177:8 become [5] - 26:8, 53:21, 100:8, 123:1, 147:10 bed [1] - 217:2 beforehand [1] -202:3 began [7] - 42:16, 43:19, 46:7, 46:10, 47:12, 74:9, 217:10 begin [2] - 44:12, 46:4 beginning [10] - 12:6, 54:9, 97:9, 112:1, 122:23, 123:1, 147:9, 231:1, 235:16, 240:16 begins [1] - 121:21 behalf [9] - 2:2, 2:7, 2:11, 2:15, 18:24, 63:21, 188:22, 212:21, 219:11 behind [4] - 82:18, 166:25, 205:3, 211:6 Belinda [11] - 57:19, 57:20, 57:21, 58:1, 58:15, 59:8, 59:9, 59:21, 59:24, 60:24, 61:11 below [2] - 153:22, 175:13 Ben [5] - 63:23, 224:25, 225:14, 225:21 benchmarks [1] -111:13 benefit [9] - 59:6, 60:6, 86:21, 87:9, 88:14, 89:1, 110:19, 119:20, 225:6 benefits [3] - 57:22, 88.1 Benjamin [2] - 222:19, 236.8 Bernstein [1] - 193:12 best [6] - 10:16, 38:5, 125:11, 187:2, 202:10, 246:12 better [4] - 8:6, 107:4, 127:13, 167:11 Better [1] - 204:22 between [40] - 7:2, 15:25, 16:5, 26:2, 29:3, 74:15, 86:24, 87:12, 89:10, 97:16, 99:5, 116:21, 117:23, 143:14, 143:17, 149:10, 150:19, 161:12,

164:8, 171:14, 41:5, 211:18, 205:10, 205:20, 206:5 107:18, 114:14, 206:1, 206:8, 206:9, 175:9, 193:10, 211:19, 234:22, briefings [1] - 186:17 151:18, 151:24, 195:4, 210:10, 234:24, 235:1, 206:10, 206:15, bring [1] - 40:9 174:18, 181:14, 215:3, 217:22, 240:15, 242:24 206:23, 207:1, 207:9, 209:8, bringing [1] - 107:10 219:25, 220:16, binding [1] - 135:7 207:17, 208:11, 210:15, 212:6, broad [2] - 65:7, 75:3 226:10, 229:6, Biscotti's [1] - 82:18 208:18, 209:3, 223:5, 224:8, **broke** [2] - 65:21, bit [4] - 38:20, 99:18, 229:9, 229:14, 220:2, 220:21, 233:14, 243:6 73:23 229:17, 231:3, 220:23, 221:4, bvstander [1] - 172:6 127:20, 204:4 budget [2] - 24:11, 231:7, 231:14, 221:7, 223:11, **BJG** [1] - 236:6 24:17 231:20, 232:19, 224:22, 225:2, C **blinders** [2] - 159:9, bullet [2] - 115:25, 241:21 231:4, 233:18, 173:4 223:8 cable [1] - 111:8 244:7, 244:22 beyond [1] - 153:24 Blodgett [2] - 2:4, bunch [1] - 172:14 calculated [2] -**Bible** [1] - 39:5 board's [3] - 17:22, 210:13 bunked [1] - 21:10 124:13, 126:19 84:10, 109:19 **bid** [3] - 109:12, 231:4, blowing [1] - 38:19 Burch [3] - 194:8, calculation [2] -Bob [1] - 193:22 232:24 blue [2] - 122:7, 122:8 194:15, 198:18 110:22, 131:18 **body** [1] - 96:16 **Bid** [2] - 224:10, **blunt** [1] - 140:3 BUSEY [63] - 3:7, calculations [6] -230:16 **bog** [1] - 97:4 Board [2] - 96:12, 7:12, 7:21, 8:5, 8:15, 126:17, 152:5, bidder [6] - 184:17, bond [15] - 60:7, 207:20 8:18, 9:14, 9:19, 152:6, 152:9, 229:9, 229:15, 60:10, 60:11, 60:17, board [121] - 33:3, 9:21, 10:5, 10:8, 152:11, 152:12 231:8, 231:15, 60:23, 62:10, 88:14, 42:13, 43:7, 43:13, 10:14, 10:20, 11:1, campaign [10] - 67:15, 89:14, 89:15, 89:22, 231:20 43:17, 44:14, 46:6, 33:11, 33:15, 33:17, 67:24, 68:8, 69:16, bidders [6] - 78:12, 90:1, 90:4, 90:6, 46:16, 48:12, 62:14, 37:21, 37:23, 38:1, 69:18, 69:20, 200:8, 162:20, 226:11, 90:8 64:20, 67:22, 68:24, 48:1, 64:5, 64:7, 201:3, 205:4 230:12, 232:19, **bond-type** [1] - 60:17 70:10, 79:25, 80:1, 64:9, 64:10, 94:14, campaigns [7] - 67:9, 232:23 bonus [21] - 44:23, 80:20, 81:9, 83:18, 94:22, 97:7, 97:11, 67:12, 69:17, 69:22, bidders' [1] - 232:23 50:19, 50:21, 50:23, 83:20, 84:2, 88:3, 97:14, 107:7, 69:23, 200:18, bidding [2] - 111:12, 51:14. 51:15. 51:24. 88:9, 92:11, 93:12, 107:10, 107:15, 200:19 52:3, 54:19, 58:5, 232:22 96:14, 102:15, 107:18, 114:12, cancellation [1] -58:22, 58:24, 59:4, **bids** [1] - 142:16 102:16, 103:6, 114:14, 151:15, 189:3 59:12, 59:16, 61:16, **big** [4] - 29:19, 117:1, 103:13, 103:21, 151:18, 151:24, cancelled [8] - 126:1, 87:18, 89:2, 90:23, 117:8, 195:20 103:25, 104:25, 174:10, 174:18, 186:7, 186:8, 166:18, 185:23 biggest [1] - 183:12 105:1, 106:3, 181:12, 181:14, 186:10, 186:11, bonuses [3] - 44:2, bill [13] - 24:19, 26:7, 107:21, 108:6, 207:7, 207:9, 209:6, 189:18, 189:21, 58:10, 59:17 27:13, 27:14, 27:15, 111:19, 111:21, 209:8, 210:8, 217:7 botching [1] - 187:4 75:21, 83:1, 234:15, 113:6, 114:18, 210:15, 211:11, candidates [3] - 67:9, 234:21, 235:4, 115:7, 115:11, **bottom** [18] - 33:13, 211:20, 212:6, 67:10, 67:11 235:23, 238:24, 115:14, 115:17, 50:11, 55:25, 57:15, 223:5, 224:8, candidly [2] - 14:22, 117:13, 117:19, 72:5, 72:12, 86:12, 233:11, 233:14, 239:12 26:21 billed [4] - 182:13, 118:2, 118:7, 115:25, 130:11, 239:2, 243:4, 243:6, CAO [3] - 141:6, 118:14, 118:16, 130:24, 133:15, 245:20, 245:25, 182:14, 218:24, 194:13, 200:16 240:15 118:18, 118:20, 135:9, 152:1, 167:2, 246:3, 246:21 cap [18] - 123:6, 118:22, 118:23, 181:15, 194:1, 194:2 Busey [3] - 1:12, 2:3, billing [9] - 22:13, 123:10, 123:11, 119:9, 119:11, boy [1] - 181:19 23:9, 25:2, 27:4, 2:4 123:21, 124:10, 120:13, 120:14, bracket [2] - 131:14, 219:2. 235:24. business [5] - 22:7, 124:11, 124:20, 236:1, 236:4, 241:24 120:15, 121:10, 131:15 23:1, 217:11, 125:25, 126:1, 121:17, 121:18, billion [31] - 89:10, brainer [1] - 187:8 217:22, 242:9 126:4, 126:7, 123:8, 143:18, 89:11, 109:24, break [11] - 10:18, busted [8] - 26:12, 126:11, 128:8, 147:3, 148:10, 107:8, 107:12, 26:15, 241:5, 241:7, 116:4, 116:9, 128:11, 129:5, 154:2, 154:5, 154:7, 183:13, 183:22, 241:16, 241:22, 116:21, 116:22, 129:8, 150:14, 155:7, 155:8, 184:2, 184:11, 241:23, 242:19 135:17, 135:19, 176:12 155:12, 156:5, 184:19, 207:6, buyer [6] - 183:12, 135:20, 135:21, capabilities [1] - 19:4 163:6, 163:8, 163:9, 184:18, 184:20, 135:22, 136:2, 219:19 capital [1] - 28:9 175:3, 175:4, 175:5, 136:3, 136:4, 136:5, break-up [6] - 183:13, 184:24, 201:22, capped [1] - 240:11 179:11, 185:21, 183:22, 184:2, 223:14 136:6, 136:9, care [1] - 199:25 186:2, 186:7, 186:8, 184:11, 184:19 136:11, 137:15, buying [2] - 18:20, cared [1] - 199:24 186:12, 186:13, 138:4, 144:20, Brian [4] - 200:14, 18:23 careful [2] - 228:5, 148:20, 172:17, 186:16, 186:20, 200:15, 243:23, BY [25] - 3:7, 7:12, 232:9 186:24, 189:19, 172:23, 186:1 244:2 8:18, 9:21, 11:1, Carey [1] - 213:18 189:21, 189:24, bills [12] - 26:12, **brief** [1] - 81:12 33:17, 38:1, 48:1, Carla [10] - 161:13, 205:5, 205:6, 205:8, 26:24, 27:4, 27:11, briefed [2] - 206:2, 64:10, 94:22, 97:14,

194:9, 194:25, 110:16, 153:18, 190:22 Clark [1] - 2:9 Columbo [1] - 36:3 195:3, 197:13, 153:25, 154:6 circumstance [2] class [1] - 90:15 column [1] - 28:17 197:14, 197:22, CHANGE/REASON 77:6, 95:5 clause [1] - 118:6 comfortable [10] -198:2, 198:8, 198:11 [1] - 249:6 circumstances [4] -27:10, 107:10, clear [8] - 37:1, 37:19, Carline [2] - 197:4, changed [4] - 76:5, 14:25. 38:15. 135:1, 135:3, 151:9, 37:24, 39:12, 61:19, 197:13 76:6, 203:9, 203:10 123:21, 124:21 130:12, 223:14, 170:5, 170:8, carried [1] - 74:22 changes [4] - 61:16, citizen [3] - 140:7, 229:21 170:10, 171:20, carry [1] - 187:17 154:20, 177:3 140:19, 144:2 179:24 clearly [4] - 74:5, case [6] - 104:1, **CHANGES** [1] - 249:2 citizens [4] - 184:21, 143:8, 172:4, 172:10 coming [13] - 7:18, 200:3, 201:25, 143:23, 190:23, characterization [6] **client** [13] - 9:13, 10:3, 8:19, 18:5, 34:1, 204:25, 214:2, 214:4 22:3, 159:10, 173:5, 204:12 14:7, 47:25, 51:3, 51:7, 62:13, 86:4, cases [1] - 87:3 200:24, 205:22, City [32] - 7:15, 10:13, 51:8, 58:2, 58:13, 104:8, 137:2, cash [1] - 61:16 207:3 11:5, 26:17, 68:12, 158:21, 183:5, 175:19, 200:25, catty [1] - 13:12 characterize [3] -68:19, 72:13, 72:15, 238:25, 246:10 238:12, 246:21 148:5, 171:9, 171:11 72:17, 72:20, 73:6, client's [1] - 173:7 comment [5] - 113:17, catty-corner [1] characterized [1] -73:9, 86:25, 89:12, 128:8, 128:11, clients [1] - 173:14 13:12 109:24, 112:13, 128:14, 246:14 caused [4] - 51:11, 246:6 close [4] - 21:11, 119:7, 135:1, 139:1, commenting [1] -124:7, 150:10, characterizing [1] -71:17, 136:13, 188:14 139:8, 152:16, 148:18 61:11 193:19 161:5, 161:10, caution [1] - 232:12 charge [5] - 74:5, closed [8] - 61:15, comments [1] -169:21, 189:6, 115:21 caveat [1] - 33:2 114:3, 147:1, 122:8, 122:11, 194:20, 196:12, commission [11] -241:21, 241:23 cent [2] - 205:5, 205:6 131:14, 162:21, 199:22, 203:20, 19:12, 160:9, 161:3, charged [2] - 28:22, 183:4, 183:10, CEO [8] - 85:13, 228:6, 231:11, 234:7 161:6, 161:11, 239:19 183:14 109:4, 131:19, **CITY** [2] - 1:2, 249:2 161:13, 233:18, charges [2] - 123:8, 131:22, 131:25, **closely** [3] - 72:13, city [53] - 49:4, 67:10, 233:24, 234:2, 132:2, 132:8, 146:10 148:11 141:5, 222:21 67:22. 75:18. 75:25. chart [1] - 75:12 **closer** [1] - 8:3 234:3, 234:8 certain [10] - 45:13, 89:9, 92:4, 137:20, Commission [6] -58:23, 75:23, Charter [1] - 169:22 clouded [2] - 173:15, 140:7, 140:8, 35:8, 36:1, 86:20, 106:19, 111:7, charter [3] - 68:13, 173:17 140:17, 140:21, 87:2, 160:13, 160:21 69:4, 172:8 111:10, 111:12, Club [23] - 63:11, 141:25, 142:2, commissions [1] -183:11, 202:6, 246:8 63:14, 66:9, 69:14, Chauncey [5] - 89:25, 142:8, 142:9, 87:15 certainly [10] - 65:16, 90:2, 235:14, 71:11, 72:17, 74:11, 142:14, 142:17, **committee** [3] - 49:22, 150:3. 171:16. 235:19. 235:25 79:23, 80:4, 82:2, 142:22, 142:23, 196:24, 200:15, check [1] - 107:5 82:7, 83:3, 83:11, 68:22, 225:9 143:3, 147:9, **COMMITTEE** [2] - 1:3, 200:16, 203:14, Chicago [2] - 2:10, 85:11, 87:23, 90:19, 175:16, 176:10, 90:24, 111:6, 249:3 205:12, 210:3, 7.23 176:16, 176:20, 111:14, 111:18, Committee [4] - 2:2, 243:14 chief [11] - 10:12, 176:25, 184:15, CERTIFICATE[2] -200:21, 220:11, 7:16, 31:10, 49:1 12:2, 15:16, 108:7, 192:3, 192:5, 237:17 communicate [1] -247:1, 248:1 131:13, 146:22, 192:20, 200:7, co [3] - 75:7, 105:8, 243:11 certify [3] - 247:6, 146:23, 153:5, 201:1, 201:6, 180:5, 194:18, 105:21 communicating [1] -248:6, 248:13 203:21, 212:14, 210:8 cetera [2] - 123:8, 200:16 co-op [3] - 75:7, 212:17, 213:4, 105:8, 105:21 communication [30] -148:11 choose [1] - 103:10 213:7, 213:11, 70:9, 70:13, 70:15, chain [7] - 55:24, chosen [1] - 79:4 code [5] - 195:8, 219:14, 223:9, 57:11, 121:21, 195:11, 195:15, 70:18, 70:20, Chris [35] - 19:7, 19:9, 225:1, 225:7, 225:9, 150:18, 150:25, 130:1, 152:22, 214:15, 237:6 19:13, 19:20, 19:24, 226:18, 228:3, 153:10, 205:25, 161:16, 181:7 20:5, 20:6, 20:9, coded [1] - 150:25 228:9, 229:17, chair [4] - 23:6, 23:11, Coffee [1] - 122:11 206:8, 216:23, 20:11, 20:16, 20:18, 229:23, 230:2, 23:13, 179:11 20:19, 20:22, 21:1, Coleman [1] - 210:25 226:10, 227:15, 232:17 229:4, 229:5, 229:6, Chair [2] - 185:21, 21:3, 21:5, 21:12, collapsed [1] - 76:14 claim [2] - 186:3, 229:9, 229:14, 187:6 21:16, 21:19, 21:24, colleagues [1] - 189:6 199:14 229:24, 229:25, chaired [2] - 74:3, 22:15, 23:5, 23:10, collecting [1] - 157:9 claimed [1] - 21:20 230:24, 230:25, 23:20, 23:22, 23:23, 74:4 **collective** [10] - 11:14, claiming [1] - 10:21 24:2, 24:4, 134:2, 231:6, 231:7, chairs [3] - 22:25, 18:4, 18:6, 43:9, clarification [1] -231:14, 231:20, 23:3 134:16, 222:23, 65:23, 98:3, 112:1, 230:20 232:2, 232:5 challenge [2] - 116:2, 222:25 222:10, 238:11, clarify [4] - 152:16, communications [10] Chris's [2] - 21:9, 116:3 240:25 222:7. 244:10. - 151:5, 227:16, 21.10 **chance** [1] - 57:6 Colleen [2] - 82:4, 246:19 227:17, 227:19, Christopher [1] - 29:1 change [8] - 64:23, 122:14 clarity [1] - 189:5 227:21, 229:2, 69:5, 94:3, 104:3, chronologically [1] colored [1] - 173:21

232:19, 243:7, 19:17, 59:1, 65:1, 199:22 150:1, 150:5, 230:4 172:13, 180:22, 243:10, 243:17 183:18, 183:20, 204:2 confronted [1] - 71:16 contacted [3] - 11:24, community [6] composite [1] - 218:6 conjunction [1] -25:15, 82:6 187:22, 199:5, 38:19, 108:9, 138:1, conceived [4] -242:10 contacts [1] - 158:21 216:18, 236:18 202:7, 204:5, 204:11 203:16, 203:18, connected [2] contains [1] - 78:23 conversations [11] community/church 204:1. 204:2 124:25, 248:16 contemplated [3] -15:10, 15:18, 15:22, 16:14. 99:17. 133:1. [1] - 202:2 concept [4] - 51:14, connection [5] - 32:2, 112:11, 131:8, 146:24. 158:17. 59:13, 60:17, 116:23 companies [2] -32:21, 71:1, 71:6, 188.21 187:23, 195:6, 199:9 18:24, 109:15 concern [21] - 44:20, 150:24 contending [1] convinced [1] company [7] - 9:5, 61:22, 86:1, 89:20, connotation [1] -211:13 204:24 186:1, 186:4, 124:6, 138:5, 139:9, 236:13 context [22] - 22:4, 186:14, 186:16, COO [1] - 141:6 140:23, 141:1, conservative[1] -32:13, 34:1, 41:6, 186:21 141:17, 142:12, 240:13 42:5, 42:12, 42:25, Cook [10] - 1:15, 194:2, 194:8, **compare** [1] - 61:8 143:1, 143:2, consider [5] - 84:2, 47:10, 47:11, 49:5, 195:16, 198:21, compared [1] - 223:17 171:12, 176:15, 103:25, 177:25, 51:19, 67:14, 72:21, 176:25, 177:5, 201:10, 205:9 73:22, 84:23, 93:16, 199:11, 247:5, compelling [2] -177:10, 177:17, 139:22, 170:1, 247:19, 248:6, 187:2, 204:25 consideration [6] -177:19, 195:5 248:23 compensation [21] -62:14, 83:18, 83:19, 186:1, 186:16, 13:15, 13:23, 14:10, concerned [7] - 85:19, 84:10, 135:21, 190:24, 232:21 Cook's [1] - 195:24 86:7, 86:8, 87:7, copied [3] - 152:19, 14:11, 14:15, 14:17, 204:15 Continental [23] -87:12, 150:14, 153:6 156:24, 162:7 Consideration [1] -63:11, 63:14, 66:9, 17:13, 42:21, 43:8, concerning [3] -69:14, 71:11, 72:18, copies [1] - 142:16 45:8, 46:20, 47:4, 223.7 49:10, 49:21, 52:5, 188:21, 229:6, 74:11, 79:23, 80:4, copy [5] - 41:17, considerations [1] -52:7, 60:12, 89:8, 230:25 82:2, 82:7, 83:3, 48:19, 159:21, 223:8 127:20, 145:7, concerns [13] - 140:2, 83:11, 85:12, 87:24, 181:18, 191:5 considered [9] -193:16 141:7, 143:11, 90:19, 90:24, 111:6, copying [1] - 59:9 35:24, 64:20, 83:22, Compensation [4] -145:17. 158:5. 111:14. 111:18. 89:5, 89:8, 106:20, core [2] - 108:8, 109:5 158:9, 171:9, 200:21, 220:11, 31:9. 31:10. 48:25. 134:14, 166:18, corner [1] - 13:12 175:22, 176:10, 237:17 114:16 168:15 corporate [8] - 11:18, 176:21, 178:14, contingent [2] - 89:2, competitive [8] considering [4] -25:7, 64:23, 79:1, 178:19, 246:7 89:3 108:10, 108:12, 96:12, 120:23, 123:8, 148:11, conclude [1] - 75:14 continuation [1] -108:15, 108:19, 186:14, 228:4 182:7, 186:5 109:5, 109:7, concluded [5] - 40:22, consolidated [1] -79:20 correct [27] - 8:23, 60:16, 73:2, 90:2, continue [3] - 10:17, 111:12, 142:18 196:21 10:7, 16:13, 30:9, 246:25 128:6, 208:8 Competitive [1] consolidating [1] -32:11, 34:20, 37:6, 224:10 concludes [1] - 39:10 continues [1] - 165:3 38:13, 41:12, 44:6, 203:24 complaining [1] **conclusion** [1] - 90:5 continuing [1] - 103:1 46:24, 49:12, 75:2, constraint [1] - 106:8 condition [1] - 110:10 240:10 contract [5] - 86:6, 104:14, 124:16, Constraints [1] complete [2] - 96:21, conditions [5] - 89:4, 142:18, 184:14, 124:20, 163:14, 104:23 184:10 109:19, 111:2, 201:24, 216:17 163:20, 171:4, constraints [3] -111:10, 118:8 contractual [1] - 89:1 180:8, 183:6, completed [2] -106:19, 106:22, 196:15, 242:6 Conduct [4] - 174:4, contrast [1] - 204:21 186:24, 190:12, 107.6 completely [2] -174:5. 174:12. contribution [1] -194:21, 196:14, consult [1] - 10:19 174:13 199:1, 240:12 116:14, 202:8 152:16 consultant [4] - 67:15, Cone [1] - 226:9 correctly [1] - 124:9 completion [1] - 76:4 70:25, 199:20, contro [1] - 68:18 cone [8] - 195:12, cost [1] - 211:18 complex [2] - 206:19, 199:23 controversy [6] -195:13, 226:13, **COUNCIL** [2] - 1:2, 206:20 consultants [13] -59:18, 68:19, 99:18, **complexity** [1] - 79:2 226:16, 226:20, 108:17, 121:12, 101:4, 101:5 249:2 227:4, 227:11, compliance [4] -146:20, 150:23, conversation [35] council [56] - 31:24, 32:23, 34:16, 227:15 189:12, 199:14, 13:2, 13:7, 13:8, 49:4, 67:10, 67:22, 112:12, 122:5 conference [1] - 239:6 14:16, 15:8, 15:10, 75:18, 76:1, 89:9, 200:1, 201:12, confident [1] - 201:5 16:8, 16:16, 17:7, 92:4, 137:20, 140:8, compliant [5] - 34:19, 213:25, 221:2, confidential [3] -19:6, 22:10, 38:15, 140:17, 140:21, 35:14, 117:9, 221:5, 243:12, 117:11, 169:20 165:5, 166:5, 166:10 243:14 39:3, 45:14, 52:2, 141:25, 142:2, 142:8, 142:9, complied [1] - 157:13 confirm [4] - 9:12, consultation [1] -119:22, 119:25, 142:14, 142:22, complies [3] - 40:4, 56:2, 161:24, 212:20 120:5, 139:16, 179:11 142:23, 143:3, 44:4, 168:10 confirmed [2] - 208:8 consummation [1] -143:12, 144:25, 175:16, 178:19, conflict [8] - 84:25. 149:15, 149:16, 105:25 complying [2] - 47:21, 85:1, 85:2, 85:19, 171:14, 171:17, 178:22, 184:15, 48:3 contact [7] - 11:19, 86:7, 86:8, 167:11, 11:24, 25:20, 85:4, 171:25, 172:7, 190:24, 192:4, component [4] -

192:5, 192:21, 78:7, 78:8, 78:14, 165:4, 168:13, County [1] - 247:11 defining [1] - 229:12 200:7, 201:2, 201:6, 222:8, 222:9, 222:17 couple [6] - 22:11, 78:23, 78:24, 79:3, definitely [2] - 58:25, 203:21, 204:11, 26:6, 115:18, 79:6, 79:13, 79:16, deals [1] - 195:6 87:14 212:14, 212:17, 170:12, 181:25, 205.9 dealt [1] - 158:22 definition [2] - 153:12, 213:5, 213:7, 183.8 Date [2] - 219:2, debatable [1] - 212:4 153:23 213:11, 219:14, course [3] - 19:13, 249:23 debate [3] - 147:25, definitive [1] - 75:16 223:9, 225:2, 225:7, date [16] - 13:4, 25:9, 58:12, 208:11 171:13, 204:5 delay [2] - 165:7, 225:9, 226:18, 29:9, 31:13, 35:2, **cover** [3] - 64:11, debated [3] - 203:21, 215:14 227:3, 227:23, 42:14, 53:13, 76:9, 199:13, 224:12 203:22, 204:10 delayed [1] - 215:10 228:4, 228:9, 76:10, 76:14, 80:18, covered [1] - 211:17 debating [1] - 134:24 delete [1] - 242:1 229:17, 229:23, 90:18, 191:7, 215:1, covering [2] - 97:20, debt [6] - 88:15, 89:5, deliberate [1] - 201:25 230:2, 231:12, 217:21, 234:23 89:8, 152:17, deliberately [3] -231:25, 232:4, crazy [3] - 199:15, **DATE**[1] - 1:10 152:18, 195:19 173:10. 173:11. 232:17 199:17 **DATED**[1] - 248:19 December [35] -173:12 Council [2] - 7:16, dated [33] - 11:5, create [5] - 46:1, 58:5, 31:19, 31:25, 32:16, delivered [8] - 30:8, 189.7 25:12, 30:24, 36:4, 60:11, 167:10, 34:3, 49:4, 91:14, 117:14, 159:22, council's [7] - 142:17, 37:4, 41:24, 47:4, 167:11 92:4, 165:8, 176:3, 160:6, 160:11, 147:9, 176:11, 50:16, 114:16, created [2] - 98:6, 176:5, 176:17, 160:12, 160:16 176:17, 176:21, 122:1, 130:7, 179:16, 188:17, 116:2 delving [1] - 104:7 177:1, 192:11 135:10, 154:16, credit [5] - 21:20, 188:24, 189:19, **Deno** [12] - 212:7, Councilman [20] -156:16, 159:20, 22:6, 22:15, 22:16, 189:21, 190:6, 214:21, 215:18, 31:19, 31:20, 31:23, 162:16, 164:9, 190:15, 191:3, 23:10 216:7, 216:8, 31:24, 32:7, 32:10, 165:2, 167:22, 191:6, 191:7, credits [1] - 22:15 216:13, 216:15, 49:5, 69:7, 176:3, 175:14, 179:8, 191:23. 191:25. Crescimbini [3] -216:20, 217:2, 176:4, 190:23, 179:10, 188:17, 192:1, 193:2, 68:22, 69:3, 69:7 217:7, 217:11 191:4, 191:6, 190:5, 190:15, 193:12, 193:18, criticized [1] - 237:23 **DEP** [1] - 21:8 191:22, 191:24, 191:7, 207:11, 194:5, 194:12, cross [1] - 217:23 department [6] -192:19, 192:25 209:21, 210:11, 195:16, 195:21, CRR [5] - 1:15, 247:5, 22:24, 22:25, 23:3, councilman [2] -212:8, 220:1, 227:3, 196:25, 199:12, 247:19, 248:6, 23:5, 23:11, 23:13 140:7, 190:14 228:17 240:18, 240:19 248:23 Department [6] -Councilmen [1] dates [2] - 94:12, decide [3] - 132:18, **cup** [1] - 23:22 12:15, 12:18, 12:20, 191:16 94:21 132:19, 184:6 15:13, 18:14, 230:16 curious [2] - 130:13, COUNSEL [1] - 2:1 David [11] - 194:2, decided [7] - 160:2, **deposition** [1] - 66:2 237:24 Counsel [19] - 2:16, 194:8, 195:16, 165:7, 179:4, depth [1] - 46:9 current [8] - 103:18, 195:24, 196:25, 11:5, 11:16, 11:20, 179:12, 180:10, deputy [2] - 194:13, 105:7, 106:12, 35:22, 38:8, 97:21, 198:21, 198:24, 180:20 194:18 135:19, 153:12, 99:1, 119:7, 135:2, 199:11, 200:5, decimals [1] - 135:18 153:14, 153:23, describe [3] - 11:11, 168:6, 169:6, 202:10, 202:14 decision [14] - 17:22, 153:25 66:18, 184:4 David's [1] - 202:11 177:13, 207:25, 166:18, 167:4, customary [1] - 14:4 described [6] -208:10, 233:16, Davis [1] - 181:16 167:8, 177:20, customer [1] - 108:9 111:17, 180:18, 242:11, 242:18, days [4] - 49:21, 179:20, 179:23, customers [3] - 110:1, 230:7, 239:16, 243:1 101:19, 115:18, 180:23, 180:24, 241:5, 244:24 110:4, 110:18 counsel [30] - 7:3, 215:7 181:2, 186:24, cut [3] - 14:12, 37:22, describes [1] - 121:3 7:22, 8:9, 12:5, dead [1] - 35:24 241:8, 242:1, 242:9 145:2 describing [1] - 238:3 16:18, 16:19, 16:21, deadline [3] - 76:4, declare [1] - 249:20 cutting [1] - 244:1 description [2] -16:22, 18:11, 54:2, 195:23, 196:1 **decline** [1] - 166:3 Cvent [1] - 82:10 154.1 238.22 54:3, 54:5, 63:24, deal [24] - 26:13, declined [1] - 85:5 descriptive [2] -CWL [1] - 235:13 87:5, 108:18, 135:3, 26:16, 65:14, 98:6, dedicated [5] - 97:21, 237:25, 238:7 177:20, 186:17, 125:16, 135:20, 98:24, 100:15, D designated [2] -189:10, 192:7, 138:4, 138:18, 101:6, 119:7 22:13, 166:2 192:8, 208:1, 208:9, Dabney [5] - 86:13, 140:10, 143:3, deem [1] - 103:11 designed [4] - 45:4, 208:19, 213:21, 87:4, 87:8, 87:17, 143:11, 145:17, deemed [2] - 165:23, 75:14, 98:7, 98:11 213:23, 213:24, 88:2 145:23, 146:4, 195:9 designing [2] - 87:13, 222:20, 248:14, Dalton [4] - 101:16, 147:6, 187:14, defer [1] - 55:15 113:9 248:16 101:21, 201:14, 198:25, 216:13, deferral [1] - 61:22 desire [1] - 17:12 counsel's [1] - 35:18 201:19 241:5, 241:7, deferred [2] - 59:4, despite [1] - 166:24 Counsel's [1] - 2:15 darn [2] - 136:13, 241:16, 241:22, 193:16 detail [1] - 118:19 counselor [1] - 138:2 148.17 241:23 defined [3] - 179:13, detailed [1] - 189:4 COUNTY [2] - 247:3, dealing [9] - 65:9, **Data** [1] - 78:4 229:5, 229:9 determination [4] -248:4 87:24, 88:6, 159:7, data [13] - 33:21, 78:5, Defines [1] - 231:11 130:16, 131:21,

161:2, 196:17 determine [7] - 45:12, 59:6, 61:15, 101:12, 130:18, 131:18, 208:20 determined [1] -131:24 **determines** [1] - 58:24 determining [1] -153:17 develop [1] - 202:3 developed [3] - 111:2, 208:9, 244:12 development [1] -62:13 devices [1] - 187:17 devoted [1] - 120:14 Diamond [16] - 31:19, 31:24, 32:7, 32:10, 49:5, 176:3, 188:18, 190:14, 190:23, 191:5, 191:6, 191:16, 191:22, 191:24, 192:19, 192:25 Diamond/Salem [1] -34:2 dictate [1] - 197:8 dictating [1] - 197:12 differ [2] - 204:8, 238:1 differed [1] - 61:6 difference 131 -116:21, 117:8, 244:14 different [6] - 70:22, 205:16, 223:16, 229:19, 230:11, 232:1 difficult [2] - 140:20, 186:23 digest [1] - 206:18 **DIRECT** [2] - 3:7, 7:11 directed [6] - 26:11, 40:8, 196:3, 230:1, 230:10, 230:12 directive [1] - 77:2 directly [6] - 25:23, 71:16, 82:21, 87:6, 171:14, 210:18 directors [1] - 186:25 disagreeing [1] -173:1 disagreement [1] -170:7 disappearing [1] -151:2 disappointed [6] -40:16, 40:21, 41:13, 203:6, 203:11,

203:12 disappointment [1] -203:8 discernible [1] -150:25 disclosed [1] - 66:25 disclosure [1] -161:17 discomfort [4] -170:15, 172:10, 173:7, 174:25 disconnect [1] -210:21 discount [4] - 26:16, 28:7, 28:22, 28:24 discourse [1] - 192:2 discovered [2] - 41:3, 41:6 discretion [1] - 246:15 discuss [6] - 75:3, 79:25, 133:21, 169:19, 175:20, 237:20 discussed [10] - 97:2, 111:14, 126:11, 153:22, 177:15, 182:9, 185:24, 199:12, 202:6, 244:16 discusses [1] - 87:1 discussing [5] -83:10, 170:3, 173:3, 243:19, 244:21 discussion [62] - 17:2, 34:3, 34:10, 52:24, 56:24, 65:17, 73:19, 73:21, 74:25, 75:13, 76:7, 80:1, 80:3, 80:11, 80:12, 80:24, 83:14, 84:7, 85:11, 85:15, 90:23, 92:15, 94:7, 99:14, 99:15, 102:25, 103:2, 110:14, 110:15, 119:9, 119:14, 119:18, 120:14, 121:8, 126:3, 126:9, 126:13, 126:14, 129:10, 131:4, 131:5, 143:14, 143:17, 145:9, 161:6, 162:22, 163:2, 169:3, 169:11, 171:12, 178:18, 189:5, 204:3, 205:16, 206:22, 206:25,

207:2, 209:1, 209:7,

223:4, 223:18

Discussion [2] -

31:10, 49:15 discussions [11] -17:1, 22:16, 80:5, 124:4, 124:5, 132:23, 139:23, 161:12, 209:5, 226:12. 226:15 disfavor [1] - 147:12 disparity [1] - 211:6 disposition [2] -68:13, 75:4 disputing [1] - 92:6 disregard [1] - 180:6 distill [1] - 224:19 distinction [2] - 20:20, 178:20 distinguish [2] -241:20, 241:21 distributed [1] - 110:1 divide [1] - 136:3 divided [2] - 135:22, 136:5 **DMS**[1] - 18:14 **DO**[1] - 249:2 document [62] -29:12, 29:13, 29:18, 29:20, 31:13, 32:2, 32:4, 32:9, 33:8, 36:6, 36:9, 36:12, 49:14, 49:17, 49:18, 50:8, 63:1, 63:4, 90:10, 91:7, 102:1, 102:3. 102:9. 102:13, 112:6, 113:16, 114:15, 115:21, 120:12, 128:9, 128:12, 132:10, 154:15, 154:20, 155:4, 155:15, 155:18, 155:20, 156:6, 156:9, 176:1, 179:15, 190:17, 209:20, 209:25, 210:6, 210:20, 210:23, 221:15, 221:17, 221:20, 221:24, 223:21, 223:22, 224:15, 224:18, 225:12, 225:21, 228:12, 229:19, 233:21, 249:20 Document [1] - 31:10 documentation [1] -189:15 documents [24] -

209:22, 209:23, 211:17 dollar [1] - 172:20 dollars [5] - 110:18, 123:17, 145:13, 186:1, 239:13 done [38] - 15:12, 26:1, 26:18, 30:16, 40:23, 41:4, 47:13, 51:21, 55:5, 55:7, 76:1, 80:22, 85:6, 91:16, 91:25, 109:11, 111:19, 126:16, 129:1, 136:7, 169:12, 196:6, 196:8, 202:2, 214:13, 214:25, 221:8, 223:24, 225:15, 235:20, 236:25, 237:1, 237:8, 237:9, 242:5, 242:7, 245:20 doubt [1] - 210:19 down [12] - 33:6, 69:1, 72:12, 97:4, 117:20, 166:19, 169:11, 184:5, 184:6, 201:25, 219:19, 242:25 draft [27] - 35:7, 53:14, 54:8, 54:11, 55:17, 56:12, 56:22, 57:3, 58:7. 58:9. 59:25. 61:12. 62:16. 113:11, 113:13, 122:2, 122:23, 127:8, 127:10, 127:13, 155:17, 155:20, 181:24, 191:20, 191:21, 233:23 Draft [1] - 133:10 drafted [13] - 43:13, 53:15, 55:1, 56:13, 58:8, 84:1, 90:16, 98:13, 121:4, 124:8, 156:3, 160:14, 208:7 drafting [6] - 35:4, 50:23, 54:17, 56:23, 225:22, 241:1 drafts [3] - 62:18, 62:22, 162:17 drawing [1] - 178:20 Drive [2] - 1:12, 2:5 drop [2] - 182:2,

56:25, 57:6, 60:19,

190:18, 190:19,

191:18, 208:2,

208:6, 209:14,

61:9, 133:22, 176:2,

182:10 due [4] - 70:14, 197:4, 202:9, 219:3 duly [2] - 7:8, 247:8 duplicative [1] - 55:6 during [20] - 10:24, 12:7, 17:17, 34:5, 39:16, 63:10, 89:25, 111:23, 112:20, 113:2, 113:10, 116:19, 125:15, 126:11, 141:12, 219:9, 219:17, 227:15, 230:2, 231:1 duties [5] - 174:5, 174:13, 186:13, 186:15, 186:18 duty [3] - 174:21, 246:6, 246:17 Duva [3] - 36:20, 36:23, 37:4 Duval [2] - 2:17, 247:11 DUVAL [2] - 247:3, 248:4 Dykes [2] - 138:10, 199:6 Е

e-mail [74] - 30:4, 30:23, 30:25, 36:3, 36:14, 36:15, 36:16, 42:8, 54:6, 54:13, 54:16, 55:11, 55:24, 55:25, 57:11, 57:18, 59:21, 61:11, 86:12, 87:22, 88:11, 89:17, 97:16, 97:17, 121:21, 124:3, 127:17, 130:1, 130:5, 130:7, 130:21, 130:22, 133:15, 133:16, 135:9, 136:13, 136:14, 137:10, 148:14, 148:17, 148:19, 148:22, 150:10, 151:25, 152:1, 152:20. 152:22, 153:21, 159:16, 159:21, 161:18, 162:16, 165:1, 175:9, 175:14, 175:18, 176:15, 179:2, 179:8, 181:7, 181:15, 182:25, 185:15, 187:12, 187:16, 187:20, 188:1, 190:5, 194:2,

29:19, 31:15, 32:5,

32:7, 42:10, 49:3,

56:3, 56:7, 56:10,

207:11, 210:6, Elizabeth [1] - 36:3 13:16, 13:24, 37:9, 238:2, 238:19, exaggerate [1] - 21:25 37:13, 38:12 239:4, 240:18 216:19 emanate [1] - 237:5 **EXAMINATION**[2] e-mailed [3] - 117:18, emanates [1] - 195:14 ends [1] - 135:6 environmental [3] -3:7, 7:11 216:16, 216:22 energy [1] - 9:5 12:12, 12:16, 108:9 Examination [1] - 1:14 employ [2] - 144:23, e-mails [10] - 50:11, engage [2] - 16:18, Environmental [4] examination [1] -213:21 57:25, 86:11, 215.7 12:15, 12:19, 12:20, 217:24 employed [3] - 98:8, 148:18, 149:6, 99:4, 119:5 engaged [21] - 11:13, 15.13 example [6] - 21:7, 151:9, 161:16, 16:19, 40:2, 40:10, Employee [1] - 116:1 equal [1] - 116:1 55:4, 123:17, 194:1, 240:12, employee [23] - 11:15, 40:17, 41:7, 49:10, equals [1] - 135:24 136:13, 183:12, 243:11 equity [7] - 61:18, 18:9, 45:7, 45:12, 50:4, 53:3, 53:5, 232.8 early [7] - 30:12, 57:22, 65:22, 74:2, 53:8, 53:16, 53:23, 62:6, 62:7, 88:15, **examples** [1] - 51:23 58:13, 77:10, 91:12, 80:9, 85:22, 88:14, 158:25, 186:21, 89:5, 171:2, 171:7 exceed [2] - 24:7, 181:19, 195:21 186:25, 192:14, equity-related [2] -123:21 89:1, 89:8, 90:15, 207:25, 215:11, earn [1] - 128:5 125:23, 131:25, 61:18, 62:6 exceeds [1] - 187:3 earnest [1] - 42:16 215:13, 216:9 error [1] - 29:11 177:4, 197:5, 198:3, excel [1] - 90:14 engagement [32] easier [1] - 242:13 231:5, 237:20, Esquire [6] - 2:3, 2:3, exception [1] - 240:21 11:3, 11:4, 11:11, East [1] - 1:22 238:5, 248:14, 2:4, 2:8, 2:12, 2:16 excerpt [7] - 33:8, 11:20, 16:7, 23:21, eastern [1] - 161:25 248:15 essential [1] - 227:14 33:12, 49:24, employee's [1] - 44:21 24:7, 24:20, 25:19, essentially [4] - 23:16, eat [2] - 107:8, 107:14 105:10, 114:25, 37:9, 37:12, 38:12, eating [1] - 107:11 employees [49] - 45:4, 58:7, 61:15, 86:20 228:21, 228:24 40:5, 40:6, 41:10, economics [2] - 89:6, 45:15, 50:24, 58:6, establishing [1] - 52:8 excess [2] - 116:2, 52:15, 53:25, 70:2, 58:10, 60:12, 61:16, 187:8 estate [1] - 26:13 116:8 86:4, 114:6, 210:10, 70:20, 86:20, 87:9, edited [2] - 165:4, estimated [1] - 89:9 exchange [13] - 86:11, 213:2, 213:9, 87:19, 89:3, 97:20, 191:20 et [2] - 123:8, 148:11 93:7, 95:22, 97:16, 98:1, 98:3, 98:4, 213:19, 214:25, edits [2] - 153:12, 99:5, 133:15, 135:9, ethics [13] - 35:8, 215:2, 215:4, 215:9, 98:21, 98:22, 98:23, 153:23 151:25, 167:1, 36:1, 86:18, 87:15, 216:7, 217:7, 99:2, 99:3, 99:10, effect [1] - 163:13 175:9, 176:16, 87:21, 160:9, 161:3, 219:25, 220:15 99:19, 99:23, 99:24, effective [2] - 25:9, 161:6, 161:10, 193:10, 194:1 engaging [3] - 108:15, 99:25, 100:5, 215:1 161:13, 233:19, exchanging [1] -108:17, 212:9 100:17, 100:20, efficient [1] - 182:3 233:24, 246:5 169:9 enjoyed [1] - 15:12 101:11, 102:22, Ethics [4] - 86:19, exclusively [3] effort [7] - 60:22, 62:1, 103:8, 103:14, enroll [2] - 166:3 87:2, 160:13, 160:21 98:24, 119:8, 119:20 76:18, 76:20, 79:19, 104:2, 122:3, ensure [1] - 226:22 excuse [5] - 36:14. 79:20, 184:8 eve [1] - 209:3 125:11, 125:18, Ensured [1] - 226:8 36:23, 42:7, 57:17, eight [5] - 192:22, evening [1] - 199:12 125:20, 164:18, **ENTER** [1] - 249:2 215:6 237:24, 238:3, event [7] - 69:5, 69:11, 165:13, 170:22, enter [4] - 43:4, 43:7, **excused** [1] - 246:24 238:8, 238:20 82:20, 91:17, 128:4, 171:6, 177:14, 44:14, 235:20 eighth [1] - 225:25 177:3, 184:13 executed [1] - 220:20 177:23, 178:1, entered [1] - 217:6 either [18] - 18:22, events [1] - 180:17 **executive** [9] - 108:7, 178:5, 198:6, 198:9 entire [9] - 33:8, 29:14, 31:15, 66:7, evidence [1] - 151:4 131:14, 145:7, employees' [1] - 89:14 71:23, 102:9, 73:1, 142:15, 165:9, 185:23, evidenced [3] - 51:16, employer [3] - 85:22, 140:10, 182:11, 154:23, 166:12, 190:11, 190:21, 60:25, 99:6 85:24, 85:25 189:11, 215:19, 168:5, 197:3, 190:25, 191:20 ex [3] - 226:10, 229:5, employment [16] -218:20 197:17, 197:20, 230:24 executive's [1] -30:5, 30:12, 56:11, entirely [1] - 188:23 198:15, 198:16, **Ex** [5] - 56:3, 56:7. 172:24 83:11, 83:16, 84:4, entities [5] - 50:25, 200:15, 209:1, 57:8, 229:4, 230:23 executives [6] - 87:25, 84:11, 84:12, 85:14, 52:20, 52:21, 52:22, 210:16, 243:23 88:8, 143:24, 166:8, ex-parte [2] - 226:10, 85:20, 86:6, 87:6, 109:15 election [2] - 73:3, 172:18, 173:7 230:24 239:7, 239:9, 239:11 entitled [9] - 31:9, 75:23 Exelon [4] - 9:5, 9:8, Ex-Parte [2] - 229:4, enacted [1] - 155:2 Electric [1] - 122:15 78:4, 102:1, 115:1, 9:11. 9:13 230:23 encouraged [1] -133:10, 154:15, exempt [1] - 61:21 electric [2] - 110:6, ex-party [1] - 229:5 196:3 221:20, 224:9, exercise [4] - 24:12, 110:7 exact [3] - 13:4, 53:13, end [19] - 12:14, 18:7, 228:12 58:7, 59:1, 59:7 **electronic** [1] - 78:8 217:21 26:19, 27:17, 32:19, entity [1] - 86:3 Exhibit [226] - 4:3, 4:4, elephant [1] - 172:18 exactly [18] - 16:25, 32:20, 33:1, 57:16, entries [5] - 235:2, 4:5, 4:6, 4:8, 4:9, **elevate** [1] - 246:16 39:4, 42:24, 58:20, 60:20, 80:18, 92:15, 235:3, 235:12, elevated [1] - 246:7 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 68:17, 70:19, 82:17, 97:10, 114:6, 238:1, 242:20 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, elicit [1] - 9:16 82:19, 96:1, 96:2, 143:12, 143:21, entry [11] - 27:4, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, eligible [2] - 119:6, 177:8, 192:9, 195:22, 195:25, 235:7, 235:16, 178:5 203:23, 217:3, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 205:8, 246:13 236:6, 237:15, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, eliminated [2] - 235:1, 217:10, 219:12, ended [6] - 12:19, 237:19, 237:24, 4:25, 5:1, 5:2, 5:3, 235:5 219:18, 236:3

5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 191:9, 191:11, 173:6 far [7] - 9:20, 46:3, 56:15, 59:11, 65:1, 194:16, 207:10, 66:23, 66:24, 66:25, 5:8, 5:9, 5:10, 5:11, expressed [11] -87:2, 172:13, 184:6, 5:12, 5:13, 5:14, 207:15, 209:9, 141:1, 143:2, 158:5, 187:3, 204:17 74:13, 79:7, 87:23, 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 209:12, 216:1, 158:24, 171:10, fat [1] - 188:6 90:18, 102:8, 5:18, 5:19, 5:20, 217:25, 218:5, 173:20, 174:1, father [2] - 21:10 102:21, 104:21, 5:21, 5:22, 5:23, 218:9, 219:24, 176:11, 176:16, fault [1] - 204:14 108:6, 115:25, 5:24, 5:25, 6:1, 6:2, 220:6, 221:14, 188:21, 246:7 119:3, 130:16, favorable [1] - 161:1 6:3, 6:4, 6:5, 6:6, 221:18, 222:24, 130:24, 132:7, expressing [3] -FAX [1] - 1:23 143:25, 144:1, 170:4 6:7, 6:8, 6:9, 6:10, 223:6, 224:2, 224:3, 135:16, 176:8, February [2] - 8:25, 6:11, 6:12, 11:3, 225:24, 227:1, 212:19, 218:11, **extensive** [1] - 79:8 11:9, 11:21, 16:8, 227:6, 227:10, 226:9, 230:5, **extent** [2] - 26:25, Fed [3] - 56:3, 56:7, 23:21, 24:6, 25:8, 228:12, 228:15, 230:23, 235:15 177:19 57:8 25:9, 27:25, 29:5, 229:22, 230:4, fiscal [3] - 13:19, extraordinarily [2] feds [1] - 39:24 29:7, 29:25, 30:2, 230:14, 230:18, 13:20, 26:20 137:8, 147:18 fee [7] - 183:13, 30:18, 30:21, 31:5, 232:4, 232:13, five [3] - 33:10, 144:8, extraordinary [1] -183:22, 184:2, 31:9, 31:13, 31:17, 233:2, 233:8, 234:7, 168:1 157:19 184:11, 184:19, 31:18, 32:13, 33:7, 234:10, 234:13, **FL** [1] - 2:14 **extremely** [1] - 187:2 184:22, 219:21 33:10, 36:2, 36:10, 235:10, 239:21, Flanagan [2] - 92:14, fees [2] - 199:17, 37:3. 41:23. 42:3. 239:25 206:3 240:23 44:19, 47:2, 47:6, exhibit [9] - 33:12, flat [1] - 219:21 felt [3] - 143:22, face [1] - 136:20 46:25, 48:23, 64:11, 47:8, 48:18, 48:21, flipped [1] - 193:1 146:12, 158:23 facility [2] - 82:8, 49:13, 49:19, 49:24, 88:19, 128:10, floor [6] - 13:13, 28:8, few [4] - 75:20, 82:23 50:10, 50:14, 55:24, 148:11, 155:11, 28:12, 28:15, 28:18 127:16, 146:24, fact [13] - 40:6, 40:7, 56:5, 57:10, 57:13, 212:7 Floor [1] - 2:13 222:8 41:20, 85:12, 60:20, 61:6, 62:3, exhibits [1] - 127:14 Florida [57] - 1:13, fewer [1] - 107:6 103:24, 132:9, 62:25, 63:6, 72:3, **Exhibits** [3] - 4:7, 1:22, 2:6, 2:18, fiduciary [2] - 186:13, 143:6, 147:17, 75:11, 78:3, 81:16, 31:8, 31:21 16:23, 20:7, 23:23, 186:17 180:14, 183:21, 81:20, 86:11, 86:15, existed [1] - 158:1 field [1] - 166:24 32:24, 34:17, 34:19, 187:11, 189:4, 88:21, 90:9, 90:12, existence [5] - 37:8, 35:1, 35:5, 35:6, figure [3] - 87:20, 199.19 94:1, 94:12, 94:20, 38:3, 43:23, 155:5, 35:8, 35:25, 39:13, 166:19, 210:20 fact-finding [1] -97:15, 98:9, 99:6, 155:16 40:4, 41:8, 44:5, file [3] - 165:13, 189:4 101:25, 102:4, exists [1] - 166:21 44:15, 54:2, 54:4, 207:11, 207:19 104:17, 104:19, factor [1] - 201:10 expanded [1] - 168:2 58:9, 65:1, 65:2, final [13] - 24:19, 26:7, 105:10, 105:24, facts [1] - 249:20 **expect** [1] - 40:9 65:3, 70:25, 86:19, 32:6, 35:2, 88:8, factual [1] - 189:1 106:6, 107:19, expended [1] - 24:11 87:1, 87:2, 112:10, 154:22, 154:24, 107:22, 109:18, fail [1] - 183:14 **expense** [1] - 200:2 112:12, 113:14, 154:25, 155:2, 111:3, 111:4, 114:7, failed [1] - 203:15 expensive [1] - 123:7 117:6, 117:7, 162:1, 180:23, 114:9, 115:1, faint [2] - 72:6, 78:19 experience [13] - 19:9, 117:10, 117:12, 180:24 117:25, 118:4, fair [17] - 22:3, 96:6, 29:4, 51:16, 51:24, 122:6, 123:2, financial [9] - 44:23, 118:9, 118:11, 97:10, 143:1, 54:12, 54:25, 55:3, 133:21, 137:13, 45:5, 45:9, 45:25, 118:15, 118:16, 144:21, 144:22, 55:14, 55:16, 135:5, 157:13, 157:16, 108:9, 108:18, 121:20, 121:24, 169:18, 170:21, 185:13, 200:24, 159:8, 160:13, 136:12, 148:17, 126:20, 126:24, 171:11, 193:6, 160:21, 168:11, 225:1 153:5 127:2, 129:25, 200:23, 200:24, 168:13, 169:20, expert [2] - 109:9, financially [1] - 248:17 130:3, 133:8, 205:18, 205:21, 166:3 174:4, 174:12, financials [1] - 104:8 133:12, 133:14, 221:9, 242:11, 244:8, 246:5, 247:6, expertise [4] - 29:4, financing [1] - 90:4 133:18, 135:8, 246:10 247:11, 247:19 62:9, 80:14, 183:5 fine [3] - 8:4, 58:14, 135:12, 148:21, fairly [4] - 136:20, FLORIDA [2] - 247:2, expire [1] - 18:7 85:5 148:24, 151:13, 137:25, 204:10, 248:3 explain [5] - 15:2, firm [19] - 7:23, 12:4, 151:19, 154:10, 238:7 Florida's [2] - 65:7, 197:21, 210:3, 12:7, 12:21, 15:4, 154:13, 156:14, faith [2] - 186:2, 186:3 86:18 211:7, 224:22 22:25, 24:10, 26:20, 156:19, 159:15, fall [1] - 18:25 Florida-related [1] explained [3] - 54:1, 41:3, 63:24, 67:2, 159:18, 161:15, familiar [4] - 65:7, 16:23 160:17, 227:22 84:16, 84:21, 85:10, 161:21, 164:4, 154:21, 209:25, Florida-specific [1] explaining [2] - 227:4, 87:5, 137:23, 164:6, 165:1, 233:20 54.4 227:11 194:10, 229:7, 231:3 165:18, 167:16, familiarity [3] flow [4] - 142:2, 142:4, explanation [2] - 15:3, first [41] - 11:19, 167:18, 175:8, 192:20, 192:22, 142:10, 226:25 15:6 12:11, 14:1, 16:7, 175:11, 175:24, 192:23 fly [1] - 185:5 expose [2] - 123:7, 18:3, 30:13, 35:12, 178:23, 178:25, family [1] - 21:20 148:10 flyspecked [1] - 27:4 35:16, 36:21, 37:2, 181:9, 188:16, fan [1] - 217:2 express [2] - 158:9, focus [3] - 32:23, 43:25, 53:11, 54:16, 190:2, 190:4, 190:8,

117:4, 137:12 Ford [1] - 85:9 100:14, 138:13, 188:1, 188:9 172:13, 185:24, 139:21, 141:12, focused [1] - 137:17 forecasted [1] - 116:6 Gardner's [7] -191:1, 246:18 focusing [1] - 117:5 foregoing [2] - 248:9, 141:21, 147:8, 181:19, 182:25, giver [1] - 15:15 249:20 219:10, 219:17 183:16, 185:2, goals [1] - 45:13 Foley [72] - 2:8, 7:22, free [1] - 59:13 187:12, 187:16, government [6] -8:21, 9:3, 9:13, 10:4, forget [1] - 88:19 Freebird [6] - 63:3, 11:4, 11:13, 12:5, form [20] - 17:13, 188:10 12:13, 12:17, 19:15, 64:12, 69:14, 236:9, GC [5] - 165:10, 12:25, 14:12, 16:9, 43:18, 46:20, 48:16, 19:16, 20:9, 196:21 236:10, 236:12 165:21, 165:22, 18:1, 19:20, 21:20, 64:22, 70:22, 75:6, governmental [5] frequently [1] - 141:16 165:23, 167:3 22:5, 25:2, 26:4, 87:18, 97:3, 97:4, 19:18, 201:24, 26:23, 27:18, 28:3, Friday [5] - 1:10, 39:5, geared [1] - 76:8 105:7, 125:14, 212:16, 212:24, 34:13, 40:2, 46:4, 122:14, 152:20, 138:21, 147:5, General [27] - 2:15, 213:12 50:17, 61:1, 62:18, 154:18, 154:21, 185:19 2:16, 11:5, 11:16, governor's [1] - 12:18 62:23, 63:21, 64:24, 156:1, 166:17, friend [4] - 21:6, 85:8, 11:20, 20:6, 35:22, grab [1] - 107:13 72:19, 81:25, 82:1, 196:21, 243:10 173:23, 203:2 38:7, 97:21, 99:1, grader [1] - 239:3 82:4, 82:20, 88:23, forma [1] - 236:5 friends [4] - 21:5, 119:6, 122:16, Granat [2] - 2:16, 47:3 112:4, 112:19, 21:11, 141:9, 173:15 122:25, 123:2, format [1] - 75:10 grand [1] - 185:22 113:8, 114:20, 127:11, 127:12, former [4] - 18:13, friendship [3] - 21:13, grant [4] - 58:7, 61:14, 115:5, 115:6, 121:6, 134:5, 135:2, 168:6, 20:6, 137:19, 140:7 173:16, 173:17 166:16, 166:20 145:3, 152:4, forms [3] - 150:24, front [6] - 29:9, 64:11, 169:6, 177:13, graphics [2] - 225:15, 157:13, 159:21, 207:25, 208:10, 154:12, 205:25 191:2, 206:22, 225:18 161:5, 161:9, 233:16, 242:11, 221:22, 224:12 formula [10] - 123:18, grapple [1] - 47:19 162:12, 162:14, frustrated [6] - 142:7, 242:18, 243:1 124:12, 126:18, great [3] - 98:6, 182:2, 192:14, general [27] - 8:9, 142:8, 142:9, 135:23, 136:3, 125:16, 246:23 210:11, 211:3, 11:18, 12:12, 17:7, 152:7, 152:9, 142:21, 143:8, greater [2] - 109:25, 211:19, 212:9, 18:9, 18:25, 20:10, 152:12, 152:13, 183:24 165:12 212:23, 213:16, 35:12, 35:13, 35:17, frustration [3] - 142:1, 153:17 greatly [1] - 168:1 214:20, 214:21, 52:10, 60:14, 73:3, formulate [1] - 74:21 142:3, 188:20 greed [2] - 202:9, 215:7, 215:12, 109:10, 113:19, Forsyth [1] - 1:22 fulfill [1] - 14:7 202:13 215:13, 218:7, 122:5, 134:4, 134:8, forth [2] - 97:1, 225:5 full [6] - 96:14, 119:6, green [1] - 107:5 219:6, 224:13, 135:3, 139:24, 156:6, 156:9, 178:1, forward [30] - 15:15, Greenberg [2] - 85:4, 240:15, 243:19, 155:25, 156:1, 26:17, 35:18, 35:24, 188:23 85.6 244:20, 244:21 159:23, 160:18, full-time [2] - 119:6, 57:16, 65:11, 74:22, gross [1] - 183:13 Foley's [8] - 17:16, 169:18, 177:13, 178:1 76:14, 134:15, Grossman [5] - 63:23, 97:3, 111:22, 177:20 134:17, 134:21, fully [3] - 24:20, 63:24, 222:19, 211:18, 213:9, General's [3] - 23:24, 135:4, 138:7, 169:8, 186:25 225:14, 236:8 214:12, 215:15, 35:5, 133:21 170:9, 170:16, fun [1] - 9:2 group [14] - 55:15, 234.15 general's [5] - 23:25, fundamental [1] -172:5, 172:12, 57:22, 65:5, 65:21, folks [19] - 50:16, 35:21, 134:17, 172:16, 172:21, 172:20 71:23, 80:9, 80:11, 50:22, 54:7, 65:5, 160:6, 160:25 179:5, 179:21, funding [2] - 60:11, 80:12, 81:13, 82:5, 66:9, 79:17, 151:9, generalizing [1] -179:25, 180:10, 128:2 82:9, 87:6, 178:5, 161:19, 179:3, 145:18 180:21, 184:14, future [3] - 89:2, 128:7 182:8 185:11, 185:17, generally [12] - 11:11, 189:24, 193:15, FYI [2] - 185:24, 186:6 Group [16] - 210:10, 190:22, 199:15, 46:9, 74:25, 80:6, 241:9, 241:18 211:19, 212:8, 201:11, 201:14, 86:1, 96:4, 100:13, forwarded [1] - 194:2 G 212:9, 212:20, 202:4, 202:20, forwarding [2] -111:17, 115:12, 213:9, 213:15, Gabriel [10] - 26:11, 232:19, 245:2 174:19, 177:4, 30:25, 159:21 213:16, 214:22, follow [4] - 103:10, 35:23, 99:17, 202:12 forwards [1] - 175:25 215:7, 215:16, 104:15, 129:4, 134:22, 156:16, generate [1] - 124:7 Four [1] - 50:1 216:8, 216:9, 218:3, 211:14 169:14, 179:10, generated [2] - 54:13, four [5] - 108:8, 109:5, 218:6, 219:5 207:12, 227:3, 239:6 follow-up [1] - 129:4 235:23 157:4, 168:1, 223:8 **Group's** [1] - 213:10 followed [2] - 103:3, gain [2] - 116:15, generation [1] - 22:6 fourth [2] - 118:6, groupings [1] - 63:20 184:1 116:17 generically [1] - 184:4 218:15 guaranteed [1] following [3] - 34:24, game [1] - 201:13 gentlemen [1] - 8:13 FP&L [2] - 162:20, 222:13 94:16, 152:15 games [1] - 96:2 germane [1] - 127:17 162:21 guess [3] - 41:10, follows [2] - 7:9, 87:22 Gardner [13] - 181:16, Given [1] - 33:19 FP&L's [1] - 71:10 218:22, 220:17 footnote [3] - 37:23, 182:6, 182:9, given [16] - 14:25, **FPR** [5] - 1:15, 247:5, guessing [2] - 21:5, 182:20, 183:6, 207:24, 208:23 40:6, 40:7, 54:7, 247:19, 248:6, 37:14 183:21, 183:24, **FOR** [1] - 4:2 77:2, 86:21, 94:7, 248:23 guidance [1] - 183:2 force [2] - 98:7, 184:13, 185:14, 94:10, 94:18, 96:21, frame [12] - 25:15, Guyer [5] - 55:13, 104:11 185:15, 187:20, 112:2, 143:8, 34:22, 43:21, 81:1, 55:16, 59:10, 59:23,

88:11 help [19] - 17:16, 19:2, 173:22, 203:8, 203:9 230:18, 233:8, house [1] - 21:9 234:13, 239:25 guys [3] - 210:5, 50:23, 54:17, 55:15, herself [1] - 134:6 Howard [1] - 81:3 210:6, 245:23 55:17, 56:21, 57:25, hesitating [2] huge [1] - 187:3 58:17, 82:6, 87:20, 132:17, 242:23 ı Hughes [2] - 243:23, 100:8, 138:1, **hi** [1] - 56:2 Н 244:2 i.e [1] - 246:15 162:10, 166:13, Hicks [5] - 212:8, Hulsey [3] - 1:12, 2:4, H-o-s-a-y [1] - 18:18 127-19 [1] - 230:16 189:7. 192:10. 214:21, 215:18, 128:24 half [2] - 205:5, 205:6 idea [31] - 22:21, 192:23. 229:21 216:13, 217:11 human [1] - 180:5 Hall [2] - 175:15, 22:22, 35:11, 45:15, helped [1] - 58:8 hiding [2] - 38:22, humorous [1] -213:18 66:10, 98:4, 99:1, helpful [5] - 40:11, 212:3 236:24 hallmarks [1] - 186:19 111:9, 116:7, 138:7, 40:24, 89:7, 152:10, Hiers [1] - 30:4 hurdles [1] - 39:13 hand [4] - 28:17, 138:16, 140:8, 190:21 higher [1] - 28:11 hurry [2] - 195:22, 143:10, 146:15, 151:19. 193:9. helping [4] - 21:1, highlighted [1] - 39:11 195:25 164:23, 165:4, 247:10 25:17, 113:9, 191:14 Hill [1] - 98:24 **HYDE** [7] - 1:7, 3:6, 166:4, 166:23, handed [2] - 102:10, himself [1] - 220:13 herein [1] - 230:7 7:7, 247:6, 248:8, 170:2, 172:22, 117:18 hereto [1] - 118:9 hire [3] - 214:10, 249:4, 249:23 172:23, 172:25, handful [1] - 207:22 Herschel [102] - 9:4, 220:24, 221:5 Hyde [7] - 7:14, 10:2, 178:3, 178:4, 178:6, handle [3] - 58:9, 11:25, 12:1, 12:23, hired [5] - 69:24, 10:19, 10:22, 10:25, 182:5, 185:4, 69:15, 167:12 13:9, 15:3, 16:9, 133:16, 224:13 84:16, 137:25, 187:14, 220:17, handles [1] - 69:17 18:2, 19:2, 19:8, Hyde's [120] - 4:3, 4:4, 199:23, 214:5 232:21, 237:12 handling [2] - 182:6, 19:13, 20:11, 20:14, 4:5, 4:6, 4:7, 4:8, hiring [2] - 213:16, ideas [2] - 166:12, 182:7 20:17, 20:19, 20:23, 221:2 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 166:13 happy [2] - 58:17, 21:3, 21:5, 21:7, hit [1] - 217:1 4:13, 4:14, 4:15, identification [60] -212:3 21:17, 21:21, 21:23, 4:16, 4:17, 4:18, Hodges [3] - 26:10, 11:10, 29:8, 30:3, hard [3] - 78:19, 22:2, 30:4, 30:5, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 26:22, 134:23 30:22, 31:22, 36:11, 140:9, 142:5 30:10, 30:24, 36:5, 4:22, 4:23, 4:24, hog [1] - 188:11 42:4, 47:9, 48:22, harder [1] - 239:3 38:2. 38:9. 38:15. 4:25, 5:1, 5:2, 5:3, hogs [4] - 188:2, 49:20, 50:15, 56:6, Harrison [1] - 85:10 39:4, 40:8, 40:9, 188:4, 188:6, 188:14 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:7, 57:14, 62:4, 63:7, head [2] - 173:23, 40:14, 40:16, 40:19, hold [2] - 82:3, 82:22 5:8, 5:9, 5:10, 5:11, 81:21, 86:16, 88:22, 173:25 51:7, 51:13, 51:22, 5:12, 5:13, 5:14, holder [2] - 200:9, 90:13, 98:10, 102:5, headed [1] - 198:11 52:2, 56:18, 56:20, 5:15, 5:16, 5:17, 201:3 104:20, 107:23, healthy [1] - 190:18 65:24, 66:8, 66:16, 5:18, 5:19, 5:20, hollow [1] - 127:20 114:10, 118:5, hear [8] - 8:2, 8:4, 66:19, 77:1, 77:4, 5:21, 5:22, 5:23, home [3] - 82:14, 121:25, 127:3, 66:15, 91:19, 99:15, 81:23, 82:23, 84:16, 5:24, 5:25, 6:1, 6:2, 82:15, 143:20 130:4, 133:13, 110:14, 171:14, 84:23, 84:24, 85:3, 6:3, 6:4, 6:5, 6:6, honest [3] - 58:19, 133:19, 135:13, 217:15 85:7, 97:18, 120:4, 6:7, 6:8, 6:9, 6:10, 171:13, 189:3 148:25, 151:14, heard [36] - 14:2, 120:6, 134:23, 6:11, 6:12, 11:9, hope [3] - 152:3, 154:14, 156:20, 30:13. 36:25. 37:9. 138:10, 138:15, 29:7, 30:2, 30:21, 189:3, 199:17 159:19, 161:22, 37:11, 37:12, 42:18, 139:12, 141:8, 31:21, 36:10, 42:3, hopefully [2] - 122:14, 164:7, 165:19, 43:11, 71:5, 76:7, 143:23, 144:4, 47:8, 48:21, 49:19, 128:9 167:19, 175:12, 77:1, 77:4, 83:8, 144:22, 145:5, 50:14, 56:5, 57:13, horrible [1] - 201:13 179:1, 181:10, 90:21, 90:25, 94:23, 145:9, 145:11, 62:3, 63:6, 81:20, Hosay [12] - 18:13, 190:3, 190:9, 99:14, 99:16, 145:16, 149:5, 86:15, 88:21, 90:12, 18:17, 23:19, 63:22, 191:12, 194:17, 100:22, 103:1, 149:10, 149:13, 98:9, 102:4, 104:19, 63:23, 65:9, 112:4, 207:16, 209:13, 110:15, 111:6, 149:18, 149:21, 107:22, 114:9, 216:2, 218:10, 181:16, 185:6, 131:4, 131:5, 149:24, 150:2, 118:4, 121:24, 195:6, 222:22, 220:7, 221:19, 132:23, 133:3, 150:3, 150:19, 127:2, 130:3, 238:18 224:4, 227:7, 164:2, 164:20, 158:6, 158:16, 133:12, 133:18, Hospital [2] - 185:21, 228:16, 230:19, 172:10, 188:7, 168:5, 169:14, 135:12, 148:24, 187:7 233:9, 234:14, 240:1 206:6, 217:6, 173:8, 173:9, 151:13, 154:13, hotels [1] - 83:9 IDENTIFICATION[1] -217:16, 217:17, 173:18, 173:21, 156:19, 159:18, hour [6] - 28:12, 29:2, 4:2 217:19, 217:21 173:23, 175:9, 161:21, 164:6, 126:25, 239:23, identified [6] - 46:1, hearing [2] - 34:5, 175:15, 175:19, 165:18, 167:18, 240:2, 240:13 46:8, 157:20, 194:3, 120:3 175:24, 176:16, 175:11, 178:25, hourly [3] - 52:8, 201:12, 201:23 Heather [2] - 175:14, 176:20, 178:14, 181:9, 190:2, 190:8, 239:22 identify [1] - 189:7 175:18 179:3, 199:6, 191:11, 194:16, hours [7] - 207:5, idiot [1] - 172:3 202:22, 202:23, hedging [1] - 83:5 207:15. 209:12. 237:15, 237:24, ignorance [1] - 153:16 Hedquist [1] - 1:21 203:1, 203:13 216:1. 218:9. 220:6. 238:3, 238:8, **II** [1] - 227:14 Herschel's [6] - 13:12, **held** [3] - 63:11, 63:13, 221:18, 224:3, 238:15, 238:20 **III** [1] - 2:12 13:14, 14:25, 76:8 227:6, 228:15, hours' [1] - 181:23 Illinois [1] - 2:10

214:12, 222:17,

222:25, 223:13,

involvement [6] -

34:14, 191:14,

197:3, 197:17,

198:15. 226:19

irrigation [1] - 110:5

issuance [1] - 108:12

issue [33] - 22:4, 23:6,

23:12, 27:10, 34:9,

34:10, 40:8, 44:20,

45:10, 46:1, 47:14,

53:5, 60:23, 87:24,

99:9, 100:2, 100:9,

122:8, 128:15,

148:2, 150:6,

157:19, 160:19,

161:7, 167:11,

169:12, 171:15,

issued [11] - 27:14,

125:17, 135:25,

142:19, 163:11,

163:15, 195:22,

195:25, 212:25,

issues [41] - 11:14,

11:15, 17:8, 24:3,

25:7, 43:9, 43:19,

46:7, 49:11, 50:6,

65:22, 74:2, 80:9,

134:25, 159:7,

169:4, 170:3,

160:25, 168:12,

171:13, 171:15,

178:21, 182:7,

182:8, 195:7,

199:22, 216:12,

issuing [1] - 234:23

items [2] - 79:4, 84:9

ITN [53] - 25:6, 25:7,

78:11, 108:23,

112:10, 125:17,

142:12. 150:24.

163:7, 163:12,

212:25, 213:2,

162:6. 162:9. 163:4.

71:1, 72:21, 76:19,

it'd [1] - 193:22

222:9, 222:17,

172:8, 173:2, 173:6,

237:21, 238:5, 241:3

54:4, 65:10, 65:11,

112:2, 117:6, 117:7,

213:6, 234:23

177:7, 198:4, 198:8,

212:1, 229:19, 242:6

irrespective [1] -

ish [2] - 139:21,

236:23

138:17

141:23

illustration [1] - 89:6 112:4, 121:10, 189:1, 189:12, interplay [2] - 194:25, 135:3, 161:19, 189:17, 190:15, Illustrative [1] - 78:4 195:3 immaterial [1] - 90:7 222:10 192:6, 192:18, interpret [4] - 105:6, income [2] - 59:5, 192:24, 206:17, 106:16, 224:1, 230:9 immediate [2] -202:7 226:24, 234:24 interpretation [1] -231:12, 232:16 immediately [1] incomplete [2] informed [4] - 37:18, 173:19 95:21. 95:25 67:1. 186:25. 245:2 187:7 interpreted [3] inherently [1] - 172:25 incorrect [2] - 94:11, 44:13, 105:22, impact [3] - 181:2, 94:19 initial [12] - 24:7, 181:4, 238:11 180:24 25:20, 27:6, 56:12, impacted [1] - 177:2 increase [5] - 125:11, interpreting [1] -76:19, 127:13, 125:13, 136:6, 130:15 **impetus** [1] - 233:2 171:5, 204:23 132:24, 175:22, interrupt [1] - 70:15 implement [1] - 208:7 increased [6] - 24:17, 191:21, 225:13, intersection [2] implementation [2] -225:22 45:16, 123:17, 92:19, 93:22 179:5, 179:13 123:19, 142:1, 171:8 initiated [1] - 100:23 **INTERVIEW** [2] - 1:6, implemented [1] incredibly [2] - 26:8, initiative [3] - 164:20, 249:4 122:9 187:14 180:11, 180:13 interview [9] - 7:4, importance [1] - 57:9 incremental [1] input [2] - 59:12, 10:24, 36:20, 39:17, important [3] -170:23 113:13 39:23, 39:25, 160:24, 206:20, indeed [1] - 41:16 inquiry [3] - 44:12, 209:24, 246:25, 246:19 indefinitely [1] -191:15, 192:12 248.8 imposing [1] - 109:19 insight [1] - 185:13 179.12 interviews [1] - 65:25 impossible [1] indemnification [1] instance [12] - 19:12, intimately [1] - 222:16 140:20 186:4 76:25, 99:19, introduce [1] - 80:16 impression [2] independent [2] -100:19, 142:14, Investigating [1] -120:21, 120:24 188:10, 204:22, 101:7, 101:8 105:15 improvement [4] -Independent [2] -219:1, 229:11, investigation [2] -44:24, 45:6, 45:9, 45:25 1:12, 2:5 237:2, 237:10, 7:15, 41:1 240:24 Index [1] - 78:4 INVESTIGATORY [2] in-depth [1] - 46:9 instead [1] - 73:7 index [2] - 78:14, 79:6 1:3, 249:3 inaccurate [4] - 92:5, **institute** [1] - 17:13 indicate [1] - 15:11 95:22, 189:1, 205:9 Investigatory [2] - 2:2, instruct [2] - 9:11, indicated [3] - 14:16. 7:16 inappropriate [9] -10:1 150:20, 183:25 investment [8] - 65:6, 45:23, 93:11, 94:11, instructed [1] - 189:9 individual [5] - 52:5, 75:8, 89:14, 89:16, 94:19, 94:24, 95:21, 58:23, 130:19, instrument [5] -105:8, 166:18, 101:13, 147:18, 142:14, 165:8 88:14, 88:25, 89:7, 167:8, 185:25 229:6 89:13, 108:13 incentive [9] - 33:21, individually [2] - 18:2, investor [2] - 105:20, integrity [2] - 203:8, 44:21 33:24, 34:8, 40:3, 122:11 226:22 43:5, 44:2, 58:18, individuals [6] - 86:5, **Invitation** [1] - 228:12 Integrity [1] - 226:8 125:14, 125:20 100:15, 119:18, invitation [6] - 108:13, intellectual [1] - 23:1 138:23, 143:22, 108:24, 112:5, incentives [2] - 45:8, 191:1 intended [4] - 9:15, 163:10, 163:16, 124:25 induce [1] - 98:8 46:14, 160:17, 228:17 incentivize [1] inducement [3] - 98:2, 224:21 invited [1] - 181:23 125:10 intent [7] - 27:12, 184:9, 201:2 invoice [1] - 81:17 inception [2] - 114:5, 27:17, 61:4, 77:12, industries [1] - 34:7 Invoice [1] - 219:2 189:4 inefficient [1] - 182:12 114:1, 114:2, 131:4 invoices [2] - 211:23, include [7] - 59:4, intention [1] - 166:25 105:5, 108:5, infer [1] - 183:19 218:6 interaction [1] influence [3] - 196:23, involve [3] - 10:3, 152:17, 178:4, 200:17, 202:22 196:24, 232:24 212:17 12:9, 186:22 interactions [1] included [8] - 32:6, informally [2] - 24:14, involved [26] - 22:18, 159:6 33:3, 100:23, 133:4 24:2, 25:18, 74:25, interest [5] - 26:20, 110:21, 113:25, information [26] -78:9, 113:9, 126:5, 26:24, 85:22, 155:25, 156:5, 9:16, 14:11, 51:17, 142:19, 143:7, 109:16, 246:12 175:21 78:9, 96:14, 96:20, 162:6, 162:10, interested [3] - 230:6, includes [2] - 231:11, 142:2, 142:4, 162:14, 162:24, 239:22, 248:17 232:16 142:10, 165:5, 163:3, 163:19, including [10] - 34:4, 165:12, 166:6, interfaced [1] - 23:23 169:4, 183:18, 166:10, 167:7, interim [1] - 180:22 185:10, 200:13, 48:16, 108:11, internal [1] - 63:2 200:18, 210:24, 108:13, 109:23, 167:9, 167:12,

kill [6] - 138:18, 140:9,

140:15, 143:10,

213:5, 213:10, 176:18, 179:9, 171:5, 177:23, 149:7, 152:1, 218:12, 219:8, 180:6, 207:12, 178:2, 178:4, 152:14, 153:4, 156:4 215:14, 221:6, 220:1, 220:11, 179:10, 179:13, 222:8, 222:15, 209:2, 227:2, Jessica's [1] - 152:19 220:16, 220:24, 222:18, 223:1, 227:10, 239:6, 245:2 179:22, 180:10, job [12] - 12:8, 13:25, 221:6, 221:10, 223:24, 224:20, Jason's [5] - 171:9, 180:16, 180:20, 20:17, 20:19, 20:20, 221:12, 221:21, 226:8, 227:14, 172:10, 228:8, 181:24, 182:20, 21:1, 21:22, 22:2, 221:24, 222:1, 227:17, 227:18, 229:20. 232:4 183:1, 183:12, 117:5, 145:6, 223:24, 234:17, JEA [248] - 1:3, 2:11, 227:20, 227:24, 183:21, 184:16, 235:12, 236:6, 147:24, 173:5 228:10, 229:6, 184:18, 184:21, 237:15, 239:4, 7:17, 8:11, 11:15, Joe [1] - 140:19 230:8, 230:10, 186:7, 186:8, 239:12, 240:16, 11:19, 12:3, 12:25, John [2] - 69:7, 120:2 230:25, 231:1, 13:25, 14:6, 14:10, 186:12, 187:4, 244:7 join [2] - 12:25, 15:20 188:13, 188:18, 239:17, 239:18, Jumbo [1] - 236:14 14:13, 14:20, 15:5, joined [1] - 195:21 188:22, 189:2, 240:23, 240:24, June [17] - 11:22, 15:20, 16:10, 17:2, Jon [5] - 26:10, 27:3, 189:10, 189:11, 241:3, 241:7, 11:23, 16:6, 16:12, 17:8, 17:10, 17:14, 27:8, 120:5, 120:6 241:14, 241:16, 189:19, 190:16, 16:15, 31:14, 32:19, 17:22, 18:6, 18:20, Jonathan [2] - 164:11, 241:23, 242:14, 191:19, 192:3, 20:17, 20:19, 20:25, 41:24, 43:13, 47:4, 180:4 242:19, 244:3, 192:6, 194:20, 49:1, 49:9, 49:16, 21:4, 21:21, 22:6, JU [1] - 187:6 244.21 196:12. 197:4. 22:16, 24:3, 26:17, 49:22, 50:4, 74:15, judgment [4] - 173:15, 197:15. 198:3. itself [8] - 25:6, 43:6, 27:13, 28:22, 30:11, 220:2 173:17, 173:20, 198:5, 198:9, 43:7, 44:14, 46:22, 30:13, 31:11, 32:5, jury [1] - 185:22 244:6 86:3, 93:14, 119:11 199:25, 200:1, 32:10, 32:12, 32:19, justified [1] - 219:9 judicial [1] - 228:7 201:21, 202:1, 40:2, 41:9, 41:18, **July** [126] - 11:5, 16:6, 202:14, 202:16, 43:4, 44:1, 44:20, 25:12, 25:13, 25:15, 203:19, 204:6, 45:14, 45:16, 46:6, 25:25, 26:2, 36:23, J.P [9] - 63:1, 63:25, Kapow [1] - 82:10 204:14, 207:17, 47:24, 47:25, 48:3, 39:25, 42:13, 42:15, 72:12, 79:9, 219:25, keep [6] - 181:22, 207:20, 207:21, 48:6, 48:25, 49:10, 42:20, 43:13, 43:16, 220:10, 220:12, 185:11, 201:11, 208:1, 208:9, 49:14, 49:15, 50:4, 43:18, 46:6, 46:9, 221:11, 221:22 216:7, 226:3, 237:6 208:11, 211:19, 51:6, 51:10, 52:6, 46:12, 46:16, 48:4, JAA [1] - 99:20 **Kelly** [6] - 92:14, 93:7, 52:23, 52:25, 57:16, 212:21, 212:25, 48:7, 48:14, 48:15, JACKSONVILLE [2] -96:5, 96:18, 96:21, 213:6, 213:17, 57:17, 58:3, 59:19, 50:16, 51:2, 51:12, 1:2, 249:2 206:3 213:24, 214:10, 59:25, 61:23, 64:2, 53:12, 53:13, 53:18, Jacksonville [30] -Kelly's [1] - 94:5 216:10, 219:11, 64:23, 65:15, 68:14, 54:7, 55:9, 55:11, 1:13, 1:22, 2:6, 2:14, Kendrick [2] - 164:11, 68:20, 68:24, 68:25, 220:1, 220:24, 55:25, 56:15, 56:21, 2:18, 7:15, 8:22, 180:4 69:6, 70:22, 73:7, 223:9, 223:12, 57:19, 58:13, 59:9, 10:13, 11:5, 20:4, kept [1] - 142:25 223:14, 224:12, 75:4, 82:3, 82:21, 59:22, 60:25, 62:12, 21:9, 59:14, 68:19, Kevin [32] - 2:4, 7:13, 225:16, 225:18, 82:25, 85:6, 86:22, 62:14, 63:3, 63:12, 109:25, 112:13, 7:18, 8:4, 8:19, 9:11, 87:10, 88:14, 89:10, 225:20, 225:23, 69:14, 70:10, 74:11, 119:7, 122:15, 47:23, 56:2, 57:18, 226:11, 226:14, 97:21, 98:23, 98:25, 76:13, 79:7, 79:23, 135:2, 137:20, 58:17, 59:24, 88:13, 228:12, 229:7, 99:3, 99:23, 100:15, 80:1, 80:18, 80:20, 144:2, 161:5, 127:6, 133:16, 100:16, 101:15, 229:8, 229:10, 83:24, 83:25, 86:17, 169:21, 185:20, 136:15, 137:19, 102:15, 102:21, 229:13, 230:15, 87:23, 88:11, 90:18, 188:8, 189:6, 144:2, 152:19, 103:6, 103:7, 231:4, 231:7, 231:8, 91:12, 91:25, 92:12, 196:13, 204:22, 161:23, 172:14, 103:21, 104:23, 231:11, 231:17, 94:3, 94:6, 96:5, 223:10, 231:12, 174:15, 207:10, 108:8, 108:10, 231:21, 231:23, 97:17, 100:14, 247:11 232:14, 234:15, 209:20, 210:13, 109:2, 109:17, 101:17, 101:18, Jacksonville.com [1] 210:16, 210:19, 112:13, 116:12, 235:16, 235:24, 101:19, 102:14, - 194:4 212:7, 224:13, 116:14, 119:8, 236:19, 243:8, 102:15, 103:6, January [14] - 13:21, 243:7, 246:6, 246:15 119:21, 121:11, 243:11, 243:18, 104:4, 106:3, 109:4, 24:21, 26:25, 29:10, **KEVIN** [7] - 1:7, 3:6, 122:3, 122:4, 122:6, 243:20, 244:7, 111:18, 111:19, 29:11, 29:14, 29:20, 244:22, 249:3 7:7, 247:6, 248:8, 122:24, 125:12, 111:21, 111:23, 30:5, 30:12, 77:10, JEA's [8] - 8:8, 40:3, 249:4, 249:23 125:21, 126:3, 113:4, 113:10, 77:11, 77:15, 126:6, 128:15, 44:23, 45:5, 136:11, Kevin's [1] - 7:22 113:17, 114:16, 195:23, 195:25 184:12, 191:15, Key [2] - 104:22, 223:7 137:16, 138:1, 115:8, 118:14, Jason [25] - 26:11, 194:10 key [1] - 223:8 139:5, 142:3, 120:15, 121:10, 99:17, 134:22, 144:23, 145:3, Jessica [22] - 55:25, keying [1] - 43:16 154:2, 155:5, 156:9, 134:25, 156:15, 56:13, 80:5, 80:9, 145:6, 151:5, 153:9, kicked [1] - 113:5 175:6, 205:8, 158:9, 159:3, 159:6, 154:15, 160:2, 97:16, 97:17, 98:14, kickoff [2] - 80:13, 206:10, 206:23, 167:22. 168:19. 161:5, 163:9, 164:8, 99:5, 124:7, 126:10, 80:15 207:1. 207:11. 169:14, 170:4,

126:14, 130:6,

133:16, 133:20,

130:7, 130:9,

207:17, 208:18,

209:3, 215:2, 215:8,

164:16, 164:18,

165:23, 170:24,

165:3, 165:7,

171:15, 171:18,

172:2, 172:11,

187:14, 198:25 kind [9] - 21:10, 66:24, 80:17, 193:16, 222:16, 224:22, 225:4, 236:24, 243:16 Kirwan [9] - 112:24, 121:22, 126:10, 135:10, 148:6, 152:4, 153:6, 157:21, 165:2 **Kirwan's** [3] - 148:14, 149:18, 240:2 Kise [12] - 19:7, 19:20, 20:9, 20:16, 21:12, 21:16, 21:19, 23:20, 134:2, 134:16, 222:23 Kise's [1] - 29:1 knowledge [27] -20:17, 21:12, 21:16, 21:18, 27:20, 38:21, 51:16, 53:2, 53:4, 54:12, 76:3, 92:7, 109:10, 113:8, 125:25, 129:17, 129:18, 132:13, 146:1, 155:5, 161:4, 161:9, 176:7, 185:12, 220:18, 233:25, 243:22 known [6] - 14:18, 71:15, 108:22, 199:16, 203:1, 237:7 knows [1] - 26:23 Kort [4] - 41:24, 42:9, 47:3 L

labor [4] - 18:4, 87:6, 222:9, 240:8 laid [1] - 168:16 land [4] - 216:13, 217:21, 228:4, 232:8 language [6] - 44:22, 61:17, 62:5, 74:24, 97:19, 98:18 **Lanny** [4] - 2:3, 97:1, 211:12, 211:16 Lardner [27] - 2:8, 7:22, 9:13, 10:4, 11:4, 12:5, 14:12, 16:9, 21:20, 22:5, 22:6, 25:2, 26:4, 27:18, 28:4, 40:2, 61:2, 82:4, 82:20, 88:23, 112:19, 210:11, 212:23, 218:7, 224:13, 244:20, 244:21

Lardner's [5] - 8:22, 9:3, 18:1, 19:21, 34:13 large [6] - 110:17, 124:21. 137:8. 147:18, 157:19, 158:3 largely [3] - 239:17, 239:18, 242:1 larger [4] - 80:11, 80:12, 110:22, 152:7 largest [1] - 139:4 last [17] - 16:12, 16:15, 25:8, 27:25, 49:23, 51:8, 73:3, 90:17, 94:3, 105:11, 135:20, 154:25, 165:4, 219:1, 239:21, 240:18 late [4] - 11:22, 25:15, 198:1, 240:18 latest [2] - 136:12, 148:17 Laura [1] - 2:13 law [38] - 7:22, 12:12, 12:17, 15:4, 19:19, 23:1, 34:19, 35:1, 35:6, 39:13, 40:4, 41:3, 41:8, 44:15, 44:25, 58:10, 65:1, 65:3, 86:18, 88:16, 112:13, 112:14, 113:14, 117:7, 117:10, 117:12, 122:12, 137:13, 137:22, 157:14, 157:16, 168:11, 168:14, 169:20, 173:19, 186:5, 194:10 Law [1] - 65:3 laws [4] - 65:8, 117:6, 122:7 Lawsikia [15] - 26:10, 26:21, 26:22, 26:24, 27:7, 27:20, 134:22, 156:15, 158:11, 159:6, 167:22, 168:19, 169:14, 207:12, 209:2 lawyer [15] - 85:4, 85:5, 97:12, 128:22, 128:23, 129:2, 137:25, 140:6, 144:1, 240:5, 240:9, 243:19, 244:21,

246:11, 246:15

174:13

lawyer's [2] - 174:5,

lawyers [18] - 25:18,

29:3, 64:1, 65:6, 112:4, 119:15, 119:19, 119:21, 135:15, 169:11, 171:13, 172:7, 172:14, 172:15, 177:25, 198:1, 237:5, 240:8 lay [3] - 103:8, 104:10, 104:16 layman's [1] - 174:19 layoffs [1] - 103:3 lead [4] - 54:3, 54:5, 168:18, 195:17 leaders [1] - 202:7 leadership [32] -68:25, 83:12, 83:17, 84:6, 84:14, 85:13, 91:15, 101:16, 103:6, 126:4, 126:6, 128:15, 137:16, 138:3, 139:5, 141:5, 143:24, 150:23, 158:22, 164:16, 174:2, 175:2, 179:11, 189:10, 202:23, 202:25, 203:14, 208:4, 220:24, 223:10, 239:11, 246:8 Leadership [1] -207:22 leading [5] - 69:9, 72:25, 131:6, 196:17, 196:19 leads [1] - 69:24 learn [7] - 40:16, 40:21, 43:1, 53:7, 55:7, 71:3, 232:23 learned [7] - 38:4, 43:22, 52:15, 55:4, 71:8, 189:8, 199:13 least [11] - 27:12, 79:7, 81:11, 109:24, 132:24, 144:8, 196:23, 201:6, 202:3, 204:15, 218:3 leave [4] - 12:21, 12:24, 98:7, 174:23 leaving [3] - 14:1, 15:4, 16:6 led [10] - 16:8, 17:14, 17:22, 68:10, 68:22, 111:20, 149:11, 163:16, 163:20, 168:23 Lee [1] - 2:12 left [4] - 14:22, 15:11, 20:9, 144:23 legal [19] - 19:17,

39:12, 44:9, 44:10, 86:7, 108:18, 134:25, 135:7, 137:18, 138:17, 143:25, 147:24, 148:1, 169:4, 170:3, 172:8, 173:2, 173:6, 210:24 legality [4] - 46:4, 208:6, 208:7, 208:17 legislation [1] - 241:1 legislative [2] - 67:10, 96:15 legwork [1] - 40:23 lens [1] - 112:11 less [3] - 143:4, 143:5, 237:12 lessons [1] - 189:7 letter [52] - 11:4, 11:7, 23:24, 24:16, 25:10, 25:12, 25:19, 35:4, 35:8, 35:9, 70:2, 122:2, 122:3, 122:8, 122:21, 123:1, 127:6, 127:8, 127:10, 127:16, 134:7, 139:24, 155:25, 156:1, 159:21, 159:25, 160:5, 160:7, 161:1, 161:25, 164:8, 179:7, 179:9, 180:6, 188:17, 188:24, 190:14, 191:6, 193:15, 209:21, 210:9, 210:10, 211:13, 211:24, 212:2, 212:7, 212:10, 215:10, 219:25, 220:16, 233:18 letterhead [2] -209:21, 233:16 level [4] - 98:4, 148:3, 167:9, 195:7 levels [1] - 98:3 Lever [2] - 90:1, 235:14 **liability** [1] - 186:5 license [1] - 19:19 lieutenants [1] -146:12 light [5] - 41:1, 54:16, 185:22, 186:7, 198:23 Light's [1] - 70:25 lightning [1] - 147:11 likely [1] - 217:12 limit [1] - 205:19 limitations [1] - 229:1

limited [11] - 24:7, 108:11, 108:13, 113:13, 206:22, 206:25, 213:2, 226:23, 229:11, 229:12, 237:23 line [6] - 72:14, 72:23, 122:24, 207:19, 225:5, 237:19 LINE [1] - 249:6 lined [1] - 154:19 Lisa [10] - 2:8, 7:21, 7:25, 9:6, 9:7, 9:25, 37:21, 97:11, 210:16, 211:16 list [3] - 109:18, 177:10, 222:23 listed [4] - 79:4, 176:25, 222:2, 222:14 listen [1] - 202:3 listening [4] - 7:23, 94:6, 182:21, 183:2 literally [1] - 46:13 litigation [2] - 23:1, 24:3 litigator [1] - 24:5 live [2] - 82:18, 82:19 lived [1] - 21:9 living [1] - 67:13 LLP [3] - 2:8, 2:12, 82:4 **lobbying** [2] - 212:18, 213:5 lobbyists [1] - 71:11 local [5] - 16:21, 192:17, 214:22, 223:10, 224:25 **LOCATION**[1] - 1:12 lock [1] - 227:10 Logistics [1] - 221:25 logo [3] - 49:14, 49:15, 225:23 long's [1] - 14:7 Long-Term [3] -154:15, 179:14, 188:19 long-term [9] - 17:13, 33:20, 33:24, 34:7, 40:3, 43:5, 46:20, 118:8, 141:8 look [35] - 23:11, 39:19, 40:2, 41:7, 42:16, 46:4, 46:25, 48:2, 48:6, 60:13, 61:3, 72:1, 78:3, 87:14, 105:9, 106:19, 112:1, 113:15, 120:19, 122:24, 127:25,

137:18, 155:24, 109:15, 123:13, mandatory [1] - 166:9 Market [1] - 114:16 М 163:10, 167:2, market [3] - 28:9, 123:15, 128:25, manner [1] - 8:12 ma'am [3] - 207:7, March [16] - 13:3, 167:16, 173:6, 33:21, 187:2 136:17, 154:24, 211:11, 245:25 211:9, 219:1, 221:7, 163:5, 196:12, 13:6, 13:22, 15:25, marks [1] - 107:5 mad [1] - 181:25 225:25, 232:9, Martin [1] - 134:3 198:16, 198:17, 16:5, 30:14, 37:14, mail [74] - 30:4, 30:23, 235:10, 239:21, 38:10, 73:17, 75:14, material [2] - 69:6, 205:21, 211:25, 30:25, 36:3, 36:14, 245:4 225:20, 235:17, 76:2, 76:5, 76:14, 79:25 36:15, 36:16, 42:8, looked [17] - 15:15. 237:4 106:1, 152:20 materials [8] - 46:15, 54:6, 54:13, 54:16, 24:4, 34:9, 34:12, meaning [2] - 154:25, marked [118] - 11:2, 102:15, 115:10, 55:11, 55:24, 55:25, 42:21, 45:10, 60:19, 160:22 11:9, 29:5, 29:7, 155:7, 155:9, 57:11, 57:18, 59:21, 75:7, 92:19, 93:22, meaningful [1] -29:24, 30:2, 30:18, 155:12, 156:5, 61:11, 86:12, 87:22, 111:20, 124:15, 222:25 30:21, 31:8, 31:21, 223:11 88:11, 89:17, 97:16, 147:12, 190:16, 36:2, 36:10, 41:23, Materials [3] - 49:15, means [4] - 21:23, 97:17, 121:21, 191:17, 219:13, 54:21, 102:24, 42:3, 47:1, 47:8, 63:3, 221:21 124:3, 127:17, 235.4 235:18 48:18, 48:21, 49:13, math [2] - 135:17, 130:1, 130:5, 130:7, looking [31] - 7:16, 49:19, 50:10, 50:14, meant [2] - 52:2, 153:16 130:21, 130:22, 16:17, 16:21, 17:3, 55:23, 56:5, 57:10, 105:3 matter [13] - 22:12, 133:15, 133:16, 24:2, 32:24, 43:1, 57:13, 61:10, 62:3, measure [2] - 44:21, 41:20, 80:14, 88:15, 135:9, 136:13, 43:4, 43:19, 45:21, 62:25, 63:6, 81:16, 89:22, 98:4, 134:13, 45:8 136:14, 137:10, 47:15, 62:9, 75:24, 81:20, 86:11, 86:15, measurement[1] -166:2, 189:8, 148:14, 148:17, 78:16, 85:21, 87:16, 88:10, 88:21, 90:9, 45:23 189:17, 192:6, 148:19, 148:22, 111:25, 112:5, 90:12, 97:15, 98:9, measurements [3] -235:19, 236:22 150:10, 151:25, 113:6, 117:7, 101:25, 102:4, 45:24, 50:25, 52:20 MATTER [2] - 1:3, 152:1, 152:20, 117:20, 122:12, 104:17, 104:19, measuring [2] - 45:18, 249:3 152:22, 153:21, 137:12, 152:23, 105:10, 107:19, 45:20 matters [12] - 7:17, 159:16, 159:21, 154:21, 169:4, 107:22, 114:7, media [5] - 228:1, 11:17, 11:18, 14:7, 161:18, 162:16, 169:10, 210:9, 114:9, 117:25, 16:23, 16:24, 18:9, 229:18, 232:1, 165:1, 175:9, 217:25, 223:6, 118:4, 121:20, 232:7, 232:11 18:12, 40:1, 196:22, 175:14, 175:18, 223:22 121:24, 127:2, meet [1] - 175:19 228:4, 243:2 176:15, 179:2, looks [8] - 54:7, 95:10, 129:25, 130:3, meeting [147] - 9:3, maximize [2] - 108:8, 179:8, 181:7, 131:17, 200:6, 133:8, 133:12, 109:5 9:24, 10:6, 10:9, 181:15, 182:25, 200:10, 204:18, 133:14, 133:18, mayor [14] - 77:20, 10:21, 27:9, 31:19, 185:15, 187:12, 228:20, 234:22 135:8, 135:12, 195:22, 195:25, 31:23, 32:3, 32:8, 187:16, 187:20, loop [1] - 181:22 148:24, 151:12, 196:3, 196:5, 196:8, 33:1, 34:2, 35:17, 188:1, 190:5, 194:2, lose [1] - 186:2 151:13, 154:10, 35:22, 42:13, 42:14, 196:14, 196:21, 207:11, 210:6, lost [1] - 213:22 154:13, 156:14, 42:15, 42:20, 43:14, 201:5, 204:14, 216:19 156:19, 159:15, love [1] - 59:11 43:16, 43:17, 43:18, 223:10, 231:11, mailed [3] - 117:18, 159:18, 161:15, low [1] - 202:7 46:10, 46:16, 49:4, 232:16 216:16, 216:22 161:21, 164:4, **LTI**[1] - 33:21 Mayor [7] - 198:17, 49:22, 55:9, 55:10, mails [10] - 50:11, 164:6, 164:25, Lunch [1] - 107:17 63:12, 63:25, 64:24, 199:15, 199:24, 57:25, 86:11, 165:18, 167:18, Lutrin [8] - 55:25, 200:6, 200:10, 65:2, 65:7, 65:14, 148:18, 149:6, 175:8, 175:11, 56:13, 80:5, 97:17, 66:8, 66:13, 66:15, 200:12, 200:25 151:9. 161:16. 178:23, 178:25, 124:8, 126:10, mayor's [4] - 195:20, 67:18, 67:20, 69:14, 194:1, 240:12, 181:6, 181:9, 133:16, 156:4 69:18, 70:11, 71:11, 198:6, 200:19, 243:11 188:16, 190:2, Lynch [1] - 197:10 71:22, 72:17, 73:20, 243:21 main [4] - 12:10, 190:4, 190:8, 191:9, 73:23, 74:3, 74:5, Lynne [28] - 41:25, mayoral [5] - 194:7, 12:11, 219:13, 225:4 191:11, 193:25, 74:11, 74:14, 74:15, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 197:9, 197:17, major [1] - 104:3 194:16, 207:15, 47:2, 47:4, 98:24, 74:16, 74:17, 74:19, 198:14, 202:15 man [1] - 147:1 209:9, 209:12, 79:22, 79:23, 80:4, 99:16, 120:3, 130:5, MAYORAL [1] - 197:9 Management [1] -215:23, 216:1, 130:9, 156:24, 80:7, 81:4, 81:8, McCrea [2] - 85:4, 218:5. 218:9. 157:8, 157:9, 82:3, 82:7, 82:22, 85:5 management [10] -219:24, 220:6, 158:13, 158:14, 83:10, 83:11, 83:23, McKinsey [4] - 214:1, 95:13, 151:7, 158:4, 221:14, 221:18, 159:16, 165:17, 83:24, 83:25, 87:24, 214:4, 214:5, 214:9 182:20, 183:1, 224:2, 224:3, 227:1, 165:23, 168:5, 90:19, 90:24, 91:18, mean [33] - 10:5, 184:12, 188:13, 227:6, 228:11, 175:13, 175:25. 91:22, 92:12, 93:6, 14:21, 15:1, 18:19, 191:15, 202:15, 228:15, 230:14, 101:16, 102:14, 178:9, 190:5, 22:1, 22:14, 27:23, 202:16 230:18, 233:7, 190:20, 191:20, 102:16, 111:6, 36:12, 47:23, 68:4, manager [2] - 67:15, 233:8, 234:6, 111:15, 111:18, 207:12, 209:2 70:15, 81:9, 83:19, 214:22 234:10, 234:13, Lynne's [1] - 130:6 111:20, 111:21, 91:1, 95:2, 103:16, 239:20, 239:25 managing [2] - 8:21, 115:7, 115:11, Lynyrd [1] - 236:13 105:17, 109:7, 20:3

			I	
115:15, 115:17,	150:22, 158:22,	227:20	110:21, 116:20,	<b>move</b> [11] - 8:3, 35:18,
118:21, 119:10,	165:9, 168:5, 175:2,	Merrill [3] - 197:3,	150:13, 182:13,	77:21, 134:15,
119:11, 120:15,	175:16, 177:18,	197:7, 197:9	182:14, 183:14,	135:4, 138:7,
121:10, 121:17,	177:25, 178:4,	message [2] - 87:8,	184:17, 184:22,	140:18, 172:5,
121:18, 133:20,	190:24, 198:5,	202:4	239:13, 240:16	172:12, 179:4,
133:25, 134:5,	198:7, 206:1, 206:8,		millions [1] - 145:13	189:23
	<i>'</i> ' ' '	messages [2] - 151:2,		
134:22, 154:2,	226:19, 227:3,	243:12	mind [4] - 101:12,	moved [7] - 39:21,
168:18, 168:22,	227:23, 229:23,	Messrs [1] - 91:15	133:6, 137:2, 137:4	76:14, 76:24, 77:9,
168:23, 169:1,	231:12, 232:16,	<b>met</b> [16] - 37:4, 44:15,	mine [2] - 13:12, 112:9	77:17, 77:19
169:2, 169:13,	243:20, 244:25,	45:13, 74:9, 74:10,	minimum [1] - 111:13	<b>moving</b> [6] - 26:17,
169:15, 169:19,	246:8	74:13, 111:10,	minute [4] - 8:16,	77:10, 169:7, 170:9,
169:24, 170:4,	memo [27] - 35:2,	111:13, 112:12,	14:23, 151:16,	170:16, 179:24
175:5, 176:3,	35:3, 36:8, 36:19,	116:15, 134:5,	213:22	<b>MR</b> [64] - 3:7, 7:12,
176:18, 176:21,	36:21, 37:10, 37:18,	191:4, 191:22,	minutes [4] - 48:25,	7:21, 8:5, 8:15, 8:18,
178:16, 179:16,	38:5, 38:6, 39:18,	191:23, 193:2,	187:12, 187:16,	9:14, 9:19, 9:21,
186:7, 186:9,	40:19, 42:20, 42:25,	214:14	205:8	10:5, 10:8, 10:14,
186:10, 189:19,	43:8, 43:23, 44:13,	metadata [2] - 90:17,	Miriam [1] - 98:24	10:20, 11:1, 33:11,
189:21, 191:22,	46:2, 46:8, 86:24,	94:2	miserably [1] - 203:15	33:15, 33:17, 37:21,
191:25, 192:1,	157:2, 165:14,	meter [1] - 110:6	misheard [1] - 210:13	37:23, 38:1, 48:1,
193:5, 193:18,	167:25, 168:15,	Michael [45] - 64:3,	mispronounced [1] -	64:5, 64:7, 64:9,
194:9, 200:22,	229:20, 232:4,	70:8, 70:9, 70:12,	36:24	64:10, 94:14, 94:22,
205:9, 205:10,	244:16, 244:17	112:24, 121:22,	missed [1] - 135:17	97:7, 97:11, 97:14,
206:10, 206:16,	memoranda [3] - 39:8,	122:1, 123:23,		107:7, 107:10,
207:18, 209:3,	157:11, 208:17	123:24, 124:3,	misunderstood [1] -	107:15, 107:18,
220:2, 220:11,	memorandum [40] -		96:10	114:12, 114:14,
220:21, 222:3,	36:7, 36:17, 37:2,	124:12, 124:15,	modified [1] - 90:18	151:15, 151:18,
225:8, 225:9,	37:3, 37:8, 38:3,	124:19, 126:10,	moment [1] - 64:5	i i
225:11, 228:2,	40:7, 40:17, 40:25,	126:14, 126:16,	money [5] - 26:21,	151:24, 174:10,
228:6, 237:17,		126:25, 128:19,	139:7, 166:20,	174:18, 181:12,
237:20, 238:23,	41:5, 41:9, 41:17,	135:10, 136:16,	172:24, 201:9	181:14, 207:7,
244:24	41:21, 41:24, 42:9,	136:17, 148:6,	month [7] - 111:23,	207:9, 209:6, 209:8,
	42:12, 42:14, 43:2,	148:13, 148:19,	218:17, 218:21,	210:8, 210:15,
Meeting [2] - 31:11,	43:12, 44:18, 47:2,	149:1, 149:12,	218:24, 219:3, 219:9	211:11, 211:16,
49:1	47:16, 51:12, 54:6,	149:18, 149:24,	months [4] - 30:16,	211:20, 212:6,
meetings [12] - 63:10,	156:15, 156:21,	150:1, 150:3,	187:13, 187:21,	223:5, 224:8,
63:13, 63:14, 63:16,	157:18, 158:2,	150:12, 150:13,	215:6	233:11, 233:14,
80:10, 163:24,	158:7, 158:8,	150:15, 152:4,	Moody [3] - 127:9,	239:2, 243:4, 243:6,
186:22, 202:2,	167:20, 167:24,	153:6, 153:22,	134:1, 134:5	245:20, 245:25,
222:8, 222:15,	168:18, 169:10,	157:21, 165:2,	Morgan [19] - 49:14,	246:3, 246:21
225:2, 238:20	207:11, 207:18,	165:3, 165:25,	50:1, 57:20, 63:1,	<b>MS</b> [43] - 8:1, 8:6, 9:9,
meets [2] - 72:14,	207:24, 208:5,	166:15, 201:20,	63:25, 64:1, 72:12,	9:18, 10:1, 10:7,
186:20	227:2, 227:11	240:2, 240:7, 240:10	72:13, 79:9, 220:1,	10:11, 10:16, 10:23,
Melissa [18] - 122:11,	memorandums [1] -	Michael's [8] - 123:3,	220:10, 220:12,	33:9, 33:14, 33:16,
138:10, 138:14,	61:1	124:23, 126:7,		37:20, 37:22, 37:25,
139:13, 139:14,	memorialize [1] -	126:21, 128:21,	220:13, 221:11, 221:22	47:23, 96:24, 97:8,
139:17, 140:1,	209:2	128:22, 129:13,		97:13, 114:11,
140:6, 143:2, 143:9,	memos [2] - 34:23,	129:15	morning [8] - 7:18,	114:13, 148:10,
143:11, 143:23,	43:20	microphones [1] - 8:3	8:19, 39:5, 46:4,	151:21, 151:23,
158:6, 158:15,	men [2] - 39:6, 185:19	mid [2] - 13:3, 13:22	49:8, 181:19,	174:8, 174:17,
173:8, 173:9,	mention [4] - 17:15,	middle [1] - 72:5	181:23, 185:8	181:11, 181:13,
173:25, 199:5	17:17, 19:7, 176:20	midpoint [1] - 89:11	most [6] - 52:16,	207:4, 210:4,
member [13] - 12:4,	mentioned [9] - 16:18,	•	112:23, 141:14,	210:12, 211:10,
81:9, 194:24, 198:3,	16:20, 17:6, 19:12,	might [10] - 18:25,	143:23, 160:24,	211:12, 211:22,
198:19, 202:23,	65:9, 100:1, 111:9,	19:10, 61:23, 87:20,	166:8	214:3, 224:5, 224:7,
202:25, 230:2,		98:6, 100:17, 110:6,	motivation [1] -	233:10, 233:13,
231:4, 231:25,	176:24, 246:4	112:23, 144:23,	125:14	243:25, 245:24,
	mentioning [1] -	169:17	motive [1] - 202:24	· · · · · ·
232:5, 233:22, 244:22	172:17	<b>Milestones</b> [1] - 72:9	<b>Mousa</b> [7] - 9:4, 10:9,	246:1, 246:4
	merely [1] - 215:16	<b>Miller</b> [5] - 161:13,	10:12, 10:22,	Mullins [3] - 2:12,
members [29] - 93:12,	merger [2] - 64:22,	194:9, 197:4,	199:20, 243:23,	210:18, 211:2
98:25, 142:14,	105:8	197:13, 197:14	244:2	multi [1] - 210:5
142:23, 143:24,	merits [2] - 227:17,	million [11] - 109:25,	Mousa's [1] - 199:18	multi-page [1] - 210:5
		l .	l .	I

municipal [4] - 60:10, 105:7, 106:18 municipal-owned [1] -106:18 municipally [5] -75:10, 105:19, 105:23, 106:13, 106:23 municipally-owned [5] - 75:10, 105:19, 105:23, 106:13, 106:23 Munoz [2] - 84:22, 85:9 Munz [3] - 64:3, 70:8, 201:20 must [2] - 15:5, 210:13 mute [1] - 9:9

Ν name [7] - 36:24, 64:14, 84:21, 197:16, 236:24, 237:5, 237:6 named [1] - 237:14 names [1] - 236:19 narrow [1] - 87:21 nature [4] - 89:1, 96:25, 177:23, 246:18 NDA [2] - 162:2, 162:18 nearly [1] - 196:22 necessarily [1] -171:23 necessary [5] - 19:11, 104:9, 152:13, 246:12, 246:16 need [14] - 13:24, 14:5, 14:6, 58:11, 84:9, 96:1, 104:10, 122:8, 134:16, 166:19, 185:22, 189:13, 205:1, 214:11 needed [5] - 52:12, 111:10. 149:8. 177:20, 189:24 needs [1] - 26:16 negative [1] - 223:12 **negatively** [1] - 40:9 negotiate [5] - 108:14, 108:24, 112:5, 163:10, 163:16 Negotiate [1] - 228:13 negotiating [16] -86:5, 109:13, 142:15, 163:25,

164:1, 165:10, 166:8, 167:6, 167:14, 194:22, 194:23, 197:5, 198:4, 198:7, 198:18, 198:20 negotiation [4] -76:21, 164:2, 166:2, 233:22 negotiations [4] -163:17, 163:19, 163:22, 166:6 negotiators [1] -198:10 neighborhood [1] -240:15 Nelson [3] - 2:12, 210:18, 211:2 net [4] - 89:9, 89:13, 109:23, 136:11 never [21] - 34:12, 35:9, 53:24, 54:10, 55:1, 55:3, 60:15, 134:5, 134:11, 144:25, 145:9, 145:11, 160:10, 160:12. 170:19. 178:11, 180:7, 201:24, 208:12, 220:8, 236:18 New [3] - 16:19, 16:22, 28:10 new [3] - 83:16, 235:16, 235:19 news [5] - 71:5, 71:8, 194:3, 217:6, 228:1 newspaper [2] - 17:7, 217:10 next [11] - 25:8, 58:14, 62:13, 95:9, 149:3, 150:14, 182:19, 186:12, 227:22, 231:10, 238:15 NextEra [4] - 70:25, 199:18, 199:20, 223:15 niceties [1] - 58:9 night [1] - 197:23 Nixon [10] - 36:3, 37:3, 37:10, 37:18, 38:3, 38:6, 40:25, 41:4, 41:5, 41:17 nobody [4] - 93:4, 93:21, 93:22, 146:15 noise [1] - 38:18 Noller [1] - 2:8 **NOLLER** [43] - 8:1, 8:6, 9:9, 9:18, 10:1, 10:7, 10:11, 10:16,

10:23, 33:9, 33:14,

33:16, 37:20, 37:22, 37:25, 47:23, 96:24, 97:8, 97:13, 114:11, 114:13, 148:10, 151:21, 151:23, 174:8, 174:17, 181:11, 181:13, 207:4, 210:4, 210:12, 211:10, 211:12, 211:22, 214:3, 224:5, 224:7, 233:10, 233:13, 243:25, 245:24, 246:1, 246:4 non [1] - 161:17 non-disclosure [1] -161:17 nondisclosure [1] -162:23 Nongovernment [1] -105:15 nongovernment [1] -105:17 nontraditional [8] -64:21, 70:12, 70:21, 74:21, 74:23, 105:3, 106:21, 108:4 Nontraditional [1] -104:22 normal [2] - 52:7, 62:8 North [1] - 2:9 **NOT** [1] - 249:2 Notary [3] - 1:15, 247:5, 247:19 note [1] - 236:4 notebook [3] - 191:1, 191:5, 193:1 notes [3] - 49:11, 63:2, 248:11 nothing [5] - 50:8, 58:18, 60:23, 62:20, 187:24 notice [8] - 13:5, 14:3, 89:21, 181:23, 205:20, 215:1, 229:22, 230:5 notified [1] - 180:5 **notify** [3] - 24:10, 24:12, 134:16 notion [2] - 170:17, 170:21 novel [4] - 170:15, 172:22, 172:23 November [26] -24:15, 35:17, 35:22, 73:3, 76:10, 134:14, 134:20, 165:8, 168:22, 168:23, 168:25, 169:2, 176:18, 176:22,

178:17, 179:8, 179:10, 180:3, 180:4, 181:1, 181:18, 185:6, 186:7, 186:9, 244:24 November's [1] -147:8 number 1331 - 32:5. 48:16, 50:16, 58:23, 64:1, 67:8, 79:2, 83:22, 83:25, 88:20, 103:3, 103:4, 109:14, 117:1, 122:15, 122:19, 123:4, 123:6, 130:19, 130:25, 131:13, 131:19, 151:21, 156:25, 166:1, 166:23, 170:13, 176:12, 177:10, 235:22, 235:24, 236:2, 236:4 Number [2] - 104:22, 230:16 NUMBER [2] - 249:6 numbered [13] -33:11, 33:13, 49:23, 72:2, 72:3, 105:9, 114:25, 115:23, 119:3, 130:24, 225:24, 228:24 numbering [1] -209:18 numbers [8] - 72:4, 78:18, 124:6, 124:12, 139:4, 152:7, 195:18, 245:3 numeral [1] - 227:14 0

o'clock [5] - 59:22, 88:12, 152:20, 185:16, 197:23 **08-16-19** [1] - 133:10 OATH [1] - 247:1 oath [1] - 7:9 **objection** [1] - 121:12 **objections** [2] - 97:3, 97:5 objectives [3] - 72:15, 72:17, 72:20 observation [5] -125:5, 129:22, 148:9, 149:18, 150:12 observations [6] -90:6, 122:20, 123:4, 124:24, 129:13, 202:11

observed [1] - 89:19

obvious [1] - 240:5 obviously [14] - 17:1, 26:15, 35:13, 38:18, 52:14, 53:16, 70:21, 103:24. 110:17. 136:19, 137:10, 149:13, 162:7, 193:20 occasion [2] - 19:11, 138:15 occasions [2] - 22:11, 86:2 occur [10] - 69:19, 73:24, 80:1, 93:10, 95:14, 111:11, 116:11, 116:12, 128:5, 171:4 occurred [7] - 25:14, 73:1, 73:24, 96:5, 114:5, 153:24, 180:18 October [32] - 35:2, 35:15, 43:20, 139:21, 141:23, 147:8, 155:24, 159:20, 162:16, 164:9. 164:11. 164:15, 165:2, 166:16, 167:23, 175:14, 176:11, 178:15, 209:21, 210:11, 212:8, 214:24, 215:3, 215:4, 217:1, 218:3, 218:16, 218:19, 218:21, 219:8, 244.17 October-ish [2] -139:21, 141:23 **OF** [8] - 1:6, 2:1, 247:1, 247:2, 247:3, 248:3, 248:4, 249:4 Off-the-record [2] -209:7, 223:4 offered [2] - 15:6, 166:4 offering [3] - 30:5, 122:3, 165:7 Office [22] - 2:15, 2:16, 11:4, 11:16, 11:20, 23:24, 35:5, 38:7, 97:20, 99:1,

119:6, 133:21,

177:13, 207:25,

208:10, 233:16,

242:11, 242:18,

office [30] - 8:22, 9:3,

12:18, 13:12, 13:14,

243:1

135:2, 168:6, 169:6,

	1			1
13:22, 18:13, 19:21,	88:20, 106:20,	203:9, 232:10,	113:14, 114:18	Paragraph [1] -
23:25, 35:18, 35:21,	110:7, 112:22,	232:11, 244:15	overcome [1] - 186:23	124:24
59:14, 67:16, 67:17,	112:24, 120:25,	opinions [1] - 87:21		
	123:19, 128:4,	-	overnight [1] - 83:3	paragraph [23] -
134:17, 160:6,	129:10, 130:9,	opponents [1] -	overstated [1] - 22:1	39:19, 44:19, 61:13,
160:25, 175:16,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	166:24	overturn [1] - 189:25	88:25, 102:21,
176:11, 176:17,	133:4, 138:15,	opportunity [7] -	Overview [1] - 224:9	108:6, 108:21,
177:1, 178:15,	141:1, 144:11,	10:25, 99:22,	<b>own</b> [2] <b>-</b> 86:6, 189:7	109:18, 111:3,
178:19, 179:3,	152:24, 153:15,	100:17, 101:10,	<b>owned</b> [9] - 75:10,	119:3, 119:4, 121:3,
181:18, 198:6,	153:16, 156:11,	125:12, 202:8, 230:7	105:19, 105:20,	124:23, 127:19,
211:5, 222:3,	157:2, 157:10,	opposed [4] - 19:18,	105:23, 106:13,	132:7, 182:4,
222:21, 243:16	161:9, 162:1,	101:7, 167:8, 192:16	106:18, 106:23,	182:19, 182:23,
officer [11] - 10:13,	162:20, 162:21,	optics [1] - 198:22	106:24, 187:4	186:12, 226:9,
12:3, 15:5, 15:17,	167:22, 169:16,	option [3] - 58:17,	ownership [1] - 75:5	227:13, 229:25
108:7, 131:14,	170:13, 171:24,	170:18, 208:3		paralegal [1] - 210:25
146:23, 153:5,	181:3, 184:7,	options [3] - 58:7,	l P	parameters [3] -
180:5, 194:18	184:10, 186:19,	86:21, 207:21	<u>-</u>	130:23, 130:25,
offices [1] - 222:15	189:25, 192:17,	Orange [2] - 66:24,	<b>p.m</b> [6] <b>-</b> 1:11, 57:19,	232:5
official [4] - 163:6,	209:14, 210:2,	83:8	161:25, 162:17,	paraphrasing [1] -
163:8, 194:7, 247:10	210:6, 221:1,	order [3] - 111:11,	197:1, 246:25	38:5
officially [1] - 74:4	223:11, 223:14,	136:6, 226:22	package [9] - 104:25,	Parde [4] - 41:24,
officials [2] - 14:17,	223:16, 224:19,	organization [8] -	107:21, 114:19,	42:9, 47:3
237:20	224:24, 226:17,		115:12, 115:14,	pardon [2] - 12:10,
offsite [1] - 238:20	237:8, 240:10,	50:17, 174:6,	117:14, 117:19,	15:8
• •	241:25, 246:2	174:14, 174:20,	118:22, 206:9	
often [3] - 22:15,	One [3] - 1:12, 2:5,	246:9, 246:13	page [63] - 24:6, 25:8,	paren [11] - 122:7,
174:10, 186:20	166:16	Organizational [2] -	27:25, 33:7, 33:9,	122:8, 122:10,
<b>OGC</b> [45] - 24:10,	one-third [3] - 23:16,	63:3, 221:21	33:12, 33:18, 44:1,	122:11, 162:20,
24:13, 25:20, 25:23,	23:17	organize [1] - 74:20	44:18, 49:23, 72:1,	162:21, 183:3,
38:7, 38:21, 41:15,		organized [1] - 70:22	72:2, 72:3, 72:4,	183:4, 183:14,
41:16, 41:21, 42:9,	one-time [1] - 128:4	original [2] - 56:14,	72:9, 73:12, 75:11,	235:22
47:13, 65:25, 98:23,	ones [6] - 24:4, 56:13,	64:13	78:3, 78:16, 78:18,	parent [1] - 70:25
99:4, 99:10, 99:24,	69:3, 142:24,	originally [3] - 54:1,		parentheses [1] -
99:25, 100:5,	169:16, 198:9	62:10, 100:22	78:21, 88:15, 88:17,	136:2
100:15, 100:20,	<b>op</b> [4] - 44:11, 75:7,	originated [2] - 22:12,	104:21, 105:9,	parenthesis [3] -
101:8, 101:11,	105:8, 105:21	178:6	105:11, 105:24,	183:10, 183:11,
119:16, 119:19,	<b>open</b> [6] - 65:7, 65:8,	origination [1] - 22:14	106:6, 106:25,	189:18
119:21, 157:12,	142:16, 189:3,	otherwise [4] -	114:25, 115:4,	parenthetical [1] -
158:25, 159:22,	194:6, 205:3	138:25, 139:8,	115:23, 121:1,	235:15
160:1, 177:18,	opening [1] - 39:19	147:11, 166:11	130:11, 130:24,	Park [2] - 66:24, 83:9
178:1, 178:4,	operate [2] - 103:1,	ought [1] - 110:18	132:7, 210:5, 210:6,	part [41] - 10:9, 19:6,
179:12, 179:24,	195:9	_	210:9, 218:11,	19:17, 40:5, 40:6,
207:19, 208:16,	operated [1] - 70:23	ourself [1] - 204:24	218:12, 218:14,	46:19, 61:25, 65:16,
208:19, 209:3,	operating [4] - 52:25,	ourselves [1] - 80:17	218:15, 219:1,	65:21, 80:8, 80:23,
209:17, 209:20,	103:18, 105:7,	out-of-towners [1] -	221:22, 221:24,	82:17, 83:17, 83:19,
210:17, 211:3,	146:23	83:7	222:24, 223:6,	84:3, 95:22, 102:14,
244:25	operation [1] - 15:16	outcome [1] - 186:5	225:25, 226:7,	102:15, 103:20,
old [1] - 85:8	operative [1] - 44:12	outlandish [1] - 200:2	227:10, 227:22,	104:25, 106:21,
<b>ON</b> [3] - 1:3, 249:2,	opine [4] - 101:14,	outline [1] - 190:21	228:23, 228:24,	
249:3	103:23, 157:25,	outlined [1] - 88:14	230:5, 234:3,	107:21, 111:5, 114:18, 118:21,
once [2] - 75:16, 113:6	220:18	outrageous [1] -	235:10, 239:21	
one [81] - 8:3, 9:17,		199:24	Page [2] - 3:5, 4:2	138:22, 143:3,
	opining [1] - 134:18	outside [15] - 52:7,	PAGE [1] - 249:6	155:7, 155:8, 163:1,
17:5, 17:6, 17:9,	opinion [26] - 18:11,	62:8, 73:24, 75:8,	pages [7] - 33:10,	163:4, 163:25,
23:3, 23:16, 23:17,	23:25, 35:6, 35:12,	105:8, 105:22,	78:15, 102:8,	169:15, 184:7,
25:17, 26:6, 31:15,	35:21, 39:20, 122:4,	118:20, 121:11,	206:12, 220:2,	203:14, 213:12,
34:23, 43:3, 50:11,	123:2, 128:21,	150:23, 189:11,	225:24, 248:10	215:14, 229:2,
51:18, 52:14, 55:4,	129:2, 135:7,	195:10, 198:5,	paid [8] - 24:20, 24:21,	236:15, 239:12
55:5, 55:8, 57:21,	140:18, 140:19,	208:1, 208:19, 228:5	26:24, 58:10, 70:24,	parte [2] - 226:10,
60:9, 64:3, 64:4,	143:25, 144:8,	outspoken [2] - 200:4,	199:20, 201:22,	230:24
64:19, 65:1, 69:3,	147:5, 158:23,	200:5	218:22	Parte [2] - 229:4,
74:4, 75:6, 81:5,	158:24, 160:18,	outstanding [1] - 24:3	paint [1] - 223:12	230:23
84:8, 86:1, 88:19,	160:19, 203:8,	overall [3] - 44:23,	panit [1] - 223.12 paper [1] - 186:6	participant [1] -
		[-]	Paper [1] - 100.0	
	1	I	I	I.

130:19 184:6 68:14, 89:12, 89:15, 128:12, 132:5, phrased [1] - 196:4 participants [7] - 59:3, pay [9] - 14:12, 82:20, 104:3, 104:10, pick [1] - 192:10 137:3, 137:9, 139:2, 110:23, 128:5, 145:2, 183:12, 116:1, 116:8, 139:23, 142:5, picture [1] - 223:12 139:2, 165:8, 184:11, 184:17, 116:16, 116:21, 144:16, 145:6, piece [8] - 139:4, 165:12, 167:15 184:18, 184:21, 130:25, 131:14, 146:6, 146:8, 154:3, 163:4, 163:5, participate [18] -218:20 131:25, 135:22, 168:13, 170:23, 157:20, 158:3, 99:10, 99:22, 100:5, 135:23, 182:18, 159:4, 160:4, paying [2] - 70:6, 171:2, 171:7, 171:8 183:13, 241:23 169:20, 170:2, 100:16, 100:18, 218:20 pieces [2] - 153:16, 100:21, 116:16, 170:18, 172:16, percentage [1] - 136:7 payment [7] - 27:19, 157:1 174:25, 175:20, 119:16, 125:13, perform [3] - 19:18, 61:20, 61:23, 89:2, pig [1] - 188:11 145:12, 166:9, 50:19, 124:13 175:23, 177:6, 89:15, 152:18, 202:6 Pig [1] - 188:2 166:11, 167:5, 177:14, 177:24, performance [34] payoff [1] - 152:17 pigs [1] - 188:6 179:13, 179:20, 177:14, 177:18, payout [21] - 87:19, 44:22, 45:17, 50:19, Pillars [1] - 50:1 179:21, 180:21, 177:22, 226:12, 91:10, 91:16, 50:21, 50:23, 50:24, Pills [1] - 57:2 185:23, 185:25, 226:24 110:22, 116:24, 50:25, 51:14, 51:15, Pillsbury [30] - 16:20, 186:3, 188:21, participated [5] -123:6, 136:1, 136:8, 51:24, 52:3, 52:6, 25:16, 25:17, 53:2, 189:2, 189:5, 52:20, 53:14, 54:11, 177:24, 178:16, 137:2, 137:9, 53:15, 53:22, 53:25, 189:17. 190:22. 184:13, 222:7, 137:15, 144:20, 54:19, 57:16, 57:17, 54:2, 54:5, 55:5, 192:13. 193:16. 226:15 147:18, 148:19, 58:6, 59:25, 61:14, 55:7, 56:1, 56:12, 198:23, 201:13, participating [2] -150:13, 153:17, 90:16, 118:8, 120:9, 56:22, 57:1, 57:3, 202:6, 204:1, 205:9, 89:3, 119:5 122:2, 122:25, 159:5, 172:17, 61:7, 62:16, 64:2, 244:11, 245:4 particular [16] - 11:13, 185:25, 240:11, 131:15, 175:20, 65:6, 112:8, 113:16, planned [1] - 160:10 17:18, 43:6, 79:3, 245:3 175:23, 176:13, 156:4, 162:5, 86:4, 101:7, 129:16, 177:1, 177:4, 179:5 **planning** [1] - 223:23 payout's [1] - 95:10 166:19, 182:10, 137:13, 141:25, payouts [11] - 90:15, Performance [4] -Planning [2] - 207:19, 182:13, 192:16, 115:1, 154:16, 207:21 142:5, 159:7, 90:23, 91:1, 92:8, 214:2, 214:5 168:13, 206:1, 179:14, 188:19 plans [8] - 33:21, 124:21, 128:9, Pine [1] - 82:12 212:2, 232:15, 243:2 performed [3] - 10:3, 33:25, 42:21, 47:5, 128:12, 153:7, place [5] - 39:3, 58:11, 58:18, 61:18, 62:6 particularity [1] -157:20, 158:4, 239:14, 241:25 58:24, 126:1, 224:19 66:18 perhaps [2] - 73:3, **play** [2] - 96:2, 116:13 199:15 placed [1] - 110:11 particularly [11] payroll [1] - 164:17 182:1 pleased [1] - 230:7 placement [1] pays [2] - 135:23, **plus** [3] - 104:1, 12:17, 16:20, 16:22, period [14] - 12:8, 122:10 13:5, 13:18, 63:12, 123:19, 135:20 47:13, 56:13, 86:19, 184:7 placing [1] - 21:21 103:9, 112:1, 120:3, 80:21, 81:6, 113:2, pocket [2] - 40:17, Peabody [10] - 36:4, plaintiff [1] - 186:23 113:3, 116:19, 169:5, 244:16 37:3, 37:10, 37:18, 172:24 Plan [5] - 122:25, 120:22, 227:16, parties [6] - 7:3, 85:1, 38:3, 38:6, 40:25, pockets [1] - 139:7 154:16, 179:14, 184:5, 184:10, 231:1, 234:17 41:4, 41:5, 41:17 point [28] - 10:24, 188:19, 204:22 230:6, 248:14 peers [1] - 33:22 perjury [1] - 249:20 30:10, 35:24, 36:1, plan [109] - 17:13, parties' [1] - 248:15 Peggy [1] - 239:3 permanently [1] - 21:8 37:13, 48:3, 50:3, 34:14, 34:19, 39:14, partner [13] - 8:21, permit [2] - 44:23, 53:5, 53:21, 63:22, penalized [1] - 184:24 40:3, 41:8, 43:5, 18:12, 19:8, 19:20, penalties [1] - 249:20 45:4 64:3, 64:4, 86:25, 43:6, 43:8, 43:18, 20:3, 22:13, 23:18, person [9] - 22:12, 98:15, 112:24, pension [1] - 241:1 44:14, 44:15, 45:3, 63:23, 97:1, 137:22, 123:18, 124:8, 115:25, 128:19, people [32] - 19:14, 46:5, 46:8, 46:11, 195:6, 222:16, 138:2, 165:21, 158:2, 168:9, 23:9, 26:11, 54:23, 46:13, 46:14, 46:20, 241:24 167:4, 167:5, 169:16, 180:19, 55:12, 63:19, 63:20, 46:22, 46:23, 48:7, partners [7] - 22:5, 229:17, 229:18 181:1, 195:20, 67:16, 67:17, 77:3, 48:17, 51:14, 53:14, 22:18, 22:22, 57:21, 202:17, 204:10, personal [6] - 146:24, 78:9, 78:11, 80:13, 54:8, 54:11, 55:17, 211:7, 238:2 111:25, 141:9, 242:5 186:4, 187:22, 82:18, 83:4, 98:6, 56:22, 57:3, 57:17, parts [1] - 157:1 240:25, 242:1 points [5] - 183:8, 103:4, 104:16, 58:22, 61:22, 62:7, party [4] - 132:13, personally [6] - 134:2, 183:25, 223:8, 109:12, 109:14, 62:14, 65:18, 65:19, 223:19, 223:21 184:7, 184:10, 229:5 138:4, 162:12, 109:15, 119:4, 66:10, 87:8, 87:13, 162:13, 247:7 policymakers [1] pass [3] - 27:6, 200:9, 141:4, 167:13, 90:16, 90:23, 91:5, 96:14 persuade [1] - 201:6 208:16 168:14, 169:3, 91:10, 91:16, 92:9, political [6] - 59:18, passed [4] - 201:4, Phillips [7] - 26:10, 174:1, 194:2, 204:8, 92:16, 92:20, 93:23, 140:19, 147:15, 204:25, 209:4, 205:2, 231:15, 27:3, 27:8, 27:22, 112:20, 118:2, 166:23, 187:10, 211:19 232:24 27:23, 120:5, 120:7 118:8, 118:19, 200:1 past [1] - 187:2 Per [1] - 97:18 phone [1] - 138:14 118:25, 119:1, pat [1] - 185:14 phrase [4] - 17:20, politically [1] - 138:16 per [3] - 81:18, 89:14, 119:16, 120:23, Path [1] - 105:14 89:15 170:14, 188:7, 121:13, 122:2, pool [7] - 58:25, 116:1, 116:7, path [2] - 166:19, percent [18] - 22:14, 195:13 128:6, 128:9,

116:16, 116:20, premium [1] - 89:12 primary [3] - 73:2, 141:25, 142:6, 218:15 117:8, 120:8 76:9, 76:10 142:13, 142:20, preparation [6] professionals [9] poorly [4] - 203:16, 31:18, 49:3, 102:19, primer [1] - 65:2 142:25, 144:3, 121:12, 183:3, 203:17, 204:1 114:21, 179:16, principal [3] - 22:13, 147:10, 147:11, 218:13, 220:25, Port [1] - 99:21 208.2 150:24, 181:2, 221:3, 221:5, 23:9, 34:17 portion [8] - 24:22, prepare [3] - 84:19, principally [3] -181:5, 184:9, 243:13, 243:14 186:19, 187:5, Profile [1] - 106:8 69:6, 138:24, 225:12, 238:19 112:15, 112:25, 189:13, 195:21, 202:18 profit [1] - 166:17 169:23, 200:22, prepared [8] - 32:5, 196:4, 196:12, 204:1, 228:20, 79:7, 79:16, 113:16, principals [1] - 74:24 program [12] - 39:12, 196:13, 196:15, 234:19 115:4, 172:11, **print** [1] - 220:3 39:14, 44:4, 44:9, 196:18, 196:19, posed [3] - 156:25, 201:21, 209:23 private [9] - 18:23, 45:5, 58:5, 87:19, 200:13, 201:18, 157:5, 234:2 Prepared [1] - 31:11 51:19, 78:1, 122:9, 116:13, 128:3, 203:12, 203:13, posing [1] - 124:16 preparing [4] - 7:20, 171:25, 186:1, 128:4, 128:6, 138:21 203:15, 204:18, position [4] - 15:4, 79:24, 85:20, 176:2 186:16, 186:21, **Program** [2] - 31:9, 205:4, 205:17, 89:13, 136:11, present [2] - 106:22, 115:1 193:14 213:3, 213:5, 165:11 programs [1] - 44:2 230:7 Privatization [1] - 50:2 213:10, 221:6, positions [1] - 174:2 presentation [7] privatization [13] prohibit [1] - 231:17 222:9. 223:1. possession [1] -33:4, 79:25, 80:19, 50:6, 69:1, 75:1, prohibited [2] - 229:5, 223:17. 223:24. 166:5 105:1, 106:17, 75:3, 103:22, 105:4, 230:24 224:20, 224:22, prohibition [1] possibility [2] - 19:13, 114:19, 224:21 108:2, 108:5, 163:5, 225:10, 226:23, presentations [1] -226:10 75.8 163:13, 163:17, 226:24, 226:25, 225:3 163:21, 243:20 prohibits [1] - 86:20 possible [6] - 17:10, 227:24, 230:3, 116:13, 186:5, Presented [1] - 207:21 privilege [5] - 8:8, 8:9, Project [5] - 63:2, 232:22, 232:24, 188:15, 205:20, 64:12, 69:14, presented [8] - 39:16, 9:10, 10:10, 10:21 242:5, 244:3, 244:21 221:20, 236:9 222:5 46:16, 102:7, 106:3, **privileged** [1] - 9:16 process/time [1] possibly [2] - 45:8, 208:3, 208:18, pro [1] - 236:5 project [6] - 64:14, 72:14 182:15 244:7, 244:11 proactive[1] - 189:17 64:17, 64:18, 65:10, processes [1] - 76:1 postpone [1] - 179:12 president [3] - 137:20, problem [2] - 87:13, 76:5, 237:5 processing [1] potential [12] - 89:6, 185:20, 187:6 144:12 projected [1] - 91:16 164:17 90:15, 109:2, 128:3, pressure [1] - 185:11 procedures [1] - 82:25 projects [2] - 17:16, procure [4] - 81:24, 149:7, 156:8, Pressure [1] - 185:17 proceed [6] - 8:7. 236:24 82:2, 83:1, 213:24 157:19, 158:3, presumably [1] - 59:2 8:11, 10:17, 160:20, prominent [3] - 15:4, procurement [19] -159:4, 167:14, presuming [1] -137:22. 137:25 161:2, 246:11 18:12, 18:15, 18:19, 172:17, 226:10 115:13 proceeded [1] promise [1] - 200:8 18:21, 18:25, 65:10, Potential [1] - 72:8 presumption [1] -160:23 promised [1] - 145:11 65:11, 112:13, potentially [4] - 78:10, 186:24 proceeding [1] - 228:7 **pronouns** [1] - 213:22 112:14, 163:3, 109:16, 163:20, pretty [16] - 37:19, proceedings [1] - 7:24 property [1] - 23:1 182:7, 195:1, 195:7, 167:5 44:16, 52:15, 79:8, proceeds [3] - 89:10, proposal [2] - 23:8, 195:8, 195:10, Powell [1] - 27:20 116:24, 137:11, 128:1, 138:24 60:7 195:15, 214:14, power [4] - 33:20, 151:9, 172:14, proposed [15] - 34:18, Process [4] - 72:8, 215:21, 232:21 33:22, 33:23, 34:7 183:11, 187:15, 105:14, 224:10, 40:3, 56:11, 62:10, Procurement [1] -Power [1] - 70:25 190:18, 200:4, 226:8 76:4, 87:8, 88:13, 230:15 Powers [2] - 161:18, 200:6, 200:10, process [95] - 8:10, 90:23, 90:25, 92:8, produce [3] - 96:13, 162:5 206:15, 217:16 8:11, 17:18, 17:21, 105:25, 153:11, 124:13, 126:19 PR [5] - 187:5, 201:11, previous [1] - 236:6 17:22, 18:1, 25:6, 153:22, 169:20, produced [7] - 32:7, 201:14, 201:17 previously [6] - 26:1, 25:21, 70:14, 71:1, 170:14 32:12, 61:7, 209:22, practice [7] - 12:8, 85:12, 188:21, 71:6, 71:12, 72:21, proprietary [3] - 41:8, 211:22, 211:23, 189:16, 206:23, 12:10, 12:11, 12:16, 72:24, 74:9, 74:21, 46:5, 48:7 225:20 18:16, 19:15, 57:22 228:19 75:19. 77:7. 78:10. prospect [1] - 113:10 producing [1] practices [1] - 18:22 price [5] - 87:20, 90:7, 92:20, 98:5, Protection [4] - 12:15, 124:12 89:11, 121:2, 121:3, 108:2. 108:10. preceding [1] - 149:19 12:19, 12:20, 15:14 product [7] - 10:3, 183:13 108:16, 108:19, precise [4] - 13:13, provants [1] - 137:14 10:6, 32:21, 33:19, primarily [16] - 25:6, 108:23, 109:6, 42:7, 46:12, 64:16 prove [1] - 183:11 61:5, 61:6, 88:23 25:16, 64:25, 65:5, 109:21, 111:12, precisely [2] - 94:2, provide [8] - 11:13, **production** [1] - 29:18 147:8, 156:23, 111:22, 112:6, 138:20 33:20, 33:24, 34:7, Professional [4] -112:10, 112:21, 157:8, 172:7, predates [1] - 42:14 45:7, 189:5, 189:12, 174:3. 174:4. 210:24, 212:14, 138:1, 138:22, predicate [1] - 41:10 212:13 174:11. 174:12 212:15, 212:17, 140:11, 140:12, prejudged [1] - 232:10 provided [12] - 34:16, professional [3] -222:9, 225:7, 140:13, 140:15, prejudging [1] -34:21, 34:23, 35:3, 218:11, 218:14, 238:10, 241:25 140:17, 140:21, 232:11 44:15, 170:6,

173:18, 191:19, 137:3, 137:9, 138:7, 52:8, 177:3, 239:22, 221:9, 223:1, 237:4, quarter [1] - 239:13 237:9, 242:23 192:24, 205:10, 138:21, 140:14, quasi [1] - 228:6 240:9 211:4 144:16, 146:6, questioned [1] - 167:3 rates [5] - 28:9, 28:10, reasonable [4] provides [1] - 128:6 146:8, 152:7, 152:9, 29:1, 29:3, 239:22 95:15, 95:16, 204:5, Questions [1] - 223:7 providing [3] - 52:4, 152:13, 157:13, rather [6] - 15:14. 204:8 questions [45] - 9:12, 89:2. 212:24 157:20, 159:4, 48:8. 82:21. 109:13. reasonably [1] -9:15, 10:17, 27:8, 165:3, 166:9, 167:5, 169:9, 213:17 246:12 prudent [1] - 66:20 34:25, 42:16, 71:13, 167:14, 168:10, rational [1] - 185:19 **PU** [1] - 131:1 71:14, 92:22, reasons [5] - 26:6, 169:8, 177:5, **Re**[1] - 249:2 170:12, 187:1, public [32] - 14:10, 112:23, 113:7, 177:24, 180:10, 224:24, 237:8 120:7, 124:18, re [2] - 122:25, 207:19 14:17, 19:11, 33:19, 180:21, 187:13, rebate [3] - 110:4, 33:22, 33:23, 34:7, 129:4, 129:16, reach [4] - 23:6, 192:13, 198:23, 58:1, 58:9, 58:19, 137:18, 147:25, 59:13, 110:25, 110:8, 201:9 198:25, 201:22, 59:17, 65:2, 65:8, 156:25, 157:5, 124:17 rebates [1] - 110:7 204:1, 205:9, 67:23, 67:25, 68:18, 157:9, 157:15, reached [4] - 22:18, Reber [1] - 175:14 234:25, 235:2, 69:5, 69:10, 77:23, 157:24, 165:11, recalcula [1] - 153:14 75:17, 134:3, 161:10 239:17, 239:19, 144:3, 149:7, 165:24, 168:1, reaction [1] - 147:9 recapitalization [2] -240:22, 241:4, 170:19, 172:24, 168:2, 168:7, 168:8, read [18] - 39:8, 39:16, 177:2, 243:20 241:12. 241:17. 186:13, 206:3, 168:17, 169:10, receive [12] - 27:18, 45:19, 45:21, 54:6, 241:22. 242:3. 206:15, 212:16, 175:21, 182:1, 100:17, 101:10, 57:9, 78:19, 89:17, 242:4, 242:10, 212:24, 214:14, 192:25, 193:3, 94:14, 94:16, 109:11, 110:18, 243:3, 244:6, 193:4, 193:5, 193:7, 225:2, 228:2, 243:16 115:10, 130:15, 130:20, 131:19, 244:22, 245:4 Public [3] - 1:15, 197:22, 198:2, 131:22, 132:1, 131:17, 137:11, PUPs [6] - 66:24, 247:6, 247:19 211:5, 215:20, 197:9, 208:21, 136:19, 142:15, 131:19, 149:6, 216:5, 226:17, Public-State [1] -249:20 186:20 165:7, 166:4, 166:16 247:19 234:2, 246:18 reading [15] - 7:4, received [13] - 8:8, purchase [2] - 73:15, publicly [1] - 187:4 quick [4] - 59:15, 17:7, 33:6, 66:17, 9:10, 35:21, 41:16, 181:24 82:23, 187:15, 246:1 publicly-owned [1] -89:18, 130:12, 56:3, 57:8, 115:19, pure [1] - 182:6 quicker [1] - 196:15 117:13, 137:10, 187:4 153:3, 173:19, purely [1] - 19:18 quickly [3] - 52:15, **published** [1] - 28:9 182:22, 187:12, 168:17, 178:14, purpose [17] - 27:12, 80:13, 187:19 **pulled** [1] - 180:11 187:16, 201:11, 209:15, 211:3 45:3, 45:7, 65:13, quiet [1] - 174:9 PUP [125] - 17:14, 208:15, 212:19, receives [1] - 186:17 74:18, 125:2, 125:9, quite [2] - 9:1, 95:2 17:17, 24:1, 24:25, 223:21 receiving [5] - 56:25, 128:2, 157:11, 25:1, 25:3, 26:5, **quo** [2] - 106:14, ready [1] - 84:9 136:14, 136:23, 167:1, 167:10, 26:8, 27:1, 27:5, 106:15 real [2] - 26:13, 80:21 136:25, 138:24 168:25, 169:2, 27:9, 27:11, 27:13, quote [7] - 15:12, realistic [1] - 104:5 recently [2] - 174:7, 169:19, 212:23, 27:19, 32:21, 32:25, 21:22, 61:14, 61:15, realization [1] -174:16 213:8, 224:17 34:14, 34:19, 35:6, 109:12, 246:11, 109:23 recess [1] - 107:17 purposes [1] - 128:3 35:9, 35:19, 35:23, 246:13 realize [3] - 86:21, Recess 151 - 8:17. 37:18, 39:14, 41:8, pursuant [4] - 7:22, 117:2, 134:20 64:8, 151:17, 207:8, 118:17, 208:10, 42:17, 43:6, 43:18, R realized [4] - 96:18, 243.5 212:9 45:3, 45:5, 46:5, 96:20, 116:8, 117:11 recipients [1] - 166:21 raise [6] - 71:13, pursue [3] - 69:1, 46:8, 46:11, 46:13, really [23] - 14:21, recog [1] - 132:9 126:6, 128:20, 158:20, 241:8 46:14, 48:16, 56:9, 15:11, 43:4, 44:12, recognize [7] - 26:1, 177:17, 178:15, pursued [3] - 66:21, 56:12, 56:14, 57:3, 47:19, 58:22, 76:11, 81:17, 81:18, 102:1, 183:24 62:14, 65:18, 65:19, 69:8, 147:6 78:19, 80:13, 99:6, 114:8, 154:11, raised [12] - 71:19, pursuing [2] - 70:11, 66:9, 66:20, 80:3, 113:5, 113:8, 233:15 113:7, 120:7, 161:1, 70:21 80:23, 92:9, 92:16, 131:18, 144:12, recollection [9] -166:22, 177:7, put [16] - 13:6, 32:5, 92:20, 93:23, 95:7, 144:17, 144:19, 29:15, 38:6, 46:21, 177:19, 178:19, 51:24, 72:20, 75:23, 99:6, 99:13, 100:6, 145:15, 145:20, 60:4, 90:22, 150:8, 178:22, 197:22, 100:7, 100:10, 103:6, 109:11, 145:21, 162:6, 150:9, 155:14, 198:2, 198:8 126:1, 139:22, 100:11, 110:23, 195:3, 225:10, 158:15 raises [1] - 123:3 112:15, 112:20, 165:24, 172:23, 227:23 recommend [2] raising [3] - 123:23, 190:16, 192:18, 113:1, 113:10, reason [22] - 13:13, 58:12, 103:13 123:24, 173:22 114:3, 116:1, 116:7, 212:16, 235:22, 23:20, 26:9, 35:14, recommendation [2] ram [1] - 200:7 116:13, 116:20, 237:6 35:25, 43:16, 83:5, 85:3, 132:24 rank [1] - 165:12 117:8, 118:2, putting [3] - 139:7, 134:13, 154:23, recommended [1] rate [15] - 27:25, 28:3, 119:16. 121:13. 150:15. 204:15 160:21, 167:24, 132:17 28:5, 28:7, 28:13, 126:1. 132:5. 170:21, 180:1, reconcile [1] - 152:12 28:15, 28:18, 28:20, 132:14, 133:21, Q 184:6, 204:25, record [15] - 64:7, 28:21, 28:22, 28:23, 134:15, 134:20, 205:1, 205:24, 64:9, 65:8, 82:17, qualified [1] - 230:6

97:4, 107:16, 149:7, 151:15, 209:6, 209:7, 223:2, 223:4, 243:4, 246:20, 248:10 recorded [1] - 236:1 records [2] - 65:3, 191:17 red [1] - 154:19 Redemption [1] -121:2 redemption [1] -121:3 reduced [1] - 136:5 refer [1] - 197:7 reference [5] - 11:17, 132:6, 132:8, 149:13, 236:9 referenced [4] -119:17, 120:6, 127:15 references [2] - 63:11, 116:5 referencing [2] -88:20, 153:20 referendum [18] -67:23, 67:25, 69:5, 69:10, 69:22, 73:1, 75:19, 75:22, 75:24, 75:25, 76:7, 76:9, 76:16, 140:18, 183:15, 201:7, 201:8 referred [9] - 42:8, 61:12, 69:10, 164:2, 194:14, 209:15, 228:19, 230:21, 246:5 referring [37] - 30:23, 38:16, 42:10, 51:8, 56:8, 74:25, 76:15, 87:7, 88:18, 97:23, 102:8, 102:9, 106:6, 122:22. 127:21. 130:6, 132:4, 133:2, 136:8. 138:20. 144:14, 165:16, 165:20, 188:9, 194:6, 195:24, 196:2, 199:7, 199:19, 200:11, 201:15, 214:6, 214:8, 216:3, 216:6, 227:21, 228:23 refers [3] - 60:6, 102:21, 131:16 reflect [1] - 136:6 reflected [4] - 11:21, 43:20, 120:12, 153:20 reflecting [1] - 162:21

reflects [1] - 43:9 refreshed [1] - 60:3 refreshing [1] - 224:17 regard [7] - 8:14, 10:21, 11:14, 19:3, 19:5, 112:7, 169:5 regarding [24] - 7:17, 11:20, 15:19, 34:16, 34:18, 35:3, 35:8, 41:16, 47:4, 100:3, 108:10, 109:1, 113:1, 118:25, 122:2, 122:5, 168:7, 175:22, 188:18, 226:13, 227:17, 227:20, 231:3, 239:7 regardless [1] -131:11 regularly [1] - 174:2 regulatory [1] - 11:17 reiterate [1] - 105:24 reject [1] - 84:2 relate [1] - 174:13 related [37] - 16:23, 18:12, 24:25, 25:1, 25:3, 25:5, 27:5, 27:10, 27:19, 32:25, 34:25, 37:10, 42:17, 43:9, 56:10, 61:18, 62:6, 62:7, 62:22, 81:19, 81:22, 90:8, 114:3, 133:22, 159:7, 171:15, 192:12, 212:25, 213:5, 215:14, 222:15, 226:15, 234:24, 235:2, 235:3, 239:10, 242:19 relates [1] - 99:7 relating [5] - 26:5, 174:5, 174:8, 211:17. 240:23 relation [3] - 33:5, 43:15, 215:15 relations [2] - 18:4, 212:14 relationship [7] -21:13, 22:17, 206:7, 214:23, 215:16, 215:19, 222:16 relationships [1] -219:15 relative [2] - 248:13, 248:15 released [1] - 231:2 relied [1] - 207:25

relocate [1] - 21:8

rely [1] - 210:23

reluctant [1] - 183:4

relying [2] - 208:18, 208:19 remain [2] - 98:8, 103:18 remained [1] - 116:14 remarks [1] - 225:23 remember [79] - 9:22, 9:23, 13:4, 13:10, 16:19, 16:25, 32:14, 32:22, 34:1, 42:11, 42:19, 42:24, 55:10, 63:19, 68:17, 68:18, 73:19, 77:9, 77:20, 80:2, 80:3, 81:6, 83:10, 84:4, 84:16, 84:21, 85:9, 91:7, 92:11, 92:14, 100:19, 102:13, 104:24, 111:8, 114:15, 117:13, 117:16, 117:18, 119:25, 120:2, 121:8, 126:3, 128:18, 134:23, 136:14, 136:18, 136:23, 143:25, 148:8, 149:23, 155:23, 169:17, 178:1, 178:18, 193:19, 213:18, 216:11, 216:15, 216:18, 216:20, 216:22, 216:23, 217:3, 217:4, 217:10, 217:13, 221:16, 223:18, 225:8, 243:1, 243:15, 245:5, 245:7, 245:8, 245:10, 245:12, 245:14, 245:16 reminding [1] - 97:1 remove [4] - 27:4, 27:14, 97:19, 98:17 removed [2] - 106:22, 235:7 removing [3] - 61:17, 62:5, 234:24 Removing [1] - 104:22 render [1] - 90:6 rendered [5] - 125:1, 218:12, 218:13, 218:14, 218:15 rendering [1] - 215:8 reneged [1] - 180:16 repeat [1] - 243:25 repeated [4] - 129:4, 129:13, 145:17, 186:17

141:19, 144:5 repliers [2] - 76:19, 76:22 replies [1] - 109:11 reply [3] - 229:7, 230:10, 232:14 replying [1] - 78:11 report [1] - 248:7 reporter [1] - 94:16 REPORTER'S [1] reporting [1] - 217:10 reports [2] - 186:21, 206:14 repository [1] - 78:8 representation [1] -93:25 representative [7] -229:7, 229:8, 229:10, 229:15, 231:8, 231:11, 231:23 representatives [13] -9:5, 63:25, 64:1, 64:2, 220:12, 226:11, 226:14, 229:13, 230:1, 231:5, 231:18, 232:15 represented [1] -173:14 representing [6] -85:24. 85:25. 86:3. 91:24, 192:3, 214:13 represents [1] -174:14 request [25] - 32:4, 32:9, 51:1, 51:7, 51:17, 52:10, 68:1, 68:2, 82:22, 99:2, 122:24, 156:23, 160:8, 189:15, 190:17, 191:7, 191:19, 192:11, 209:23, 211:12, 215:18, 234:6, 242:10, 242:11 requested [2] - 192:6, 248:9 requesting [2] -188:24, 190:15 requests [1] - 210:3 require [1] - 69:5 required [5] - 59:4, 61:23, 68:13, 87:14, 208:3 requirement [3] -44:5, 69:9, 244:8 requirements [2] -

44:15, 122:10

requiring [4] - 69:4, 166:1, 166:7, 246:6 research [2] - 40:23, 46.7 resend [1] - 165:14 reservation [1] -121:15 reserve[1] - 246:2 resolution [14] -23:15, 46:19, 102:7, 103:10, 107:24, 108:1, 109:3, 118:1, 118:17, 120:17, 169:5, 189:25, 221:7, 221:8 Resolution [3] -102:2, 118:1, 120:14 resolutions [6] - 84:1, 111:21, 208:2, 208:7, 209:4, 221:1 resolve [3] - 23:3, 23:6, 23:13 resolved [1] - 198:5 resources [1] - 180:5 respect [5] - 9:19, 44:20, 128:21, 129:2, 138:3 respectfully [1] -137:19 respective [1] - 7:3 respond [8] - 109:15, 109:16, 129:15, 171:22. 173:12. 187:19, 191:15, 192:11 responded [13] -58:16, 59:8, 76:19, 88:2, 134:11, 136:10, 187:11, 194:5, 196:25, 198:24, 199:11, 234:4, 234:8 respondents [1] -227:16 responding [5] -124:3, 157:17, 162:8, 171:23, 192:3 responds [4] - 59:23, 122:18, 188:1, 195:16 response [27] - 17:19, 55:11, 67:2, 96:3, 103:1, 103:2, 108:4, 122:19, 123:3, 126:21, 128:14, 140:1, 140:22, 144:10, 145:16, 145:18, 153:10, 160:1, 166:15, 168:2, 187:15,

repeatedly [2] -

187:20, 194:8, revisit [1] - 10:23 rough [1] - 152:11 198:22, 201:21, Revion [2] - 186:15, roughly [1] - 104:1 209:23, 211:5 186:20 Roy [2] - 91:15, Response [1] - 104:22 RHH [1] - 238:17 188.17 responsibilities [1] -Rhode [17] - 41:25, RPR [5] - 1:15, 247:5, 12.8 42:10, 42:19, 47:2, 247:19, 248:6, responsibility [1] -47:4, 98:24, 99:16, 248:23 54:8 120:3, 130:5, Rule [3] - 174:3, responsive [8] - 94:5, 156:24, 159:16, 174:11, 246:9 165:17, 165:23, 190:18, 191:18, rule [5] - 174:7, 210:2, 211:14, 175:13, 175:25, 174:15, 174:19, 212:1, 212:2, 212:5 190:5, 207:12 243:16, 246:10 rest [1] - 25:5 rhythm [1] - 96:25 Rules [2] - 174:4, restrictions [1] -Rich [2] - 85:8 174:12 227:15 rich [1] - 116:24 ruling [2] - 86:19, restructuring [1] -Richard [10] - 55:13, 193:15 65:15 55:16, 59:10, 59:14, run [3] - 91:9, 93:21, resubmitted [1] - 27:7 59:23, 60:13, 85:4, 245:3 88:11, 89:17, 134:3 result [4] - 45:16, running [4] - 15:12, Richard's [5] - 55:18, 59:3, 89:14, 123:19 15:15, 67:16, 67:17 resulted [3] - 35:1, 60:24, 61:5, 61:12, rush [1] - 58:13 110:17, 110:22 90.6 **RUSSELL** [1] - 211:16 right-hand [1] - 28:17 results [1] - 205:15 Russell [2] - 2:3, 97:2 retained [3] - 212:21, Riley [1] - 2:12 Russell's [1] - 211:13 214:9, 219:11 rings [1] - 127:20 Ryan [23] - 30:25, retainer [2] - 218:23, riser [1] - 181:20 36:4, 90:17, 91:9, risk[1] - 166:13 219:21 91:19, 92:23, 96:1, retention [14] - 11:15, Robert [17] - 18:13, 96:3, 124:17, 126:9, 97:19, 97:22, 97:23, 18:15, 23:19, 63:22, 126:14, 133:3, 98:1, 98:12, 98:13, 63:23, 112:4, 133:4, 152:1, 152:3, 98:20, 99:3, 99:7, 112:16, 112:18, 152:14, 152:21, 99:22, 100:1, 181:16, 181:22, 153:5, 153:9, 100:10, 238:12 182:7. 185:16. 153:22. 175:15. retroactive [5] - 13:16, 193:12, 195:6, 175:18, 179:2 25:10, 25:13, 25:24, 222:21, 224:25, Ryan's [1] - 179:8 25:25 238:18 retrospect [3] - 95:24, Robert's [1] - 112:7 S Roberts's [1] - 112:9 96:8, 96:11 sake [1] - 182:2 return [2] - 89:12, rod [1] - 147:11 salary [1] - 52:8 89:15 Rodriguez [1] - 82:4 sale [47] - 17:5, 17:10, revealed [1] - 66:25 role [22] - 18:1, 18:3, 64:22, 68:13, 68:20, revenue [1] - 205:2 20:16, 20:20, 21:1, 69:5, 69:11, 86:24, reverse [3] - 183:13, 22:1, 34:17, 54:1, 87:12, 87:25, 89:10, 183:21, 184:19 54:3, 67:19, 67:21, 91:17, 92:20, 93:23, Review [1] - 31:10 81:8, 81:10, 111:22, 95:7, 98:5, 105:7, review [13] - 34:25, 112:7, 112:9, 138:2, 109:2, 111:11, 47:12, 57:6, 59:11, 142:17, 144:1, 116:8, 116:10, 76:20. 113:14. 192:2, 203:6, 229:23 128:4, 138:22, 113:20, 122:13, rolled [1] - 70:14 138:24, 139:23, Roman [1] - 227:13 122:22, 148:1, 140:12, 140:13, 188:23, 189:4, 248:8 Ron [1] - 188:18 140:15, 140:17, reviewed [7] - 115:12, **Room** [1] - 78:4 140:21, 142:6, 115:14, 118:7, room [13] - 78:5, 78:7, 146:8, 181:2, 127:14, 238:24, 78:8, 78:14, 78:23, 183:15, 186:14, 242:24, 246:9 79:6, 79:14, 79:16, 186:19, 186:21, reviewing [1] - 162:8 171:16, 172:15, 187:1, 187:3, 187:7, revised [6] - 122:4, 172:18, 204:23, 196:17, 196:19, 162:17, 234:24, 245:3 199:15, 201:8 235:1, 235:4, 242:25 rooms [2] - 83:6, 83:7 Salem [10] - 31:20, revisions [1] - 155:1 rose [1] - 148:3

31:24, 32:8, 32:10, 53:11, 55:18, 60:7, 61:13, 88:25, 121:1, 49:5, 91:15, 176:4, 188:18, 190:23, 176:25, 181:1, 191:16 182:23, 218:12, sales [2] - 87:19, 221:24, 223:3, 181:4 227:13, 246:4 **secondly** [1] - 12:12 **Sam** [8] - 9:4, 10:8, secret [1] - 26:7 10:12, 10:22, secretary [5] - 12:14, 199:18, 199:20, 243:23, 244:2 15:13, 18:14, 20:14, sandwiches [1] -21:8 107:11 **Section** [4] - 44:5, sat [3] - 80:9, 94:6, 230:16, 230:20, 238:10 244:8 satisfaction [1] - 89:4 section [2] - 165:4, 223:7 satisfactory [1] -93:18 sections [1] - 157:4 satisfied [2] - 95:17, sector [4] - 51:19, 146:22 58:10, 59:17, 170:19 satisfy [1] - 244:7 secure [1] - 25:17 secured [1] - 83:6 Saturday [2] - 181:19, 187.18 securing [1] - 82:23 saw [22] - 30:15, 32:6, securities [5] - 11:17, 32:12, 32:13, 36:19, 122:12, 128:1, 36:21, 37:2, 42:24, 240:8, 240:9 42:25, 49:5, 63:8, see [64] - 9:20, 11:17, 81:11, 115:13, 29:14, 29:20, 32:20, 118:20, 138:3, 33:15, 33:16, 41:5, 141:11, 155:23, 42:22, 43:12, 43:24, 176:8, 177:8, 43:25, 46:21, 47:12, 179:17, 206:3, 49:22, 51:23, 60:6, 225:13 63:11, 69:18, 75:11, Scampi [3] - 221:20, 78:14, 78:22, 82:3, 236:10, 236:13 82:6, 92:8, 96:1, Scarborough [1] -100:13, 113:12, 118:11, 118:18, 2:12 120:8, 120:20, scare [2] - 103:20, 122:1, 130:23, 103:24 Scenario [2] - 104:21, 147:9, 152:11, 156:24, 160:6, 207:20 160:18, 169:4, scenario [3] - 17:19, 174:20, 176:10, 103:12, 108:3 176:14, 179:7, scenarios [6] - 17:3, 179:15, 182:24, 17:6, 17:9, 64:19, 190:10, 190:17, 80:20, 91:10 191:17, 198:21, scene [1] - 219:16 222:14, 229:3, schedule [3] - 28:1, 229:14, 234:21, 91:1, 133:5 234:22, 235:5, school [2] - 205:5, 236:5, 236:6 205:6 seeing [19] - 29:13, scope [2] - 62:9, 208:5 29:15, 29:17, 29:19, scrubbed [1] - 27:11 31:4, 31:18, 32:14, se [1] - 81:18 32:22, 36:9, 42:11, seal [1] - 247:10 49:7, 81:6, 91:7, **Sean** [3] - 2:16, 47:3, 102:13, 104:24, 239:2 114:15, 118:23, search [3] - 87:21, 133:11, 208:14 210:20, 210:23 seek [1] - 230:10 second [18] - 26:19, seeking [4] - 23:25, 33:12, 51:5, 52:19,

35:5, 54:13, 123:2 29:24, 30:18, 31:8, 160:8, 186:13 199:1, 206:24, separating [2] - 15:7, 214:3, 244:1 seeks [1] - 122:4 15.9 36:2, 41:23, 47:1, simply [6] - 46:13, seem [5] - 71:10, 94:4, September [20] - 18:7, 48:18, 49:13, 50:10, 136:3, 170:7, sort [3] - 58:21, 159:9, 95:14, 127:24, 217:5 34:23, 34:24, 43:20, 55:23, 57:10, 61:10, 201:24, 217:7, 235:1 172:18 select [2] - 177:11, 137:5, 138:13, 62:25, 81:16, 86:10, sincerely [1] - 210:19 sounds [1] - 183:6 177:13 139:21, 141:21, 88:10, 90:9, 97:15, single [1] - 220:3 source [3] - 60:11, 141:23, 147:7, 101:25, 104:17, selected [1] - 236:19 sit [6] - 61:8, 84:15, 66:8, 109:13 107:19, 114:7, 156:16, 156:22, 95:20, 129:20, self [3] - 87:24, 88:6, sources [1] - 71:8 117:25, 121:20, 167:25, 218:14, 199:25 169:11, 217:13 Southern [18] -126:24, 129:25, 218:16, 219:8, self-dealing [2] **sitting** [5] - 33:6, 210:10, 211:18, 219:9, 227:3, 133:8, 133:14, 87:24, 88:6 96:15, 117:20, 212:8, 212:9, 135:8, 148:21, 247:11, 248:19 sell [6] - 17:22, 103:7, 172:15, 187:18 212:20, 213:9, 151:12, 153:16, 103:16, 103:17, series [2] - 50:11, six [3] - 75:21, 78:15, 213:10, 213:15, 154:10, 156:14, 202:1, 204:6 83:23 215:6 213:16, 214:22, 159:15, 161:15, serve [2] - 18:11, 215:7, 215:16, selling [1] - 18:20 size [2] - 79:1, 153:6 164:4, 164:25, 233:22 216:8, 216:9, 218:3, sen [1] - 222:20 sky [2] - 122:7, 122:8 175:8, 178:23, service [1] - 19:12 218:6, 219:5 Skynyrd [1] - 236:13 send [3] - 51:11, 180:19. 181:6. Services [1] - 18:15 slaughtered [1] spaced [1] - 220:3 133:22, 149:11 188:16, 190:4. services [11] - 18:24, speaking [8] - 26:10, 188:6 Senior [1] - 207:21 191:9, 193:8, 125:1, 212:13, 71:23, 81:13, senior [48] - 63:24, **slide** [1] - 106:25 193:25, 209:9, 212:24, 213:25, 109:10, 134:15, 68:25, 83:12, 83:16, **slot** [1] - 106:25 215:23, 218:5, 215:8, 218:11, 140:6, 146:22, 84:6, 84:14, 85:12, SLT [2] - 144:20, 219:24, 221:14, 218:13, 218:14, 158:15 91:15, 95:11, 95:13, 147:19 224:2, 227:1, 218:15, 219:19 **SPECIAL** [2] - 1:3, 101:15, 101:16, small [1] - 220:3 228:11, 230:14, serving [1] - 198:10 249:3 103:6, 137:16, smaller [1] - 65:21 233:7, 234:10, session [3] - 97:10, special [2] - 189:10, 137:22, 138:3, smart [2] - 200:6, 239:20 139:5, 141:5, 222:1, 223:23 208:9 200:10 **showed** [5] - 94:3, 143:23, 143:24, Session [1] - 221:25 smiling [1] - 236:21 Special [2] - 2:2, 7:16 126:21, 155:11, 150:22, 158:4, sessions [1] - 189:14 specialized [2] -Smith [3] - 1:12, 2:4, 180:6, 221:2 158:22, 164:16, set [2] - 128:3, 225:5 208:1, 240:7 128:24 showing [5] - 90:14, 165:9, 166:8, setting [1] - 235:19 specific [37] - 17:4, so-called [1] - 186:15 118:16, 207:10, 172:15, 172:17, 42:16, 43:19, 50:25, settings [1] - 225:3 soiled [1] - 202:8 219:3, 233:4 172:24, 173:7, 51:6, 52:13, 52:20, seven [1] - 238:14 sold [3] - 116:19, shown [2] - 33:21, 174:1, 175:2, several [1] - 30:16 54:4, 67:19, 73:21, 199:25, 203:19 155:4 182:20, 183:1, 74:14, 77:3, 93:8, shall [4] - 25:10, sole [1] - 109:13 shows [2] - 90:17, 183:10, 189:9, 93:20, 95:19, 131:1, 131:14, solicitation [7] -182:16 191:15, 200:12, 112:10, 117:6, 246:11 108:10, 108:12, **Shrimp** [1] - 236:14 117:7, 119:24, 202:23, 202:25, Shane [2] - 84:22, 108:16, 108:19, side [5] - 18:22, 55:10, 203:14, 208:3, 120:5, 120:17, 85:9 109:6. 109:8. 231:3 73:12, 162:6, 162:9 220:24, 222:20, 122:6, 128:2, **Shane's** [1] - 85:9 solicited [1] - 51:17 sidebar [1] - 101:17 239:10, 240:5, 246:8 137:18, 139:20, share [6] - 23:9, 41:9, Solicitor [1] - 20:6 sign [1] - 169:7 sense [13] - 20:21, 147:25, 149:16, 45:5, 45:16, 152:10, solicitor [1] - 20:10 **signature** [1] - 246:2 38:20, 48:10, 71:18, 150:9, 157:16, 239:2 someone [11] - 19:18, signed [4] - 73:16, 104:5, 112:9, 157:24, 158:15, shared [1] - 22:19 38:7, 38:23, 71:6, 152:15, 155:2, 161:24, 164:11, 174:15, 210:1, shareholder [2] -117:18, 122:10, 184:15 166:12, 192:17, 222:17, 226:16, 186:2, 186:23 144:2, 162:5, 167:8, significance [1] -192:23, 203:18, 242:20, 243:15 sharing [3] - 22:15, 167:11, 169:17 241:18 120:23 specifically [47] -22:16, 166:17 sometime [4] - 9:4, significant [1] - 71:24 16:18, 31:17, 32:22, sent [13] - 23:25, sheet [5] - 60:15, 13:3, 53:11, 117:23 significantly [1] -24:15, 35:9, 35:15, 33:5, 39:7, 51:18, 60:19, 61:3, 88:15, sometimes [1] - 21:25 57:8, 65:20, 68:3, 38:6, 38:21, 38:23, 177:2 88:17 somewhere [3] -71:7, 73:5, 74:16, 38:24, 39:1, 58:15, signing [1] - 7:4 Sherry [3] - 175:15, 43:22, 138:8, 198:7 76:24, 77:14, 77:25, 134:7, 191:6, 210:7 silence [9] - 195:12, 175:18, 213:18 son [1] - 82:5 78:25, 89:18, 92:25, sentence [11] - 51:5, 195:13, 226:9, shocked [2] - 14:22, soon [3] - 14:1, 59:5, 93:3, 100:12, 51:8, 52:19, 54:16, 226:13, 226:16, 14:24 199:12 226:20, 227:4, 104:14, 111:5, 60:7, 69:21, 73:6, short [5] - 61:22, sorry [14] - 37:20, 135:16, 212:19, 227:11, 227:15 114:24, 117:17, 80:21, 81:6, 120:22, 37:21, 76:17, 96:10, 230:23, 231:10 similar [8] - 58:19, 125:6, 126:11, 207:5 114:11, 151:21, separate [2] - 99:8, 99:9, 100:2, 140:2, 127:23, 129:1, **short-term** [1] - 61:22 155:19, 174:8, 136:18, 136:25, 110:6 140:23, 143:11, show [62] - 11:2, 29:5, 181:11, 182:22,

138:12, 138:14, 58:11, 86:25, 97:17 182:22, 204:21, subject [14] - 50:19, Sunshine [4] - 65:3, 140:5, 145:24, 207:4, 210:4, 194:10, 195:1, starts [3] - 130:5, 59:3, 80:14, 89:22, 211:10, 217:9, 168:13, 176:23, 165:1, 181:15 99:2, 119:9, 122:24, 195:10 183:23, 201:20, **STATE** [2] - 247:2, 222:12, 232:3, 135:14, 147:3, **superior** [1] - 167:7 211:17, 214:8, 248:3 237:13, 244:1, 158:23, 166:2, supervised [1] -214:9, 222:2, state [9] - 17:2, 18:21, 245:24, 246:1 186:4, 206:19, 208:10 229:22, 230:1, stewards [1] - 182:16 206:21 19:10, 20:9, 67:10, **supervisor** [1] - 75:23 235:12, 236:12, Stewart [1] - 213:19 **submitted** [1] - 49:4 160:8, 161:6, 195:7, support [3] - 189:11, 243:1 **submitting** [3] - 26:7, stick [2] - 45:18, 195:10 202:3, 223:9 **specificity** [1] - 217:21 125:20 229:7. 231:4 **State** [6] - 12:15, **supported** [1] - 67:9 specifics [2] - 43:6, 18:23, 18:24, 20:7, still [7] - 62:1, 70:1, subpoena [2] - 7:15, supposition [2] -123:17 247:6, 247:19 127:17, 128:5, 199:18 68:12, 69:13 **specified** [2] - 72:20, statement [6] - 7:13, 135:20, 170:17, subscribe [1] - 202:13 surely [1] - 114:1 89:4 33:18, 45:22, 121:2, 231:14 subsequent [3] surmise [1] - 144:19 **specifying** [1] - 39:1 136:12, 148:17 stint [1] - 20:7 12:16, 29:18, 76:13 surprised [2] - 14:24, speculating [1] statements [4] - 92:7, stipulated [1] - 7:2 subsequently [4] -41:7 235:20 206:4, 206:6, 232:11 53:7, 76:13, 96:18, stipulating [1] - 97:2 surrounding [1] speculation [2] states [2] - 45:2, stock [2] - 86:21, 206:4 189:2 236:15, 236:17 124:24 170:18 subset [2] - 71:24, **Susan** [1] - 210:25 spell [1] - 18:17 stature [3] - 15:1, stock/equity [1] -164:17 **suspect** [3] - 13:11, substance [1] spelled [1] - 197:15 138:2 58:21 57:7, 185:25 status [2] - 106:14, spent [2] - 240:24, 221:16 stop [3] - 8:16, sworn [3] - 7:8, 7:13, 106:15 241.1 substantial [1] - 24:22 150:15, 151:15 247:8 spitting [1] - 152:7 **Statute** [1] - 44:5 substantive [1] - 35:3 **story** [3] - 186:6, system [2] - 13:16, statute [6] - 44:12, 188:3, 188:5 **split** [1] - 23:17 successful [2] -235:21 spoken [1] - 134:3 47:20, 87:1, 117:10, strange [2] - 217:5, 76:21, 184:17 spread [1] - 90:14 122:6, 246:5 217:16 **Successful** [1] - 50:2 Т spreadsheet [4] statutes [5] - 18:21, Strategic [2] - 207:19, sufficient [1] - 14:8 table [1] - 204:15 31:1, 31:3, 31:4, 19:1, 159:8, 172:9, 228:13 suggest [7] - 113:11, tactics [2] - 103:20, 90:14 244:9 Strategy [1] - 114:17 149:17, 149:20, 103:24 Statutes [2] - 32:24, stab [1] - 59:11 Street [5] - 1:22, 2:9, 150:18, 183:4, tailor [1] - 72:14 stack [1] - 190:18 34:17 2:13, 2:17, 82:12 215:2, 225:15 takeaway [3] - 47:15, staff [14] - 189:11, statutory [2] - 44:22, strengthened [1] suggested [10] -47:19, 79:22 195:20, 197:4, 113:7 61:17, 85:8, 100:20, 127:25 TAKEN [2] - 1:10, 197:18, 197:20. stay [2] - 98:2, 125:18 strictly [2] - 229:4, 149:5, 149:14, 249:5 159:9, 181:25, 198:15, 198:16, stayed [1] - 83:5 230:24 talks [2] - 229:1, 230:6 198:19, 199:16, staying [1] - 83:8 190:20, 195:18, **strike** [3] - 94:9, Tallahassee [6] -198:4 200:12, 200:16, **stays** [1] - 83:3 94:18, 235:6 18:13, 19:8, 19:21, 202:15, 231:13, steam [1] - 38:20 structure [6] - 64:23, suggesting [2] -20:6, 222:21, 236:8 232:16 stenographic [1] -103:18, 105:18, 184:12, 204:4 **Tampa** [1] - 84:17 stakes [1] - 111:8 248:11 106:12, 106:20, suggestion [2] tape [1] - 120:20 stand [1] - 68:25 110:17 150:20, 173:16 stenographically [1] target [6] - 116:2, standard [11] - 28:3, 248:7 Structure [1] - 105:15 suggests [5] - 45:19, 116:3, 116:11, 28:5, 28:8, 28:9, structured [2] - 61:21, 73:15, 107:3, 107:5, step [2] - 54:3, 62:13 116:14, 123:12, 28:13, 28:14, 28:20, 217:19 Stephanie [2] -137.9 123:14 28:21, 28:22, 29:1, suit [1] - 186:2 194:15, 198:18 Structures [1] - 106:8 task [1] - 201:12 161:25 Stephen [1] - 2:3 Suite [4] - 1:13, 2:5, structures [2] taxable [1] - 166:20 standing [1] - 45:25 stepped [2] - 169:15, 106:10, 107:3 2:9, 2:17 taxes [1] - 204:24 standpoint [1] structuring [1] - 90:8 **summary** [19] - 46:14, 171:24 taxpayers [1] - 201:22 122:12 studied [2] - 174:7, 46:17, 46:23, 118:7, steps [1] - 72:22 team [45] - 68:25, 118:9. 118:13. Stanley [8] - 49:15, Steve [38] - 8:1, 9:10, 174:16 83:12, 83:17, 84:6, 118:19, 119:1, 50:1, 63:2, 64:1, 10:16, 15:9, 27:17, study [3] - 32:25, 34:4, 84:14, 85:13, 91:15, 72:13, 79:9, 221:11, 120:8, 121:1, 132:5, 29:23, 33:9, 37:20, 39.5 101:16, 103:6, 154:2, 155:12, 221:22 42:25, 46:24, 56:9, stuff [5] - 18:20, 137:16, 138:3, 156:6, 190:11, start [4] - 57:15, 62:11, 72:4, 76:7, 210:17, 211:2, 139:5, 141:5, 87:15, 108:22, 194:1 190:21, 190:25, 93:6, 95:3, 96:24, 232:23 142:15, 143:24, 191:20, 244:11 started [5] - 7:25, 113:12, 147:24, styled [1] - 61:14 150:23, 158:22, sums [1] - 200:2 25:22, 30:11, 42:23, 151:20, 152:23, subgroup [2] - 73:25, 163:25, 164:1, Sunday [2] - 59:23, 79:11 154:4, 162:4, 173:1, 74:1 164:16, 165:9, 86:17 starting [4] - 42:16, 174:8, 178:10, **subgroups** [1] - 73:23

165:10, 166:2, 248:6, 248:23 timing [6] - 35:20, 185:24, 206:15, transactions [1] - 79:1 166:8, 167:6, 207:5, 217:22, terrie [1] - 1:15 72:24, 112:2, transcript [3] - 66:17, 167:14, 175:2, testified [2] - 7:9, 134:14, 216:12, 248:9, 248:10 227:14, 241:21, 182:11, 189:10, 216:15 TRANSCRIPT[1] -199.6 194:22, 194:23, testimony [4] - 46:3, **Timing** [1] - 72:8 two-page [2] - 88:15, 249.2 197:5, 198:4, 198:7, 49:8, 62:21, 66:17 title [2] - 12:2, 141:6 transcripts [2] - 65:24, 88:17 two-week [1] - 13:5 198:18, 198:20, text [5] - 151:2, titled [2] - 225:25, 66.5 200:1, 202:24, 197:12, 243:11, tying [1] - 146:8 226.7 transfer [3] - 235:16, 202:25, 203:14, type [2] - 60:11, 60:17 243:15, 243:17 today [9] - 26:9, 71:3, 235:23, 236:5 208:4, 220:24, that'll [1] - 27:2 95:20, 137:12, transmittal [3] - 36:15, typically [4] - 33:20, 233:22, 239:11, **THE** [14] - 7:10, 9:7, 172:14, 175:20, 36:16, 42:8 33:24, 57:8, 237:4 246:8 204:18, 209:17, 10:12, 10:15, 47:25, transparency [1] typographical [1] -Team [1] - 207:22 64:6, 107:9, 107:13, 217:13 205:19 29:10 technical [1] - 196:20 151:22, 223:2, together [4] - 32:5, transparent [5] -Tysen [3] - 36:20, Ted [2] - 161:18, 162:4 224:6, 233:12, 190:16, 192:18, 36:23, 37:4 143:4, 143:5, telephone [2] -245:22, 246:22 193:2 204:18, 205:3, 139:16, 239:6 themselves [2] tomorrow [1] - 122:14 237:12 U ten [1] - 144:8 22:23, 88:1 took [3] - 145:2, transportation [1] -**U.S**[1] - 36:20 tend [1] - 59:17 thereabout [1] -193:24 171:1 ultimately [34] - 17:21, tended [1] - 182:11 163:12 top [8] - 44:18, 63:2, treated [2] - 52:16, 23:2, 23:11, 23:24, tendered [1] - 73:16 thereabouts [2] -162:1, 174:21, 122:9 24:18, 25:22, 53:12, tenure [1] - 9:1 174:22, 174:24, 34:24, 191:3 tremendous [1] -53:15, 55:1, 55:4, therefore [3] - 34:11, 175:24, 234:3 Term [3] - 154:15, 188:25 60:16, 61:6, 64:21, topic [3] - 182:9, 179:14, 188:19 92:3, 155:15 tried [1] - 134:4 65:8, 67:22, 68:14, thinking [4] - 38:10, 233:20, 233:21 term [31] - 16:21, 17:3, Trouble [1] - 135:14 72:25, 76:21, 83:1, topics [1] - 10:18 17:13, 17:19, 26:9, 87:18, 95:20, 113:14 true [8] - 85:17, 85:18, 84:2, 88:9, 123:1, 26:12, 33:20, 33:24, third [7] - 23:16, **Total** [1] - 114:16 97:9, 125:1, 136:6, 131:8, 131:23, 34:7, 40:3, 43:5, 23:17, 23:18, total [10] - 25:2, 120:9, 156:12, 248:10, 132:18, 140:16, 46:20, 52:24, 60:15, 127:19, 218:13, 131:15, 135:17, 249:21 142:6, 143:21, 60:19, 61:22, 64:12, 228:23 135:21, 136:1, truncated [1] - 204:19 169:7, 172:11, 75:3, 83:16, 88:15, thorough [1] - 188:23 136:7, 136:8, truth [1] - 7:8 213:6, 225:20, 88:17, 110:4, 118:8, thoroughly [1] -148:19, 185:18 **try** [4] - 57:9, 61:19, 228:9, 244:12 141:8, 148:6, 163:6, 244:16 totality [1] - 172:13 199:13, 202:3 unanswered [1] -163:8, 163:14, thoughts [4] - 122:13, totally [1] - 107:9 trying [16] - 59:12, 129:14 173:4, 214:22, 127:16, 165:15, tough [2] - 202:1, 59:16, 59:19, 87:20, unaware [16] - 34:6, 236:10 203:7 165:25 88:7, 96:2, 103:23, 34:11, 34:12, 92:2, termed [2] - 64:21, three [9] - 22:25, 23:8, toward [5] - 25:15, 120:4, 171:9, 92:3, 94:7, 94:9, 70:11 95:9, 116:19, 33:1, 75:25, 76:8, 182:17, 205:19, 94:11, 94:17, 94:20, terminate [2] - 160:2, 145:13, 157:4, 230:10 210:17, 210:20, 94:24, 180:9, 216:17 187:12, 187:15, 215:9, 216:11, 237:6 towards [1] - 163:17 180:12, 180:13, terminated [12] -210:9 Towers [5] - 31:11, turn [3] - 9:6, 9:25, 180:17, 233:4 214:16, 214:18, three-page [1] - 210:9 32:20, 32:25, 33:19, 15:8 uncertainty [2] - 98:6, 214:19. 214:22. three-year [1] - 116:19 34:4 turnaround [1] - 59:15 125:16 215:5. 215:16. throughout [3] town [1] - 83:4 twice [1] - 66:3 unclear [1] - 195:17 215:19. 215:20. 52:15, 63:22, 154:20 towners [1] - 83:7 two [45] - 8:1, 12:10, unconscionably [1] -215:22, 216:24, Thursday [1] - 127:4 traditional [8] - 17:19, 12:11, 13:5, 14:3, 158:3 218:19, 218:25 tied [6] - 50:24, 52:19, 102:25, 103:2, 14:8, 17:8, 23:10, under [26] - 18:25, termination [5] -123:12, 123:14, 103:11, 103:15, 26:11, 34:23, 49:21, 39:13, 41:8, 44:1, 103:13, 104:2, 140:14, 177:4 104:15, 105:22, 61:9. 88:15. 88:17. 45:1, 89:13, 89:21, 215:24, 216:25, Tim [14] - 64:3, 67:5, 106:15 98:22, 99:2, 100:14, 90:15, 91:5, 91:10, 218:2 67:7, 69:13, 69:15, 101:6. 101:19. tranches [2] - 131:6, 92:8, 95:5, 106:17, terms [13] - 62:13, 69:17, 69:18, 70:24, 102:8, 110:6, 131:11 116:5, 123:21, 80:18, 87:13, 89:13, 71:16, 71:21, 119:18, 135:17, **trans** [1] - 36:13 124:21, 137:3, 90:3, 104:7, 118:7, 140:25, 141:4, 199:23, 200:14, transaction [9] -137:9, 137:13, 139:4, 148:1, 200:17 143:14, 143:17, 18:23, 26:13, 78:25, 157:20, 158:3, 174:19, 203:7, 157:4, 162:23, **TIME** [1] - 1:11 171:3, 184:5, 182:25, 186:20, 227:18, 227:20 165:15, 165:25, Timelime [1] - 105:14 184:10, 184:14, 227:13, 245:4, terribly [1] - 159:4 174:1, 175:1, timeline [6] - 73:11, 184:16, 184:21 249:20 Terrie [5] - 94:14, 177:25, 180:17, 73:12, 73:22, 75:12, transactional [2] underlined [1] -247:5, 247:19, 181:23, 185:19, 75:13, 105:25 11:18, 237:4 104:23

238:12, 241:4, via [1] - 2:8 201:23 underlying [2] - 208:2, website [1] - 136:12 246:14 208.6 view [1] - 238:3 Wedekind [1] - 2:12 worse [1] - 186:3 viewed [3] - 53:24, understatement [1] update [2] - 89:22, worst [1] - 186:5 Wednesday [6] -185:18 185:9 worth [2] - 182:19, 177.22 51:12, 56:20, 57:19, understood [7] upside [1] - 125:22 Vineyard's [1] - 13:22 58:15, 59:9, 59:22 183:1 15:16, 17:5, 64:13, urgency [2] - 51:1, week [17] - 13:5, Vinyard [9] - 11:25, wow [1] - 9:1 52:14 72:19, 110:3, 12:1, 12:13, 30:24, 16:12, 16:15, 53:11, WRITE [1] - 249:2 126:18, 187:8 urgent [1] - 52:16 36:5, 138:10, 173:8, 58:14, 73:3, 79:7, write [10] - 26:4, undertaking [1] uses [1] - 205:2 175:15, 199:6 117:15, 165:4, 150:10, 150:15, 108:15 utilities [2] - 33:20, Vinyard's [1] - 65:24 180:9, 181:1, 156:21, 238:1, unfortunately [1] -33:24 violated [1] - 194:10 195:21, 214:17, 242:9, 242:14, 240:8 utility [9] - 75:10, 214:24, 215:3, 242:19, 242:21, virtue [2] - 101:5, unionized [1] - 98:3 105:7, 105:20, 215:17, 217:6 243:2 119:20 Unit [4] - 115:1, 105:23, 106:13, voiced [1] - 141:17 weekend [8] - 51:2, writes [2] - 185:6, 55:13, 56:21, 58:13, 154:16, 179:14, 106:18, 106:24, voicing [1] - 121:12 185:15 60:25, 117:15, 188:19 187:4 writing [7] - 54:21, voluntarily [2] - 7:14, 117:22, 246:23 unit [16] - 53:14, 114:2, 150:16, 8:7 ٧ weeks [3] - 14:8, 54:11, 57:17, 58:18, 151:9, 182:17, volunteers [1] - 55:19 59:7, 59:25, 90:16, 75:21, 211:3 182:20, 212:20 vote [1] - 142:6 value [32] - 26:18, 118:8, 122:2, 123:6, weeks' [1] - 14:3 written [8] - 61:1, voted [1] - 204:11 45:16, 59:4, 86:22, welcome [1] - 188:22 62:23, 124:20, 128:8, 175:20, voter [1] - 201:10 87:10, 109:24, 175:23, 176:13, well-known [1] - 237:7 132:2, 156:9, voters [4] - 68:15, 109:25, 116:1, 176:14, 220:16, 177:1 whatsoever [1] - 27:5 183:14, 184:15, 116:2, 116:3, 116:6, units [18] - 52:25, whereas [4] - 118:6, 239:19 184:24 116:8, 116:11, 58:6, 58:21, 58:24, wrote [17] - 24:22, 182:10, 224:25, voting [2] - 87:25, 125:11, 125:13, 61:14, 120:9, 27:1, 27:6, 34:15, 230:4 204:23 125:20, 135:19, 124:25, 127:20, whole [12] - 52:6, 52:14, 57:23, 57:25, 135:20, 136:7, 127:25, 130:19, 52:23, 84:6, 143:3, 58:1, 113:23, 115:3, W 153:12, 153:15, 131:2, 131:5, 131:8, 143:10, 147:10, 139:24, 158:2, 153:23, 154:1, wait [1] - 213:22 131:15, 131:22, 187:14, 198:25, 190:14, 194:8, 170:24, 171:5, waiting [1] - 221:9 132:14, 135:25, 194:12, 198:21, 199:24, 219:2, 171:8, 176:12, waive [1] - 85:1 179:5 225:9, 232:21 240:12 177:1, 185:10, waived [2] - 7:5, University [1] - 185:20 Willis [5] - 31:11, 187:3, 217:23 199.22 Υ unpopular [1] - 26:8 32:20, 32:24, 33:19, valued [1] - 240:9 waiver [2] - 8:8, 9:11 unseemly [1] - 71:10 34:4 values [6] - 108:8, y'all [2] - 107:7 waiving [1] - 97:3 unsuccessful [1] windfall [1] - 187:3 109:5, 116:14, year [21] - 13:16, Wannamacher's [1] -174:22 withdrawn [1] - 234:7 123:12, 123:14, 13:19, 13:20, 13:24, 91:19 untapped [1] - 157:19 withhold [1] - 211:25 185:24 18:8, 26:20, 37:14, **up** [56] - 18:5, 20:5, Wannemacher [11] witness [5] - 1:14, 7:3, various [18] - 17:3, 37:15, 38:10, 39:24, 30:25, 36:5, 90:17, 22:4, 22:9, 22:10, 7:5, 66:14, 67:3 35:1, 52:24, 65:6, 55:18, 73:2, 73:4, 22:11, 34:1, 38:17, 91:9, 124:18, 126:9, Witness [2] - 2:7, 72:22, 79:1, 80:19, 116:19, 135:20, 133:3, 152:2, 153:5, 45:11, 55:3, 55:21, 246:24 80:21, 113:7, 131:6, 153:12, 153:14, 175:15, 179:2 56:20, 62:13, 62:19, WITNESS [16] - 3:5, 142:24, 157:1, 153:23, 153:25, Wannemacher's [2] -73:23, 75:20, 76:24, 7:10, 9:7, 10:12, 157:5, 168:8, 165:9, 240:6 31:3, 92:23 77:9, 77:19, 77:21, 10:15, 47:25, 64:6, 178:19, 207:25, years [6] - 19:25, 95:9, 87:22, 104:8, 107:9, wants [8] - 58:5, 107:9, 107:13, 222:4 145:13, 187:2, 122:7, 133:7, 111:20, 116:5, 151:22, 223:2, vary [2] - 29:3, 112:7 192:22, 203:22 145:20, 145:21, 116:12, 121:10, 224:6, 233:12, varying [1] - 142:23 yesterday [4] - 30:7, 183:12, 184:18, 125:21, 128:3, 245:22, 246:22, **vehicle** [3] - 62:17, 30:15, 97:2, 181:23 184:20 129:4, 131:6, 136:1, 247:10 90:1, 90:4 York [3] - 16:19, Ware [2] - 86:13, 87:4 146:15, 151:8, wives [1] - 231:18 vendors [2] - 227:16, 16:22, 28:10 152:15, 162:1, waste [5] - 123:8, word [7] - 59:12, 229:25 yourself [1] - 214:11 134:18, 148:3, 183:8, 183:13, 59:16, 73:8, 73:9, yourselves[1] - 23:7 verbatim [1] - 46:22 148:5, 148:11 183:22, 184:2, 96:2, 105:4, 111:8 version [3] - 154:22, 184:11, 184:19, watching [1] - 7:23 words [1] - 116:12 154:25, 162:2 Ζ 185:12, 193:19, Watson [4] - 31:11, works [3] - 87:6, versions [1] - 154:17 195:22, 195:25, 32:25, 33:19, 34:4 222:21, 240:7 Zahn [17] - 15:19, versus [2] - 188:2, 199:14, 202:5, Watson's [1] - 32:21 world [3] - 187:10, 15:23, 21:14, 21:17, 188:4 211:15, 226:18, ways [2] - 61:24, 237:5, 237:23 30:24, 45:4, 66:19, vested [1] - 59:5 229:21, 235:19, 184:7 worry [3] - 137:15, 66:20, 67:2, 74:6,

132:15, 169:15, 169:23, 171:15, 175:1, 216:14, 217:12 **Zahn's** [1] - 17:12 **Zoom** [1] - 2:8

Hedquist & Associates Reporters, Inc.